



URGENT BUSINESS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Executive

2 December 2024

Agenda Item Number	Page	Title	Officer Responsible	Reason Not Included with Original Agenda
8.	Pages 3 - 4508	Proposed Cherwell Local Plan 2042 Appendix 5e, Consultation Statement Appendix 16 Part 1	Planning Policy, Conservation & Design Manager	Appendices to report published as supplements due to size of documents to facilitate access

If you need any further information about the meeting please contact Natasha Clark, Democratic and Elections democracy@cherwell-dc.gov.uk, 01295 221534

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 16: Extended Consultation Summaries (Part 1)

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-001
What is your name? - Name
Daniel Scharf
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
No
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The plan should have a clear strategy for carbon emissions reduction or climate mitigation (see s19 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004). This should include carbon accounting and a sustainability assessment to demonstrate how net zero will be achieved. Reliance on new housebuilding would need to be 'offset' by savings in all other sectors.
Adds that about half the space and fabric of existing housing stock is not meeting housing needs. Over half the dwellings have two or more spare bedrooms and virtually all the existing houses need deep energy refitting. Unless the issue of under occupancy is addressed energy refitting will result in the insulation and heating/ventilation of unused space and fabric.
The strategy should investigate the ways in which the subdivision of existing housing stock at scale could meet the bulk of housing needs as these dwellings are largely in sustainable locations or where new population/households could enable lifetime or 20min neighbourhoods. Much greater reliance should be placed on custom building but only in conjunction with policies enabling a process of downsizing in place and custom splitting.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Rural or farm/agricultural diversification should not relate to new housing on farmsteads or businesses relying on visitors that could and should be located in urban areas. Permitted developmet rights should be monitored strictly so that any conversions are not tantamount to new building that should not be allowed.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Cherwell District does not have many outstanding tourist attractions. The former Cold War air base at Uper Heyford is the best preserved Cold War remains in the UK. There is a heritage centre and airfield tours that accommodate a few hundred people a year with a few more accessing the battle command centre. When redevelopment was originally approved, the Examiner of the Structure Plan said that there should be a feasibility study including interested parties. This did not materialise despite the fact that the redevelopment was allowed specifically to conserve the Cold War interest. Adds that when the masterplan was approved the requirement in the NPPF for the engagement of the 'necessary expertise' required by the relevant part of the NPPF did not happen. Hence why the tourism that has taken place and now on offer has been dictated by the owners of the site.

If the new local plan continues to allocate residential and commercial development, there is the opportunity to commission heritage impact assessments to properly investigate the heritage potential of the site and to secure adequate funding. The masterplan includes proposals to rearrange the way in which the Cold War heritage is presented but, even after 30 years, a pause to have the potential properly and expertly explored for the first time would be justified.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The bulk of the 'new' housing should be created through sub divisions taking advantage of the unsustainable level of under- occupation in both towns and villages. Adds that this would result in an even distribution of housing across the district, take advantage of the existing public transport network and prevent overshooting of carbon budgets.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

'refitting first' as a strategy by sub-dividing existing houses would take advantage of the existing fabric and minimise upfront carbon in the buildings and infrastructure and services. This approach should provide more homes at less cost. This strategy has complications that have not arisen in the building of new estates by volume builders in way that has far exceeded carbon budgets, created high traffic volumes for shopping, employment and recreation and damaged biodiversity. Adds that this is a challenge for plan making that needs to be faced.

Those on the self build registers waiting for the Council to approve sufficient serviced plots should be assisted by the policies ensuring opportunities to subdivide existing houses.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Affordable housing should be provided through community land trusts with sites being allocated for that purpose and reserved on larger sites/allocations. Some custom building should also be secured in that way. Where social housing is provided through allocations there should be no 'sacrifices' of other requirements or public benefits.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

The draft plan should be subject of a whole life carbon assessment to prove that the development being promoted would not add to the problems of existing built development being adapted and retrofitted. Relying on residential subdivisions would be one way of meeting housing needs within carbon budgets. The residential subdivision approach should only be rejected if the Council can find another way of avoiding the overshooting of carbon budgets.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

The local plan is an opportunity to require/commission the feasibility or heritage assessments that have been requested when the redevelopment was first allowed and in the existing local plan policy V5. The site represents the best physical remains from the Cold War in the UK and there should be a belated, proper and expert assessment of the heritage potential before any further allocations or permissions.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

The heritage potential should include an assessment of the range of jobs associated with an internationally important heritage site; well located in terms of geography on the London, Windsor, Oxford, Blenheim, Stratford tourist trail/route. The opening of Ardley Station would add to the potential of Lower Heyford and the bus route to Oxford must be reinstated.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

The allocation should be subject to the belated expert assessment of the Cold War heritage, the conservation of which was the reason why redevelopment was allowed in the first place.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

States that two railway stations, shuttle buses and a service bus to Oxford would enable the realisation of the heritage potential without reliance on private cars.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

Given the preeminent importance of Upper Heyford to international Cold War heritage it is instructive that there is no specific question about this in the local plan consultation. Adds that the Council should not take the absence of views as a measure of the importance of this site in a district that is not over endowed with alternatives. The Bicester Village should not be regarded as a tourist attraction although the Council should be recommending shoppers visit Upper Heyford about 6 miles away.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

The rural housing strategy should concentrate on ways in which residential subdivisions could meet housing needs by reducing under-occupation, enabling downsizing in place and increasing population densities all within carbon budgets.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

The sustainability assessment of the plan should make it clear how carbon negative and energy positive will be achieved through the policies included in the plan. Adds that this is a requirement of s19 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

There is no appendix relating to the calculation of upfront and operational carbon.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Questions whether the sustainability appraisal explains how the development allocated and approved through the plan will be carbon negative and energy positive.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Notes that one of the primary instruments for the Council to achieve a net zero carbon district is the local plan. Given the emergency declaration it is fair to assume that the sustainability assessment of the plan shows that no new development will be allowed to prevent the target of net zero being achieved. This will be reflected in both the type of development (only zero carbon housing - mostly in the form of sub-divisions) and in its detailing ie energy efficiency standards and minimising need for servicing and infrastructure and traffic generation.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-002
What is your name? - Name
John Hodges
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
n/a
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
It is a sensible time period.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The presentation looks straight forward.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Concern over why agreed plans have to be cut short.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Most seems sensible apart from the continuing infill of villages - development should be focused on existing towns. Objects to proposed target house numbers not allowing 'windfall' developments to be counted, all new builds should count towards target numbers.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, existing infrastructure can't support more development - the arc of development from Oxford across to Cambridge is overwhelming.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Yes, agree

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Yes, agree
17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?
Yes
18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?
No, other use should be allowed
19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?
20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?
21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?
22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?
'larger villages' aren't necessarily able to absorb more numbers - schools/transport already under pressure. Development should be scaled back.
23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?
Yes, broadly agreeable
24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?
Maybe, really depends on the detail.
25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?
No, as there appears to be no proven increase in demand for these sites.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Growth seems well planned and of benefit to the town but traffic issues put people off from travelling into town.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Yes, if infrastructure investment keeps up.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Suggestion to turn the central road grid into a one-way system to encourage use of the ring road.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Concern about future development boundaries, land to the south merging into Caulcott and extension into Rousham conservation area. Current preserved landscape should be avoided.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Yes

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Transport links to the north and east are good so continued use as a dormitory town would seem sensible.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Further expansion agreeable but current developments should be finished before new development begin.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Objects to vision for rural areas and the idea that continuous development is required. Village characters are being undermined by new developments. Adds that there is no correlation between new development and use of local services (village, shop,put,etc). 'Farming and rural business will have had the opportunity to thrive within the context of a more restrictive policy context for the countryside' questions what this means.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No, any sites that utilies a single lane for access should be excluded from further development considerations

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

A 10-20% increase in housing for villages across Cherwell every decade is not sustainable. Development should be slowed and individual developments should be constrained in size. Windfalls should meet rigorous design standards

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Huge amount of information contained in appendices, hard to see many residents looking at them.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

The volume of information contained in this draft is large.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-003
What is your name? - Name
Elizabeth Liddiard
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Not realistic to expect the plan to last to 2040 when the current local plan was due to expire in 2031, has already had a partial review and is now being replaced.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No comment
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
The saved planning policies should be clearly restated.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Questions how the district is interacting with Oxfordshire County Council to meet climate action targets when bus routes through Kidlington are still being cut and the proposed bus gates will divert substantial amounts of traffic through Summertown which is the main route in from Kidlington, thereby delaying buses even further and making cycling more dangerous.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No, but in the south of the district there should be much closer working with Oxford City and Oxfordshire County to ensure that the objectives can be met without people living in Cherwell taking the brunt of others' decisions.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

See earlier comments about the impact of the bus gates on Kidlington bus transport and cycling access to Oxford which will reduce the ability to meet SO1 and SO5. SO9 will not be met as green belt is reduced to make way for a football stadium, 4500 new houses and further proposed development.

Adds that there should be more freedom for people to adopt energy saving measures even where these conflict with other designations - e.g. conservation areas and listed buildings. RBKC has adopted an order which allows for solar panels to be installed even on listed buildings provided certain parameters are met.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

It needs to be a genuine 10% requirement which is not gamed by developers and subsequently amended or dropped post permission. Adds that this is more important than having a requirement for a greater net gain which is watered down in the application / post application negotiation process.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes, but spread it throughout the district. In Kidlington the refurbishment and use of the existing village centre should be enhanced but additional employment land focused on Langford Lane. Additional employment space should not be made available by sacrificing more of the green belt around Kidlington.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

It should be limited in Kidlington to existing sites only, otherwise development should be focused on Banbury and Bicester.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

It should be encouraged but not to the extent that it becomes high rise development - Cherwell is still a substantially rural district and it should be in keeping.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?**13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?****14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?**

It should be encouraged provided it is within reasonable limits and doesn't have an unacceptable impact on others in the community.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

It would be better to allow intensification of existing sites in Kidlington well ahead of any green belt release, particularly of land north of the Moors which appears to be an area which is well used as recreational space by the community to access the Thrupp Community Woodland and the canal to the north.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

There should be different requirements in different parts of the District. It is already established that the developments around Kidlington to meet Oxford's housing need should comply with Oxford City requirements so it seems a shame and a developer windfall to reduce this for other sites in very much the same location. Generally the requirement should be set higher as developers usually seem to try and negotiate this down.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Not to the extent that net zero policies are impacted - it shouldn't take away the obligation of the developer to contribute to local services and highways and build sustainable developments.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land behind the Moors going to Thrupp Community Woodland which are currently in agricultural use.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

It doesn't mention the football stadium and how that is to be integrated into Kidlington - there should be some reference for it even if it is contrary to policy and the wishes of many in Kidlington because if it goes ahead, it will inevitably have a huge impact on businesses in the village centre and going into Gosford.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No. Land has recently been allocated for 4400 houses to meet Oxford's housing need. These sites should be encouraged to build at higher densities before more land is taken out of the green belt to provide housing, particularly where that land is also a community green resource.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

The Plan should densify the already permitted sites.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Yes, but more should not be added.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No. The airport already maximises its permitted development rights. It isn't really an appropriate place for an airport and should be fitting in with the local community rather than taking over.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

The A44 isn't going to take precedence over the A4260 for travelling into Oxford for people from Kidlington, particularly when Sandy Lane is closed to traffic and Frieze Farm is gridlocked. The improvements to the A44 are not relevant to most people in Kidlington.
The cycling infrastructure is wholly inadequate. Cycling round the Sainsbury's roundabout is dangerous and regular place for accidents, the shared footpaths/ cycleways along the A4260 are narrow (two bikes cannot easily pass), there are bus stops which cause confusion between pedestrians and cyclists and both sides of the road are frequently overgrown.

Rapid transit buses will be stopped in Summertown due to the impacts of additional traffic. The majority of traffic coming into Summertown is parents taking their children to the private schools. This will not be reduced at all by the bus gates (no bus gates are on the Banbury Road itself) and is in fact likely to be significantly increased as the Woodstock and Banbury roads will have the additional traffic coming round the Ring road from Boars Hill/ Abingdon etc which can currently go along a number of different routes. Rapid transit buses need to be accompanied by a bus lane throughout Summertown and more ways of accessing different areas of Oxford - the hospital bus is infrequent and takes a long time, and the other options involve long walks within the city. Oxford's city centre connectivity must be improved for bus users.

There should be a southbound access to the A34. At the moment it is accessed either via Peartree which is frequently gridlocked and inaccessible due to the weight of traffic travelling into Oxford, or by going to Bletchington and turning round which is a long unnecessary journey. The addition of 4400 houses, plus a proposed further 700, plus employment space plus a potential football stadium should provide for a southbound access to the major road network without feeding into the Peartree / Wolvercote roundabout pinchpoint. There should also be another train line at the north end of Kidlington.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

The A44 will have very little impact on Kidlington village centre. Improvements should be made within Kidlington such as cycle access along the canal - it is impassable for 4 months of the year. More land generally should be safeguarded to enable future highway improvements to take place.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

The Kidlington medical centre area is very underdeveloped. The site could accommodate higher buildings and potentially a review of the nursery and forum youth centre to allow for expansion. Adds that the level crossing at Roundham lock should be upgraded to maintain access to the well used green space between Kidlington and Begbroke.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

This should be reviewed taking into account the football club proposals which will have a huge impact on weekend and evening leisure uses in Kidlington.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

The Skoda Garage is a commercial building which backs onto a very residential area. This should not be allowed to have noisy or heavy traffic uses which would make the area dangerous or antisocial for existing residents.

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-004
What is your name? - Name
James Philpott
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
N/A
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
N/A
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Objects by commenting enough of Kidlington green belt has been surrendered for housing/Oxford Uniteds new ground. Analysis/data for brownfield/more green sites are needed behind the Moors and in Woodstock. Area behind the Moors is valuable walking route, why not closer to airport if hub for jobs? Moors is already owned by property developer, coincidence to surrender it for housing?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects and comments: stop using the green belt and identify brownfield sites to develop first.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes. Build houses next to the areas identified as employment hubs.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Objects and The airport should not be expanding in a climate emergency as it goes against all green commitments by the council.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Questions why wait until 2040 for new investment.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Enough of the Kidlington green belt has been surrendered for housing/Oxford United new ground. Where is analysis/data for the brownfield/green sites needed for more homes behind the Moors and in Woodstock. The area behind the Moors is valuable walking route. Questions why behind the Moor, why not closer to the airport if a hub for jobs. Land behind the Moors is already owned by a property developer.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-005
What is your name? - Name
Peter
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Town centre's empty shops should be used for housing as unlikely traditional shops will survive. People living in the town centre will support shops.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

There is not enough housing along Broughton Road, a good road for access and close to the town centre so people can walk/cycle. Good access to Cotswolds

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No urban green space needed as close to countryside.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Sites in Banbury need allocating.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

More sites along Broughton Road need allocating.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Broughton Road, Breach Farm already has some development going on.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects as rural areas don't need more development, keep to towns, transport can be provided efficiently and economically.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-006
What is your name? - Name
Paul Christmas
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Neighborhood watch area rep.
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The Plan should be made available on Facebook, X and other social media.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
There is a lack of depth in how targets will be achieved.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
There are no costed details, just aspirations without contingency plans.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No
6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?
Notes again the strategy has aspirational highlights without costed details.
7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?
Yes, environment overrides other plans as without environmental control we have no future.
8: Should we identify further land for employment?
Council should use brownfield sites before further expansion.
9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.
N/A
10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?
It is logical.
11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?
Infrastructure planning should be much better than it is. Notes that massive investment needed in roads and rail.
12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?
Not enough details on cost benefits and infrastructure investment.
13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?
States that maximum flexibility and new ideas are required.
14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?
Not enough details and investment time lines.
15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?
States that without environmental and infrastructure details planning is again aspirational.
16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Concern over Council's past record and lack of vision.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes overall

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Yes overall

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Notes a lack of vision and infrastructure planning detail.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Unaware.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Too complicated and unmanageable

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Lack of vision and new ideas.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

No comment

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

All canal side and river side areas.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

No

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

There are a lack of details regarding traffic and infrastructure plus no mention of massive investment in healthcare required.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Banbury needs more brown site redevelopment.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

All rundown brown sites

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Oxford Road to motorway and ring road development

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

N/A

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

N/A

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

N/A

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

N/A

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

No

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Has been needed doing for many years.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

No

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

No details on infrastructure and transport, just aspirations.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

yes

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Yes

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Unsure

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Concern for lack of costed plans.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

States that designation as a town is required.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Unsure

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

No

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Unsure

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Yes

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

No

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

No

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Notes lack of details and costed plans.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Lack of vision.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

No

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

No

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-007
What is your name? - Name
Margaret Boggs
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The council need to consider Kidlington/its residents, OCC makes final decisions.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Further care/consideration of growth at Kidlington is needed.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
None
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Development of Kidlington not including infrastructure, doctors/pharmacies beyond capacity. Questions what the plans are for medical/schooling provision. Questions why CDC allow OUFC stadium build, closing a major road on match days because there is no underpass

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Developers need to provide required number of affordable/social housing for those people who can't afford affordable housing.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

No.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Developments have been allowed on green belt land - the OUFC stadium.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

find people who care about Kidlington and its residents

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Not interested.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Not supportive.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Only if its genuine affordable/social housing. Council to ensure developers deliver their commitments.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Questions why building on greenbelt is allowed.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Re stopping traffic on match days for OUFC instead of making them pay for an underpass.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Lower rents so businesses can afford them.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-008
What is your name? - Name
Ian Huckin
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Very complicated
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

There are, and have been, lots of developments in the Banbury area. Massive warehouses for example. Why haven't CDC refused them, unless they put solar panels on them? This is a massive lost chance to increase the area's sustainability and it's green policies (such as they are).

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-009
What is your name? - Name
Duncan Hedley
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
N/A
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
N/A
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The Plan period seems reasonable.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
It was clear.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
No
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Rural communities are poorly served by public transport and paths are scarce in some places.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Public transport is not an alternative for many as the services are not always there for those who have to commute.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Seems reasonable.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Depends where

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

N/A

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

It is sensible.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Agrees

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

More specifics are required.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

No issues

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Agrees

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Agrees

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

It is important to regenerate town centres as many are in poor state.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

No

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Concerned that infrastructure is always a second thought.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Agrees

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Agrees

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

It depends.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

They do not know enough about these communities needs.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

No

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

It seems reasonable.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Only if the infrastructure allows.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Doesn't know.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Doesn't know.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

It seems reasonable.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

It depends on infrastructure and local need.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No
34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?
Yes
35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?
No
36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?
No
37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?
Doesn't know
38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?
No comment
39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?
Doesn't know
40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?
Highlight the history
41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?
Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?
No
42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Ok

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Not sure

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Yes

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Doesn't know

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

There needs to be improved transport infrastructure. Routes should also be found to minimise the damage big trucks do to bridges, etc.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Yes

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

'possibly'

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

It seems reasonable if infrastructure is in place.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

States that thought should be given to making the canal towpath usable in all weathers to encourage walking to the local train station.

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

No

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

The planners should engage with transport providers as the bus service and train service are getting worse despite the increase in potential customers.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Seems reasonable

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Encourage more people to work from home to hit green targets.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

No

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-010
What is your name? - Name
Jon Mason
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Very long documentation cannot be read in short timeframe. Concern over developing the greenbelt.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Concern over building on the greenbelt and ruining Cherwell's natural environment. In particular, priority should be given to avoiding development that extends out from current housing into greenbelt areas without further nearby housing in that direction,
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Concern over existing plans to build on the Greenbelt.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
The Vision should be to protect the greenbelt and Cherwell's natural environment.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
The objectives should be to protect the greenbelt and Cherwell's natural environment.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Concern over developing greenbelt land.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Concern over developing greenbelt land.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Concern over developing greenbelt land.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Concern over developing greenbelt land.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concern over developing greenbelt land.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Concern over developing greenbelt land.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Greenbelt boundaries should return to how they were before the partial review.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Concern over developing greenbelt land.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Concern over developing greenbelt land.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Concern over developing greenbelt land.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Concern over developing greenbelt land.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-011
What is your name? - Name
Annabel Munro
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No comments
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
These are all good ideas. Adds that the Council should be more forceful however in requiring developers to make homes as energy efficient as possible, such as installing heat pumps etc as part of planning permission where possible. It would also be beneficial to push developers to include more self build homes.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

It is dependent on what that employment might be. More high skilled work, not so many factories, and consideration of the hybrid nature of work post-covid.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

No, this makes sense. Careful consideration must be given to highways though to support this objective.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

This is sensible.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Highways

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

No issues

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Agrees

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

It depends on the area. Adds that this makes sense for Bicester, not really the other areas though.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

In agreement that Banbury Town Centre desperately needs revitalising.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

The plans cannot be seen

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

No

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

States that CDC should focus on pushing away from cars by ensuring people are able to access resources they might need within 15 mins of their home. Therefore create smaller pockets with each area having key resources.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The proposals can't be found.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Affordable housing is a must.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

They're great aspirations

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

CDC need to be careful about expanding too much in Banbury, as it lack the highways and resources

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

There should be less in the Hanwell fields area

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-012
What is your name? - Name
Casey Orman
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Concerned that consideration for 300+ houses behind The Moors is even being considered. Had been assured, after the 4400 houses from Oxford Council had been approved, that this area would not be built on, retaining this beautiful wildlife area.
Adds that many residents in Kidlington use this area of Green Belt, walking, de stressing & just generally enjoying the Countryside on our doorstep. Building houses on this area will be decimating the Green Belt & all the wildlife in it. Adds that the individual's garden already floods when there is heavy rainfall. Concreting over the fields behind the property and neighbours will only increase this problem.
Kidlington is already sprawling out over all the green spaces. There are far more houses than needed, already planned, so why build more & destroy this beautiful area teeming with wildlife?
The Moors road will become choked with the permanent increase in traffic. Children walking to North Kidlington School & the elderly residents in Homewell House & Moorside Place will then have to cross a busy road. More road/pavement furnishings which are very non environmentally friendly, will have to be added. More pollution, rubbish & danger from the added traffic.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, there are already have enough houses.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No. Adds that the 4400 being dumped into Kidlington and surrounding area is more than enough houses for this area. The infrastructure for these 4400 houses will cripple Kidlington roads.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No, leave the green belt areas that are still available in Kidlington, alone. Adds that enough has been taken already.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No, leave the green belt boundaries alone. Questions how developing on the Green belt is protecting the environment, the wildlife and as a sanctuary for the residents of Kidlington's mental health. Taking them away will result in more social problems.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

New houses behind The Moors otherwise The Moors and Mill street will become a rat run, busier main road, causing safety problems for children attending North Kidlington School and elderly residents in Homewell House and Moorside place.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

The green belt behind The Moors should be left alone.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Kidlington does not need any more houses built on green belt land. Kidlington has too many houses already being built from Oxford's needs. States that more decimation of green belt spaces and wildlife to line the builders pockets for commuters from London to live in Kidlington is not needed. The houses would not cater for the needs of Kidlington residents.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-013
What is your name? - Name
Tracey Matthews
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

States that until road infrastructure is sorted nowhere is healthy.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

CDC need to consider types of buildings built, how many additional cars, lorries etc and need to encourage smaller businesses/varied opportunities. Adds that warehouses do not employ many people compared to the size of buildings.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Absolutely develop what is already there.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Use what is already there first stop building on fields.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Questions why encourage tourism, should be encouraging less travel, already have sites to visit locally.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

CDC need to concentrate on the empty shops/units and reenergize town centres.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

States that road infrastructure needs to be better before agreeing to any more building.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Only if they pay all taxes etc.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

All current green spaces should be considered.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Howes Lane realignment needs to be in place, currently the local roads are dangerous/Queens Avenue is horrendous.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to Dean's Court car park, important for access that side of the town centre.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Yes, somewhere else.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Objects, no more warehouses to be built on green land. Save the wild areas and build on land we already have.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Graven Hill

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Howes Lane realignment priority, all bypasses 50mph, internal roads within Bicester 20mph to ensure people go around rather than through. Queens Ave has three schools/additional traffic is terrible for pollution, safety etc.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Current park and ride. Adds that all roads should have cycle paths on the roads, pathways kept for walkers.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Lower rents/rates, offer incentives for smaller shops/use larger units for market type shopping centres. Sainsbury's look after Pioneer Square. Sheep Street/Pioneer Way only those with permission can drive here. Stop drivers using Pioneer Square as a ca

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Sort infrastructure first, developers pay before allowed to build. Ensure sufficient cemetery space. More Drs/easier access. Town centres, shops need to be filled. Use areas already built on/opportunity to build flats. Keep rents down/don't sell off council

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
INVALID
What is your name? - Name
J
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Rural areas and communities should be left alone. Adds that the roads and access in these areas are extremely limited and development is unwarranted, unnecessary and unwelcome. States that affordable housing should be put alongside the motorway, where land is freely available and cheaper to acquire.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

East of Banbury. Adds that the area west, approaching the Cotswolds, should be left alone. States that this is an AONB and is only degraded by development. Developing in this region is detracting from Oxfordshire, not adding to it.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

They should be left alone. There should be no more development, but the roads should be fixed.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

The development should be located right alongside the motorway.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-014
What is your name? - Name
Gary Lucas
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
States that Bicester cannot sustain this many new homes. Adds that the infrastructure is not able to cope. It is already close to gridlock.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

No

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

States that CDC appear to want to ruin the town with overcrowding and gridlocked roads, less access to public services and health services.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-015
What is your name? - Name
Jonny Burke
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Bicester has great potential. Understands why it is a focus for new growth - despite the listed objectives in the plan- when looking at the recent housing developments in the area it's hard to see evidence of these being realised by the time housing is co

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Welcomes a review and modelling to be undertaken of the traffic impact and options to mitigate this through public transport, walking and cycling routes as well as creation of local centres within these new developments along the 15 minute city concept.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Notes that South West Bicester in proximity to the M40 could help alleviate any traffic impact - a multicriteria evidence based assessment taking into account factors such as transport, air pollution, wellbeing and biodiversity to help identify and commun

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

A network of footpaths and cycle routes.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Supports more wetland habitat to minimise flooding and help alleviate water quality impact of development.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-016
What is your name? - Name
Bob Sharples RIBA ARB MRTPI
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Sport England
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
It is easy to read and follow.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
No
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
They are fairly sound objectives.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

S10 suggest embedding Sport England's Active Design into Plan with it's 10 principles to our built and natural environments. Create active environments to encourage people to be active, to re-enforce the thrust of this objective.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

District, adding to bullet point 3:
Ensure that new development improves well-being wherever possible through design, accessibility, social interaction, the provision of amenities and facilities and opportunities for active travel, informal activity and recreation;
Following Sport England's Active Design principles.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No comment

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No comment

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

No

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Traditional forms of employment have been changing but the perception of what employment land is has not. Sport is often overlooked as an employer but is sizable in Cherwell. There are wider values as well in the health economy, wider spending and volu

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

It seems logical.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

It is acceptable in some circumstances.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

This can bring benefits to sport through equestrian, off-road sports, shooting.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

No comment

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

No comment
17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?
It seems logical.
18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?
It seems logical.
19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?
No
20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?
No
21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?
N/A
22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?
It seems logical.
23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?
No comment
24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?
No comment
25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?
No comment

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

On Core Policy 46, supportive but suggests incorporating Sport England's Active Design with it's 10 principles to our built and natural environments.
Core Policy 47 - supportive but suggest the need to include readily accessible charging points for electric scooters and bikes.
Core Policy 55 - supportive of this policy however Sports England's Playing Pitch and Built Facilities Calculators – Should read Sports England Playing Pitch and Sports Facilities Calculators. Should reference evidence base – Playing Pitch strategy and Built facility strategy.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

No Comment

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

It seems logical.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No comment

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Comments a need that Banbury United Stadium is relocated/operational before redevelopment works starts.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

No comment

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comment

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No comment

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

No comment

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comment

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comment

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No comment

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comment

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comment

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

No comment

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

There is a need to protect the gliding centre from any development that impedes the sport.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

No Comment

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comment

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No Comment

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

No comment

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comment

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No comment

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No comment

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

No comment

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No comment

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

No comment

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No comment

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No comment

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Stratfield Brake sports ground is constrained and to consider other sites for a sport hub. Long term, Stratfield Brake could be developed for housing.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

No Comment

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

No Comment

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

No Comment

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

No Comment

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No Comment

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No Comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

Disappointed that the proposed sports hub is missing from Heyford Strategy Map, no mention in document.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-017
What is your name? - Name
Adrian White
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

It is difficult to develop in rural areas without disfiguring the villages and building on greenfield land. Adds that much of the development in Steeple Aston has not reflected the character of the village. A previous Local Plan referred to Category A villages as being suitable for 'minor development, infill and conversions' and nothing has changed since then apart from the inflated numbers. No more than 20 houses in the plan period would better protect the identity and character of the village.

States that CDC also need to plan to ensure food security by protecting farming land and cannot assume that we will be able to continue to import much of our food and animal feed.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

States that Category A villages need more protection from greenfield housing estates.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

It should only be built if its occupation can be limited to existing members of the village or those with substantial local connections or there is no value in it for the village. There are previous experiences of people who did not want to live in the village being sent to live here instead of local people who did want to be here.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Notes that villages cannot be defined as sustainable if local transport links are inadequate. A change in the local bus timetables has meant that most cannot now readily use the bus to get to school or work in Oxford or Banbury and we now see that a similar change to the trains from Heyford is proposed.
500 houses spread across the villages is far too many. Oxford needs to work harder in identifying brownfield land within the City to take its development. Steeple Aston's organic rate of growth has historically been 10-20 houses in any plan period.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

It is being permitted to expand too rapidly before a full assessment of its impact can be made.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

States that much more in the way of statutory protections are needed. Development must be of the highest quality in terms of its design, reflect the vernacular tradition for the village and be in keeping with the existing use of materials.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-018

What is your name? - Name

Jennifer McKenzie

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Bicester is growing/number of houses proposed road links/health care are important. Questions why a plan for Graven Hill site/re-siting existing surgeries has been stopped. Existing practices cramped facilities/difficult parking/ lack of ability to expand. Infrastructure - closure proposal of the level crossing at London Road would result in more traffic around ring road and into town. Train routes starting in 2025 and yet no solution to traffic congestion.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

See comments above re lack of transport links/doctors provision in Bicester.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

The plan makes much of environmental issues but thought must be given to practicalities. Reducing reliance of private car use is only practical where alternatives are available.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Continue to maximise benefits of having key destinations and economic activity to support business investment; support continued improvement of town's centre, facilities, public realm and 'green' environment; resolve transport connectivity/ infrastructure and encourage active travel. The level crossing at London Road needs to be put at the forefront of priorities.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

States that sacrificing is a matter of balance - some sacrifices may need to be made this should not be at the detriment of the overall plan.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

There needs to be a balance between housing and employment; and also type of employment to attract a diverse work force.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Agrees with proposal but There is nothing to state that environment issues should be included (examples given in Rep). If Green Town then environmental issues should be there at the early stages of planning.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Document makes much of siting new facilities close to towns where existing links are good however objects that road links are not sustainable (see representation for examples).

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Agrees out of town developments are to be resisted. Very low/no council rates for start up businesses to encourage new shops in the town should be considered.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

There is insufficient help to attract new business. If a shop has not been leased it should not be converted to houses/flats. Better to lower rents and rates to attract business.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Priority should be given to finding affordable housing solutions. Shared ownership schemes are not always affordable.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Agrees communities should be supported but concerns of local people should be taken into account and addressed.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Aspirations for Bicester are sound but improvements in links and the London Road crossing need priority (gives details in Rep of concerns). Comments an application for a health facility on a new development was denied, requests this is revisited with poli

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Not to encourage more housing until we get the road/rail links sorted.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Better leisure facilities and parking. Areas of change re Deans Court/Claremont Car parks lending itself to residential use and rethinking Market Square - the loss of car parking facilities in the town centre would be a detriment to visitors to town centr

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Policies seem well documented - concern is that nothing seems to follow those policies.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Comments measures for implementing the plan do not seem to go far enough to ensure successful delivery especially urgent infrastructure issues.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-019
What is your name? - Name
John Wainwright
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Quotes principal aspiration of the Plan re Kidlington but comments proposal will destroy a large section of the Green Belt behind The Moors in order to build a housing estate and village atmosphere will be lost (gives details/examples in Rep of concerns and views on Oxfords unmet housing needs).

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects - unbelievable that area of Green Belt behind The Moors can be under consideration for housing development (see Rep for quotes from Kidlington Framework Master Plan of March 2016 re the land north of The Moors with comparison to LP 2040, On value to residents and wildlife, quotes from Oxfordshire Wildlife and Landscape Study (Owls 2004), Concerns re flooding, additional traffic and loss of existing paths to surrounding villages).

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

'The Triangle', where apparently the provision of a football stadium is regarded as a more pressing local need than housing.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-020
What is your name? - Name
Simon Garrett
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
It is good to have a plan
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The Plan should include more numbers, more statistics.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
No
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Comments that the plan is not fully formed, where are planned sites for schools, health care, places to work without having to commute?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The strategy should be expanded.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Comments most definitely

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

N/A

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

States that much more is needed.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

States that much more is needed.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Need for much more land allocated for employment and to be accessible without the need to commute.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

That's fine.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

All good.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

This will likely become a net loss in terms of income gained, but there are other benefits that make it worthwhile.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

If out of town retail is necessary, make sites smaller. The clumping of too many retailers in one out of town space is what is hurting town centres.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Not necessarily.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Comments somewhat.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Need more housing, but it has to be affordable on the Cherwell median income.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Spread it around, huge new estates shut people off from the rest of the town and reduce inclusivity.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Comments probably.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

None.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Make it actually affordable, rents are approaching 50% of income.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes. Questions why anything needs to be sacrificed.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

These are vital , but unsure on where.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

No

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Banbury needs investment into infrastructure and people's needs, a more joined up plan that includes Government and County Council priorities.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Need housing , but also need local services.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Canalside and country parks.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

None

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Comments somewhat

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Comments don't know

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Comments don't know

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Comments don't know

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Comments probably

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

None

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

No

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

None

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Probably

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Probably

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Questions why

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

No

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Yes, they have to work somewhere

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

No

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

No

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

No

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Comments kind of

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

No

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

States that a more detailed timetable is needed.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Comments needs to be a bigger more integrated plan that focuses on people's needs not just housing.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

No

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-021
What is your name? - Name
Frank Smith
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Castle Quay needs empty units used for wider range of shops, car parking for town centre should be free, improve the Market. Would like new bingo hall including access for disabled.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-022
What is your name? - Name
Lawrence Putt
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The Plan period is fine.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
It is a lot of information suggests singular PowerPoint slides covering headlines.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
States that incorporating existing agreed to plans is important so support this.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No comments.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Agrees, supports the headline themes but ensure the final housing development plans align to these missions.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No comments.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Comments further developments do not extend the boundaries of village/provide protection for environment/accompanied by upgrades to village infrastructure and reflect the existing challenges.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Comments further developments do not extend the boundaries of the village/provide protections for the environment/building plans are accompanied by upgrades to village infrastructure and reflect the existing challenges.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Comments depends on what other requirements!

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

No comments.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Would support expanding the hub centres of the area (where infrastructure is greater and would require less upgrade) than building in the more rural areas and protecting the natural environment.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Comments fine.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

No comments.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

No comments.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Would want to ensure developments do not extend the boundaries of village, provide protections for the environment, views of local residents and building plans are accompanied by upgrades to village infrastructure and reflect the existing challenges.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

For hub centres (Banbury, Bicester) this is fine, but not for more rural areas where this is not required.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

No comments.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

No comments.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

No comments.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

No comments.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No comments.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

No comments.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

No comments.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Objects - comments do not build on any existing green land.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

No comments.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Questions whether town centre space/empty shops be re-positioned for housing development?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comments.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Comments un-used shopping areas to be re-positioned for housing development?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No comments.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No comments.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

No comments.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comments.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No comments.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

No comments.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comments.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comments.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No comments.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comments.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comments.

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

No comments.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

No comments.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

No comments.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

No comments.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Would want to ensure developments do not extend the boundaries of the village, provide protections for the environment, views of local residents and any building plans are accompanied by upgrades to village infrastructure and reflect the existing challenges

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Would want to ensure developments do not extend the boundaries of the village, provide protections for the environment, views of local residents and any building plans are accompanied by upgrades to village infrastructure and reflect the existing challenge

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No comments.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No comments.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Would want to ensure developments do not extend the boundaries of the village, provide protections for the environment, views of local residents and any building plans are accompanied by upgrades to village infrastructure and reflect the existing challenge

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No comments.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Would want to ensure any further developments do not extend the boundaries of the village, provide protections for the environment, views of local residents and any building plans are accompanied by upgrades to village infrastructure and reflect the existing challenges.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-023
What is your name? - Name
Rachel O'Rourke
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Comments - not sure it includes wildlife corridors to protect our wildlife with all the expansion.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-024
What is your name? - Name
S. Tyrrell
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Only interested in proposals for Kidlington although the remainder of the Plan has some areas of interest.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Comments by persons at the "presentations/consultations" taking notes/being interested in comments instead of expecting everybody to have access to computer facilities.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

It is beyond the scope of Cherwell District Council to meet the challenges of "Climate Change"/Sustainable Development, and these matters have already passed the point of no return in Kidlington (gives examples of this in Representation). Kidlington has lost its community, flats do not encourage social development.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Objects, Not within Kidlington. Before more employment is encouraged road conditions need to be addressed/if CDC expect everybody to walk or cycle.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Comments opportunities for employment have increased with development at airport/Langford Lane but has brought larger vehicles to Kidlington, increased flights at airport. Questions what this has done to the air quality or to improve the access in and out

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Check on who wants these facilities.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Seems short sighted at present.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Retail development would be interesting, but not feasible in areas already having been left to diminish.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Agrees if Cherwell District Council is able to keep developers to their promises for affordable housing but what steps would be taken by the Council to ensure affordable housing was provided? The real need is for the implementation of "Council Housing".

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Objects, It is not a high priority. Questions whether there is a consultation with travelling communities regarding this.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land at rear of housing in The Moors, playing field to rear of Exeter Hall and all the other playing fields in Kidlington.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Reassess figures used for the housing needed. Questions why CDC is considering Oxford's unmet figures.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Somewhat short sighted, does not appear to have considered Kidlington a great deal in the past, or the future.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No. There needs to be a big breathing space before any more development is allowed in Kidlington. The facilities for people who may inhabit more housing are inadequate.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

None at present. The site of a Care Home in the Moors has been neglected - questions who owns it and what is proposed.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

New employment means more traffic/pollution/people from outside of Kidlington being employed. Existing sites/developments in Langford Lane have only brought traffic misery.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Only sites within Oxford City boundaries.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Agrees if can promise less traffic

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Bus service is adequate/less traffic lights/change bus lane between Kidlington Sainsbury and rail station so those accessing station can use it too/ditch crossing idea for proposed football club.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

If you can stop the change that is already happening to Kidlington village centre that would help. Very few retail units want to come to an already dead centre (see Representation for examples).

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Future developments should be on hold until the Begbroke development is completed due to traffic. Serious consideration must be given to quality of life of residents.

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Comments - refer to answers at the start of this survey.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-025
What is your name? - Name
Phil Riman
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2040 is sensible, dividing it between short and longer term plans will assist as you will have greater ability to meet plans for the shorter time whilst having a wider strategic eye on the longer term.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Use less ambiguous language, especially around objectives. There is too much subjectivity and not enough specificity (example in Representation). Differentiate between strategy, tactics, objectives and measures. Adds that the plan includes a "strategy" t
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
They largely predate the current understanding on the immensity of the climate challenge and understate the importance of protecting wildlife and biodiversity.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
See above comments.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Sustainability is not the same as energy efficiency. Reducing embedded carbon is the most important issue/best way to do that is to repurpose existing buildings. There should be a far greater focus on tree preservation/planting/hedgerow protection/ensuring wildlife corridors. Access to large open/green spaces/fresh air is vital to physical and mental wellbeing. There should be a greater emphasis on social care housing - especially for the elderly. The statements are too vague and lack specificity. The challenge of over-development of huge storage facilities/fulfilment warehouses is not addressed adequately. Needs to be a greater sense of cohesion between the rural and urban aspects of the plans (example given in Representation).
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Banbury appears to be in systemic decline whereas Bicester has been overdeveloped in its orbital areas but is similarly in decline in its centre (examples in Representation). A coherent approach to building smaller/self-sustaining communities facilities w

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Refer to earlier On the strategy and restate them here. The housing targets look especially exaggerated (see Representation for figure comparisons). The proposed housing on green belt land, notably at Kidlington, undermines the whole idea of a green belt. Given the existing plans have already developed into large areas of green belt, this has to be reversed. The housing density allocations look far too low. There is insufficient identification and ear-marking of green space in the plan.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Objects - No, should reuse and repurpose existing brownfield sites.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

No need for additional employment land to meet plans, especially with the move to greater home working. Skills shortage is the greater issue and that is largely a matter of training and education.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Location is less important than the logic - guiding points should be avoid green belt development/promote urban regeneration/development on brownfield sites only and avoid further strain on existing infrastructure whilst avoiding new road-building.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing targets look exaggerated (see Representation for figures quoted). The proposed housing on green belt land undermines the whole idea of a green belt - namely a space that is protected as green in perpetuity. Given the existing plans have already d

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Housing targets look especially exaggerated (see Representation for figures quoted). Proposed housing on green belt land undermines the whole idea of a green belt - namely a space that is protected as green in perpetuity. Given the existing plans have already developed into large areas of green belt, this has to be reversed. Housing density allocations look far too low. Insufficient identification and ear-marking of green space in the plan.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

A closer look at increasing housing density for all housing, including affordable housing is required.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Agree, provided this is not at the expense of the natural environment.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

There is a general lack of green space allocation. Areas around Woodstock should be preserved as green spaces/Oxford should have a meaningful green belt buffer that is not subject to yet further erosion as planned.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Focus on new development on previously developed land within the existing urban areas is right. Would suggest that 100% of new development should be on brownfield land. Agrees with the approach to the canalside and resisting out of town developments.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Area has been overdeveloped with a large loss of habitat. Increase in housing needs is excessive. Plans will impact the area, save for the aim of expanding natural/semi-natural open spaces accessible to the public, including new wooded areas.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Should be more town centre development and repurposing of existing buildings.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

No

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Green corridors for wildlife/ponds and green spaces. Lots of woodland and policy not to fell any existing trees.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

This repeats question 38. See answer above.

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Increased provision of retirement villages and higher density housing generally.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Agrees with the English Heritage approach and the conservation-led proposals/priority should be given to environmental and heritage conservation factors over all other factors.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

There is far too much development on green belt.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Town centre.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Other than within the town centre where car parks/existing buildings could be repurposed and greater housing density there encouraged.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Green infrastructure in the form of solar should be confined to brownfield and housing/other rooftops.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Should not build on green belt.

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Within footprint of original RAF site, a good case for development and approves of focus on improving facilities for benefit of Heyford community. Beyond this limit it represents irresponsible over-development. Outside the existing developed area drives need for more road building/infrastructure development that will cause further environmental damage/habitat loss. Scale of development is completely inappropriate.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

No

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

No

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Comments see earlier comments.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

Should be much less development than is planned, phased or otherwise.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Should not build on green belt land. Inadequate focus on preventing habitat loss. Classification of larger villages is bizarre/small villages classified as large for new housing assessments. The statement regarding re-development of some brownfield sites should be replaced by statement of a commitment to build first on brownfield sites in rural areas and only then elsewhere, properly balance housing needs against the risks of climate change/habitat loss with commitment to protecting green field/belt land and focus on protecting habitats/reducing embedded carbon generally.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Brownfield should be developed before any greenfield.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Evidence supporting housing development targets is flawed and the targets therefore overstated as shown by CPRE and others.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-026
What is your name? - Name
Steve Capper
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Resident of Bicester
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Objects. Developers have had 18 months and the local residents have six weeks to respond.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Give more time/effort to engagement, publicise more, there are so many media outlets than the traditional ones.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Whole plan seems hidden away so that residents are not aware of the impact of this plan.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Wholesale building of housing/warehouses in inappropriate places seem at odds with the three themes above. Carving up whole tracts of countryside is in contravention of Theme one. Building a large Tesco and retail estate opposite is in contravention of Theme two. Allowing massive developments (Great Lodge water park) is in contravention of Theme 3. Huge traffic disruption which are already not fit for purpose/not enough workers in the area to support business.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Question why CDC continually allow developers to get their way, which is clearly against the objectives.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Lots of strategy not enough realism.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Objects. No, if you want biodiversity stop building on countryside and agricultural land.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Objects.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Suggests relocating out of Bicester.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Building more warehouses and manufacturing sites, we already have enough.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

It is a low employment area. Question why Bicester is trying to be bigger, the roads, schools, surgeries already cannot cope.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Objects, It should not be allowed.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Agrees, yes if it works like Bicester Heritage.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Objects, leave agriculture and the countryside as it is.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Questions why we need tourists blocking the roads. Bicester village already causes great chaos.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Councils are trying to get us out of our cars but building retail development you have to drive to, leaving town centres unattractive so it is obviously flawed.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

No

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

No.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

No.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

There is not enough affordable housing being built (see Rep for quotes).

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Bucknell Parish Council.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Comments see question 20.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Policy is not followed (see Representation for example).

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Agrees, yes, hold the developers to account, including the building of schools and surgeries.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Objects, They already have more than enough facilities.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The area around Bucknell and Chesterton.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

No.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Doesn't live in Banbury.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Land should be safeguarded for wildlife/trees not more park and ride.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Stop increasing the size of Bicester, the infrastructure cannot cope already.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Comments not all.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

The MOD land.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

No.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

None except MOD land.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No, the park and ride is only used by Bicester Village shoppers coming by car from outside, have two train stations and too many buses running half empty.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Leave the countryside alone.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

None at all.

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Limit Bicester Village growth/stop the centre grinding to a halt at the railway crossing/repair the roads/enforce the parking restrictions.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Agree, good, lots of space, very little environmental impact, not building on green land, clean industries, reasonable road access.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Would like to register total rejection of local plan draft regarding building of housing between North West Bicester and Bucknell (Firethorn) (see representation for reasons/details).

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Questions why is a football club allowed to abandon it's current site and have a large environmental impact on Kidlington.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

N/A

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

N/A

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

Leave the countryside alone.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Re football club, very low numbers of spectators, planning seems to be being granted, not within the policy.

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Comments better use could be made of the old RAF Station.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

N/A

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

N/A

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

N/A

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

N/A

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No areas should be used for transport schemes.

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

No

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Stop developing on them.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects, total rejection of the Cherwell local plan draft regarding the building of housing between North West Bicester and Bucknell (Firethorn) (see Representation for reasons/examples).

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Questions why Bicester needs to expand, makes request to stop building on rural land.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

No

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Not following CDC's own policy.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Registers total rejection of the Cherwell local plan draft regarding the building of housing between NorthWest Bicester and Bucknell (Firethorn) (see Representation for reasons/examples).

Summary comments of all questions

Registers total rejection of CLP regarding building of housing between North West Bicester and Bucknell. That it is another land grab of beautiful countryside no benefit to residents of Bicester and surrounding villages. Consider south of Bicester alongs

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-027
What is your name? - Name
Jane Hennell
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Area Planner
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
The Canal & River Trust
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Plan is clearly set out and easy to understand.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Theme 1. CP2 para 3.14 request to add net zero heating and cooling opportunities using canal or river water. CP7 flooding can be caused by more than fluvial flooding. All sources (examples in Representation) should be taken into account. EA flood maps do not necessarily accurately identify flooding from such structures. Further information can be provided if required. CP8 Early engagement and agreement from any receiving water authority must be sought before a development proposes a discharge (examples/details in Representation).

CP 10 support. CP15 GBI there is a need to protect/enhance existing off site GBI from impact of additional use as a result of new development as well as on site GBI. An audit of existing GBI likely to be affected and mitigation proposal for its enhancement should be provided. Voiced support for the following policies: CP17, CP18, CP19, CP21

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP12, The Canal & River Trust will consider proposals from developers to deliver net gains on its land (be these watercourse units or other habitat types) on a case-by-case basis. Adds that CDC should refer to Defra's 'Sell biodiversity units as a land manager' guidance. The Trust's agreement to habitat enhancement activities being undertaken on the respondent's land will be subject to operational/management/commercial considerations.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?**11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?****12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?****13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?****14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?****15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?**

Tourism development for boating visitors such as marinas can only be located directly adjacent to the Canal. Supports the need to locate such development close to villages which can provide other facilities such as local shops and public houses.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

CP42 lists a criteria for the assessment of Sites for Gypsies/Travellers but it is not clear if it relates to travelling show people/boat dwellers. Notes that this needs further clarification. Note the intention to carry out an updated GTAA and that thi

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP 46 Trust generally supports this policy (see Representation for details). CP47 generally supported however it noted at para 3.350 a small section of towpath (part of Mill Lane, Kirtlington) is shown as public bridleway. Whilst support of the towpath for active travel (walking /cycling), the Trust would not support use as bridleway due to the restricted width/types of surfacing which is not suitable for increased horse usage. CP60 policy welcomes with some minor suggestions (see Representation for details). CP61 residential moorings, assumes that this policy relates to residential moorings which require planning permission for boaters to live on board permanently, as sole residence (see Representation for queries on policy wording/ultimate control of provision/engagement with Canal & River Trust to consider policy).

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Agrees and welcomes the aspirations. States that Canalside would benefit from mixed use development, area immediately adjacent should be developed as canal focused public realm. It would help if the route of the canal was identified on the map.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

CP66, GBI note that Bicester has incorrectly been mentioned. CP68 - Canalside is key gateway for those arriving by boat. Para 4.52 states that this area remains allocated for a mixed-use redevelopment, however there is now an expectation that developme

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Not clear why paras 6.68 and 6.69 under Green & Blue Infrastructure. In support of improvements to blue infrastructure corridor, the Trust will consider proposals from developers to deliver net gains on its land on case-by-case basis having regard to Defra's 'Sell biodiversity units as a land manager' guidance. Agreement to habitat enhancement activities will be subject to operational/management/commercial considerations. Fully support policy CP80.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

CP76 active travel routes to include improvements towards Oxford not just local villages ie linking improvements to the existing improved towpath from Oxford. Adds that Oxford Canal/River Cherwell should be identified on the maps.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Canal & River Trust wish to comment at the earliest opportunity for any future allocations which may have an impact on the Oxford Canal.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

States that canals and rivers maps are needed. The Trust seeks to discuss policies ahead of developments coming forward.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-028
What is your name? - Name
James Smith
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Banbury CAG
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

There is not enough thought into building infrastructure (GP surgeries for example) before allowing more housing.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Proposes to shut the gateway centre or impose high parking charges there to make it unattractive and generate business in town centres.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Councils need to build more social housing.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Rural villages are best places for traveller sites as they are the best places to integrate these communities.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Banbury gateway

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

car travel needs to be deprioritised.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

States that local rural villages could be absorbed into Banbury easily.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Proposes to shut the gateway centre or impose high car parking charges there. Convert castle quay to residential use eg for refugees.
Offer unused castle quay units to local community groups for free eg Banbury Shed, Banbury CAG.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

States that no more social housing should be added in rural areas, whereas traveller sites and refugee resettlement sites could easily be added to villages.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Replace all crossing with zebra crossing as these give better priority to pedestrians.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-029
What is your name? - Name
Noreen Kinsey
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
n/a
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
States that respondents should be given longer to respond.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
It's hard to give the vision any credence, when development after development in the Cherwell area flies in the face of these ideals. Examples given in representation.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Question whether the Council truly supports the viability and vitality of urban centres. Questions if this is a priority over the money that developers will give the district. There is nowhere for youths to meet. The town centres are full of charity shop
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

On the railway bridge, under which there's a road to nowhere, north of Bicester. States that this was a waste of money.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

States that public transport must keep pace with development.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Supportive of rural diversification. Adds that cutting the red tape that will allow struggling farms and rural enterprise to diversify.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

There has to be associated transport infrastructure. Examples given in representation.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

There should be hefty penalties for developers who back track, prevaricate or abandon retail centres on developments. The poor people of Elmsbrook are still awaiting their 'vibrant' and 'vital' centre.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

These are not clear on the plans, so can't comment.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Developers should be held to account.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

All of the areas on Greenwood in Bicester that were passed off to private ownership, and now frequently come up for sale.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No. Adds that there are far too many allocated on greenfield sites, without adequate infrastructure in the area.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

South West of Bicester, close to the M40. Brownfield sites at Heyford.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Realign Howes Lane as promised. It still appears in the plan, even though it seems to be abandoned.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Concerned over sites 1 (Claremont car park) and 5 (Bicester depot) being developed for anything other than social housing and care homes. The roads cannot take the cars that housing developments would bring.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Youth centres, accessible social spaces for youths.
Adds no more charity shops, barbers or coffee shops.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Excellent, all for it. The sympathetic developments like Sky Wave distillery are fabulous. Ensure decent, regular buses across Bicester.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Only if public transport is improved.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

States to consider the views of Bicester residents before agreeing to build 3,600 house NW of Bicester (Hawkwell), with no clear explanation or evidence of infrastructure - road upgrades/ public transport, healthcare provision, schools.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-030
What is your name? - Name
Ben Drawer
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Voices support for seeing a lot more housebuilding in Bicester.

In favour of 'maximise opportunities for new development on previously developed land within the existing urban area, particularly in the vicinity of the town centre'. The land around Bicester

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Yes

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Notes aspiration of it becoming easier for new developments to get approved in and around the town centre where demand is greatest and transport links are strongest.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

In favour of expanding employment developments in Bicester in general.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Questions that, if the Northwest Bicester proposal goes ahead, it seems like there may be a case for another station out in that part of town, subject to feasibility work.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Allow more housing development. More residents in the town centre will likely increase footfall in the central areas, making businesses in the area more viable; and central residents will also be likely to drive less, which is good from a climate point of

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Comments build more houses.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-031

What is your name? - Name

Margaret Charlett

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Climate change/sustainable development not well served by building on green spaces.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Healthy and sustainable community needs local green spaces to act as lungs for the area and rest/recreation for the population.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

10% biodiversity is nothing. 100% would be nearer the mark. If CDC means by other requirements, housing plans from other councils, then sacrifice all of it.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

No

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Vital to maintain access/recreational use of St Mary's fields down to the Cherwell the green lung for Kidlington residents being within walking distance of most homes.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-032
What is your name? - Name
Anthony Frankland
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
That the timescale of the plan is fine.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Plan could be improved with a simple breakdown and plain English.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Pleased to see opportunities to improve the village (see Representation for examples).
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
None
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Pleased to see the opportunities to improve the village (see Representation for examples).
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
The objectives are clear but unsure how they were arrived as priorities.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

None
6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?
That the strategy is clear, appropriate and good.
7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?
No
8: Should we identify further land for employment?
No
9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.
10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?
Questions if this is this led by companies and asks are they requesting this or would any new development stand empty?
11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?
None
12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?
Comments looks good
13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?
Comments looks good
14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?
Comments fine
15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?
Comments looks good
16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Pleased to see opportunities to improve the village (see Representation for examples)

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Agrees, yes lets bring business together, provide a thriving centre that has a sense of community and is obvious to people who do not know the area.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Agrees, yes like the domestic accommodation above but keep High St vibrant and with choice.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

More social housing. A mix of housing to meet different needs (see Representation for example).

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Doesn't like back land development (see Representation for examples).

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Not sure.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

No.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Yes, it's good. Urges the Council to deliver it.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Agrees, keep the quality of the housing high.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Agrees if you involve that community.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Love Coronation space in Home Close, keep the Moors and St Mary's fields green.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

No

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

None

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

None

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

None

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

None

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

None

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Keep success of Bicester Village balanced with the needs of community. Do not allow any more out of town retail.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No more, its huge.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No
34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?
Agrees, yes
35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?
No
36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?
A bridge for the road over the train railway
37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?
No
38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?
Comments dont know
39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?
No
40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?
It has good attributes, a park/pedestrian ways/seating/cafe culture. Keep retail there.
41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?
Yes great community
Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?
No
42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Too big/sprawling.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Not the North Moors but more on the Yarnton side of canal

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Redevelop shops on Banbury/Oxford Road

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Yes

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes, once land has gone, its gone.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes, ideal.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Unsure about them, didn't fully understand the plan

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Unsure

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Pleased to see the opportunities to improve the village (see Representation for examples).

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Do not believe the North Moors should be touched.

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Land off Yarnton Road by canal

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

No

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

No

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

No

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

No

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

No

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

make them happen

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Comments cautiously yes

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Comments Thrupp

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Comments Bunkers Hill

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

No

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

They are clear

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Comments yes, too many!

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Should be able to comment on just one or two areas and not all of it. Community engagement could have been longer

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-033

What is your name? - Name

Mrs A Dudley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes. Other more creative and interconnected solutions are needed for "other requirements" eg house hunters could become low or rent-free carers for the medically well elderly etc. who could then return to their own homes. Jobs should be decentralised to smaller towns and villages to reduce traffic, pollution, enliven town centres, high street shops etc, while also providing opportunities for a more locally integrated social community.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

It is better to use existing buildings and expand current employment sites locally.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

good idea see Q8 & 11.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

It contradicts all your other objectives (see Q8 & 11).

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Comment yes. Expand and develop land already being used for employment.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

No

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Comments see previous answers

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

It depends on individual circumstances and the type of diversification.
Definitely no diversification on green belt, unless agricultural.
No solar farm at Botley West.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

It's not a priority. Consider the basic needs of current and future residents.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Comments develop and extend to reinvigorate existing high streets and town centres with access, free parking, enough facilities.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Requests assurance of the infrastructure, GP surgeries, schools etc to support a further 900 homes.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Shipton Parish Council neighbourhood plan inclusion.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Agreed.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Agreed.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

If there were no other alternatives.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

States that sites should have (and enforce) very strict rules and conditions eg duration of stay and standards to be maintained during each stay.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

States yes, Botley West, Kidlington Triangle and all green belt areas.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Kidlington desperately needs more local shops, employment, GPs, dentists, leisure areas, community facilities etc.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Yes but not on Green Belt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

States only brownfield sites.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Yes

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

States that more footpaths and cycle lanes to connect rural areas, encouraging healthier lifestyles, road safety, reduction of traffic and pollution, use of local facilities etc.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

footpath/cycle track from Bunkers Hill to Shipton-Thrupp and Kidlington.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Improve community facilities and infrastructure to encourage and maintain greener sustainable living. This includes improvements to the Oxford canal and River Cherwell corridors, especially the towpaths.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

States variety developed, expanded eg Shops, halls, leisure facilities etc.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

No to non-green use of Green Belt sites; more non-motorised travel access between villages and villages/towns.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

The proposals are too high for rural areas.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

protect and conserve rural areas.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Give more credence and incorporate local Neighbourhood plans.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

No

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-034
What is your name? - Name
Stephen C Clark
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Not long enough.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Comments longer time and include more information.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Comments trying to put far too many houses in Kidlington area. This would cause traffic congestion (see Representation for examples).
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Comments has lived in Kidlington since 1974. It is time that the Council officials listened to residents.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Comments to accommodate more houses need to build more schools/shops and new sewage plant to stop putting untreated sewage in our rivers
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
The Council is trying to overload Kidlington village using green belt land (see Representation for examples). Objects to houses being converted into flats/multiple occupancy, spoiling village.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Comments please take note of what the community of Kidlington want.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Comments proposed development North of the Moors is partly in a floodplain. Asks what are proposals to protect against climate change.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, use land already earmarked for housing to build schools/medical centres/youth clubs/leisure facilities.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Comments Kidlington airport is already far too large.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Comments Kidlington has already got industrial sites/does not need any more.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

That's fine.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Not needed in Kidlington.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

That's fine.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Not necessary.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Too many shops going broke.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Comments far too many.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Comments need to focus on affordable housing for people that have grown up in this area and not outsiders.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Yes.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Not necessary.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Should be for local people only.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Objects, states affordable housing only.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Comments definitely no.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Yes, the land north of The Moors - requests tree planting.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Comments keep it smaller.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

None

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Overcrowded.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

No

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

New road to Aylesbury.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Encourage more shops.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Comments far too big.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

No

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Comments don't pass football stadium.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

No

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Comments stop building.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Objects, No already far too big and dangerously close to residential areas.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Comments impose a weight restriction on Mill Street and The Moors.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Comments far too big.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

None.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Comments much too big, don't want to merge with Oxford or Yarnton.

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

None

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

None

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

N/A

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

N/A

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

No

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

No

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

No

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

No

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Comments take note of what local residents want.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

None

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Asks how the Council is going to make sure contamination on the triangle in Kidlington is made safe.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Live locally to The Moors, don't want 300 houses built on green belt/floodplain area. Adds that it should be left as farm land with rights of way protected for future generations.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-035
What is your name? - Name
Patricia Clissold
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Gavray Community Meadows Ltd (Registered charity 1203562)
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
States that individuals have already waited for the 2030 Plan and now to wait until 2040 seems even further away. Adds that further procrastination with a rush as 2040 nears should be avoided, resulting in ill thought out plans. Now that climate change is
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
States that by asking and answering questions that people want to know the answer to. For example, will the sewage facilities be adequate for all this development? Will CDC be able to stop putting our sewage and farm waste into our rivers? Can CDC solve t
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Plans for 1996 are irrelevant now and need removing or updating. These long periods between reviews are not helpful. Events change the outlook of the public and what had been important becomes irrelevant. Some of the keys in the databases have changed s
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
lack of infrastructure like GP surgeries, dentists and veterinary services. All are vastly over stretched. Traffic jammed roads and crowded supermarkets. All of these contribute to people's lack of mental well being, and sense of frustration in their daily
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Old housing stock needs insulation also. It is just as important to improve older houses (pre-2000) as building new houses which are compliant. Difficulty in finding an honest trader to advise and charge a fair price. The Council should have a list of traders and operators of insulation which they guarantee to be competent etc. Ideas regarding green space in rep.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

States that more outdoor workers will be needed and better pay and career structure to attract people. More collaboration with the Wildlife Trusts and the RSPB.

More needs to be done in Bicester to protect historic surrounds. Examples for use of old buil

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

States that as well as concentrating on modernising Market Square the Council need to to remember its historic environment and history. Garth House has been a great success in preservation due to the efforts of a past Mayor. The District has a sizeable po

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

It sounds very good. Questions whether it will be carried out. Notes the challenges are immense and daunting because implementation requires people to change their habits of a lifetime. Also it requires people to spend money on new ways of heating their homes etc. The public will have to make great sacrifices to achieve goals. Requests honesty from CDC. Queries whether many people will read through the long winded explanations or understand the urgency and difficulties involved. Also there are an enormous number of houses in the pipeline for construction when the area is already over burdened and lacking sufficient infrastructure. Questions whether new houses are driving the need for more employment or is it vice versa. Cherwell is in a neverending spiral of more houses, more roads, more pollution etc. Green policies are often incompatible with your plans because a lot of people crowded into small spaces does not make for healthy living. Sewage overflows can transmit disease (like Covid-19) and are dangerous to health. Pandemics arise from over crowding and lack of clean water and correct sewage disposal.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes. So much wildlife has been lost over the last 50 years, it is necessary to grab back what we can. Like us, wildlife needs somewhere to live, and their habitats are being lost and eroded by development continually. See <https://stateofnature.org.uk> The UK is one of the most nature depleted countries on Earth, AND Oxfordshire is one of the most nature depleted counties in England. More houses built means less wildlife because their habitats have gone for good. Gardens get smaller and smaller with less trees and less cover for animals. We need to give wildlife more room to get a true increase in Biodiversity. I see that your plans for 800 houses adjacent to Blackthorn Hill (LPR21 A, of area 75 ha) will affect the LWS called Blackthorn Meadows (TVERC number 61E17). In fact LPR21 A seems to have obliterated it and part of the CTA, directly contravening all your statements and arguments for increasing biodiversity and green spaces. See link C for Conservation Target Areas in Oxfordshire from TVERC made in 2020. Go to page 102/138 Appendix 2 On page 39 the document says "The proposals should consider any potential impacts on the Local Wildlife Site and its management." Also on page 95 of https://cherwell.citizenspace.com/planning-policy/cherwell-local-plan-review-2040-consultation-draft/user_uploads/cherwell-local-plan-review-2040-consultation-draft-sept-2023-3.pdf it says "Graven Hill and Blackthorn Hill that contrast with the relatively flat surrounding landform; (and) The River Ray floodplains," need preserving as special Landscape areas. Have you done or will you do this? Graven Hill Woods is no longer on the list of SSSIs. This question 7 belongs to Core Policy 12: Biodiversity Net Gain and to CP 13 (the CTA). Also CP 18 light pollution is very important. In Langford Village we are all plagued by blue LED lights shining through our bedroom windows. These lights also badly affect birds and mammals (also cats). Cats are out all night in the summer now. Also house holders security lights are left on all night and are ridiculously bright. You should have a byelaw limiting the brightness of all household outdoor lights.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

What is driving development? Is it having more houses which means their inhabitants need employment, or, does employment attract people to the area, so more homes must be built. More sewage and water infra structure is then required to support this influx of people.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Companies require servicing with "unskilled" jobs. May be creating a two tiered society where manual workers (eg. fruit pickers, animal husbandry, ground maintenance, social care workers, cleaners, waste disposal services) are ignored in your plans for th

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Local employment decreases travel distances by car. Thus less pollution and release of CO2 into the atmosphere. I have neighbours whose employment means travelling miles every day. One is a gardener working as a contractor for the M4 and the other works

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

As for Q10, decreasing long journeys to work. But I believe in "levelling up" for allowing development else where in the UK. We need to call a halt when allocated sites are full in Cherwell district. Having lives in Leeds, understands it is necessary it i

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

It should be stopped. We have enough sites allocated now.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Questions the definition of ancillary uses

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

We do need more and better houses for workers in agriculture and country side management. These families must be given priority for housing. Pubs serving good food should be supported to attract visitors from near by towns to enjoy a day in the country side. Coffee shops also selling local produce and artisanal gifts will also help the rural economy.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Core Policy CP 65, I presume. We have enough very large chain hotels like Premier Inn etc. There are smaller Hotels like the Lion in Wendlebury and the Littlebury in Bicester which need consideration and support. No more large hotels should be built because they encourage very short stay guests just visiting Bicester Village or a quick visit to Oxford. More emphasis on the history of Bicester and St Edburg's Church and the old St Edburg's Church of England School. A walk through Piggy Lane, with tourist sign posts, from Bicester Village could help. This area is really old and should be made a show piece. The verges need planting as a wildflower meadow and a pop-up cafe in the summer would all help.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Yes. town centres need more small shops. Italy is a prime example for such areas full of artisanal shops for clothes and food. The centres should be attractive with flower beds etc.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

For Bicester the plans look as expected and as they are now anyway.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes. Hairdresses, barbers, pharmacies, dentists etc are all active now. Could have more small clothes shops and a shoe shop. All of these shops have closed, forcing people to buy on line or buy in Tesco or Sainsbury.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The Economy is all important. However, we need to expand more high tech businesses which can function in smaller centres rather than large centres. Employees could still work from home 3 days a week, going into the office for 2 days to meet colleagues fac

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

CP 34: 3.178. "A Local Plan process needs to test whether housing need can be met and whether there are reasons to propose a different housing figure." Very true. Also "existing North-West Bicester and Graven Hill sites are built-out?" These two estates are proving to be enormous and very impersonal places. You have not mentioned the changed status of Policy 13. I know that its 250 houses is a drop in the ocean compared to 25,821 houses over all. However, it is a very important wildlife corridor and LWS. It is part of BBOWT's plans for restoring connections between areas with the potential for increasing biodiversity. They say "Allocations (of development) have the potential for habitat loss, fragmentation and loss of connectivity." It has now been approved for submission as a local green space. Is its number now LPR-B-624? I am not sure of how to promote it now. Do I understand that LPR-A-125 is no longer a valid tag? Why is there no label on the new map? How do I promote the slightly smaller area, approved by LandQ? Clarification is required.

Also the number of houses (7000) proposed for North West Bicester Eco Town (LPR33) using 454 ha of greenspace is far too many. It will be very detrimental to wildlife and opposes all your statements in point 6.33 on page 101/103 <https://modgov.cherwell.gov.uk/documents/b11235/Supplement%20-%20Appendix%201%20-%20Emerging%20Draft%20Local%20Plan%202040%20Thursday%2019-Jan-2023%2018.30%20Executive.pdf?T=9>

Are you really going to carry out these measures for wildlife corridors? Where are your plans for people to see and approve? I cannot see that your map "Indicative Strategic Green and Blue Infrastructure" on page 250 for LPR33 shows any possible green or blue infrastructure which will increase Biodiversity in LPR33.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

OK. agree that the two largest towns in Cherwell must bear the brunt of development. I note your intention to conserve the Green Belt, which is very welcome. The list of smaller villages is good although I see that Chesterton is listed. What about the Wat

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

More is required for young people which means less very large houses being built. That said, we need better designed houses to maximise the space available at all price levels. These days children need a lot of storage space for sports gear, computers etc. Houses should be designed for today and not for a past period when people had far fewer possessions. More sockets for computers etc.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

YES, but not at the expense of green spaces which we all need for our health and to walk in.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

CP82. We have had many ingresses of travellers into Gavray Meadows Bicester. This seems to happen when they cannot find a pitch in the nearest designated site (Piddington?). They should have better facilities and means of disposal of rubbish to prevent a

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Yes. Gavray Meadows LWS (LPR-B-624, CP56) needs a green wildlife corridor into Launton and beyond to Marsh Gibbon, etc. now that land South East of Bicester, LPR21 A, may be passed for 800 dwellings. This is against the Green Policies and proposals of BBO

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Too complex. Too much information. A few links above each box would have been appreciated. In summary, TOO much development. There does not seem to be enough questions asked about Chapter 3 Green Policy. eg. Chapt 3.57 to 3.70 have been rushed over. Core Policy 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity for example. I will re-iterate over again. Humans are in direct competition with other animals for space. As a general rule, the larger the animal, the larger is its territory, an unpalatable truth. If you build more houses on green land, the Biodiversity will decrease. You can off-set for some species but transporting species to another site may not work. Do county ecologists ever record whether the "emigrant (off set) species" succeeded in its new living quarters? I have never seen such records except for re-introduced species like the Red Kite that had been persecuted to extinction. What of dormice? They are extremely rare now due to hedgerows being cut down, so eliminating wildlife corridors. More wildlife corridors, please. Points 6.33 are very good, but I see no evidence that the overall plan has left room for all these plans to increase Biodiversity through making connections between green spaces. No one wants a "country walk" between houses or worse still, warehouses.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

I do not know Banbury.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

It sounds great. but is it achievable in present circumstances and constrained by clean water and sewage availabilty etc. Also requires more EV charging points for cars. Old people will need transport to reach super markets etc.
5.1: "There will be more n

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No. NW Bicester seems extremely isolated from Bicester itself. It needs some sort of centre. I suppose everyone drives to the M40 (junction 10) which is not good for their community. Wretchwick Green is stuck between a railway, the A41 and the ring-road.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

On your map of the Bicester Area Strategy Map you talk of the Area map as in 2015. I see that Gavray Drive (9) is still marked down as all of the area, LPR-A-125. We hope that we have come to an agreement with LandQ and that the area which was the Gavray

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Southam

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Make the JR Hospital and The Horton Hospital easier to reach. A study needs to be conducted on whether a mini bus is a possibility (to either hospital) and how often it should run.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Blue infrastructure, like Langford Brook, the River Ray etc, SuDS ponds and lakes (eg. Jubilee Lake) has to be maintained. Water features do need occasional dredging or they slowly disappear under a layer of silt. Both green and blue infrastructure should

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

There seems to be two reserves named Bicester Wetland Reserve on iRecord. One is in the Parish of Ambrosden and is the Flood Compensation area (a scrape) for the Talisman Estate and Flanders Drive, Bicester Wetland Reserve is in Bicester Thames Water Sew

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

It needs regular sweeping and removal of rubbish to look at its best.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

minimise noise generated from cars etc.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Land round Langford Park Farm, OX26 6HG, (Grade II listed) owned by Leda Developments (Abingdon) had a very high number of wading and migratory birds in 2020 and 2021. The Flood Compensatory scheme (SuDS) for the Talisman Estate and Flanders Close was ama

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Core Policy 86: Rural areas are part of Lowland habitats, associated with farms and farming. They must be supported with mini-buses so people can reach town centres. A bus to and from Tesco or Sainsbury on 2 days a week twice a day (go and return) would be helpful.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Yes. People born in the village should take precedence over others.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

CP 24

Page 32 (34) Point 3.56 MOD land like Graven Hill and Arncott are still classified as BROWN Field Sites. They are mostly superb wildlife sites because people and dogs are not allowed to wander freely around. Graven Hill had much wildlife like Barn O

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

How can the general public read these reports?

Maybe you can pick out key points for the public. I have done one of these reports before entirely on line (like now) and after submitting it I did not know what had happened to it at all..

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

It will be a huge task and I am sure that you have spent much time and energy on this Consultation. I hope that you can reconcile all the different threads, and especially green/blue infrastructure with development.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Appendix 4. Appendix 7. Appendix 8

To me all the subjects overlap as they affect each other. I have tried to answer points that impinge on Bicester and its residents. All of the points do. Also I have read your "19 Jan 2023 Appendix 1 103/288 in Emerging D

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

It is too long and complex. While filling up the form I need another tab open to see what was said by the CDC. The appendices also contain information. Photos are unnecessary and make the documents even larger in file size. Maps need to be more easily accessible with a link (can use copy and paste for security) in the questions.

Summary comments of all questions



Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-036
What is your name? - Name
Eric Grahame Leach
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
None
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Note that you have been planning this for a long time and have given us only a few days to make comments.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Build houses/other structures, provide buffer areas of green around villages and full protection of existing bridleways/pathways. Make builders provide promised extra structures.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Give the people of the area a much more open approach.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Part of the sustainability, is protecting the existing wildness of the area. Economy is important but ancient properties, villages and healthy communities need to be protected.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Comments keep us well informed.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No
6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?
Worked in this area for Danish Companies trying to stop environmental damage, good to see being taken seriously. A balance to be achieved between the sustainable economy and environment.
7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?
This is where advisors need to be listened to.
8: Should we identify further land for employment?
Don't think you have a choice, people need jobs. Nature and industry can be balanced, unfortunately not everyone will agree.
9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.
10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?
11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?
12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?
13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?
14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?
15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?
Tourism potential is quite good, Bicester Village a great success, The Wolf project was a massive error and in the wrong place (see Rep for reasons). Future projects need to be better thought out.
16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Have noticed movement of shopping area from Sheep Street to area surrounding Bicester Village, been damaging to Bicester and could have been handled better.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Reported a particular problem regarding housing in the Bicester area (see Rep for details). Houses need to be more available to those with those economic needs/empty houses fixed quickly/efficiently.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Builders need to be encouraged to build more starter homes, in the longer term this will help the movement of housing stock.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Comments Parish Councils are usually well informed but not fully included in the necessary discussions.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

This is essential and follows earlier comments.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Burnehyll Woodland (between Chesterton and Bicester) could be extended to provide a green space to include Little Chesterton as well as Chesterton and Bicester.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Many people are very sad about the huge changes. Future plans should include the locals and wherever possible they should be consulted, at the earliest stage.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Bicester has taken a lot of housing, if further are built must build supporting doctor's surgeries, schools etc, not several years later. This will make people more accepting of the changes.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

The extension of Burnehyll Wood will help in some way to keep Chesterton and Little Chesterton as villages.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Buses, buses, buses. Please send them to the local villages.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Don't overdo it, it is a huge green space and should not be ruined.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Comments many more trees

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-037
What is your name? - Name
Harriet Stapleton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

States that provision of community services, is a medical GP centre fulfilled in line with development.

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Comments Heyford Park brownfield.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

States that Cherwell shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-038
What is your name? - Name
Peter Ashby
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
That it seems adequate.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No comment
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
No
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No
6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?
No
7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?
Yes
8: Should we identify further land for employment?
Don't know.
9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.
N/A
10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?
No
11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?
No comment
12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?
No comment
13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?
No comment
14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?
No comment
15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?
No comment
16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

No comment
17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?
Yes
18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?
Don't know.
19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?
No comment
20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?
No comment
21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?
N/A
22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?
No comment
23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?
No comment
24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?
Yes
25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?
No comment

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

No

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

No comment

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Don't know

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

No comment

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Comments don't know

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

No comment

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comment

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comment

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No comment

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comment

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Comments see 38

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

No comment

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

No comment

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

The proposed inclusion of housing development north of the Moors does not meet the strategic policies of protecting access to green belt/spaces nor being readily accessible to/by public transport. Public transport summary given in representation.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

There is currently enough allocation around Kidlington to satisfy most future demand.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

No comment

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comment

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No comment

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No comment

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No comment

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Comments don't know

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

No

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

No

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

No

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-039
What is your name? - Name
Valerie Sullivan
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
By making sure every household has a hard copy.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Yes, overwhelming our villages, and our county.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Infrastructure should come first, our Town Centres are disappearing, our open spaces shrinking.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Builders are not tasked to provide solar panels or heat source pumps as standard. Kingsmere everyone is on top of each other and the roads are a rat run. Cannot continue to build like this.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Doubts over whether these will be achieved.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

States just because the M40 is within the county, can't build on all green space available.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Doubts whether this will be achieved

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

States that depending on the type of employment, we should be producing more food, instead of relying on imports and meaningful employment opportunities, that will train young people, and enhance the Country's wealth.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

N/A

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

There must be many people seeking employment, so providing there are suitable transport links - it would be welcome.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

It would depend on what you are suggesting, Bicester is already overwhelmed with warehouses, and the same in Banbury.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

That would depend on the views of those living adjacent to these sites, the warehouses on the Middleton Stoney/ Howes Lane complex are very invasive, road structure was the last thing to be considered.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Not sure what this would involve.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Not sure what this would involve.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Tourism is fine, but Bicester has been taken over entirely by Bicester Village, even the train station has been renamed. More and more of the local town land is being swallowed all at the cost of our Town Centre. Chesterton has been hit hard by the proposed Water Park, totally in the wrong place, Tourism has its place, but so do local communities.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

It is essential, we are losing our shops, not everyone wants to buy from Amazon.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Not seen them.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Not seen them.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

States that people who can afford to buy their own home should, this would free up existing stock for those who are in need. There is a case for more social housing to be built, but this needs to be with the support of those building the housing estates,

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

States maybe create a new town, such as Milton Keynes, rather than adding to existing towns that are already over populated.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

N/A

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

N/A

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Comments affordable housing is essential.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

States that would depend on the requirements you are planning to sacrifice.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Not in favour of traveller sites.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

States that Bicester Sports Ground, this was gifted to the people of Bicester, but somehow it has been allowed to be sold.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

There is a lot CDC want to achieve, but at what cost? Questions benefit to those who live in the Cherwell area?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

N/A

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

N/A

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

States that Bicester has expanded maybe 3 times over, not accounting for the Eco Village. Bicester Village has been given all the attention, and yes it has created employment, but at a huge cost to the town centre businesses. Roads in very bad repair, wa

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

States that Bicester is already over populated, unless the potential for more shops is addressed, and better roads, it cannot take any more development.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No more housing fullstop.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Employment is important. Asks where will the employees will come from if they have to travel to Cherwell the roads cannot take any more traffic.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Try looking at another county.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

A regular bus service to Chesterton and other villages.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Instead of building a car park on the Bicester Sports field, expand the Park and Ride on Vendee Drive and install traffic lights to make this round-a-bout safe. When all the road works were being undertaken on the 4095 for Great Wolf Resorts, the only oth

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

CDC need to save all the green space we currently have.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

The district should save and improve what it currentlyhas.

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Asks whether there should be additional shopping facilities. CDC provide a Retail Park which is only half retail. There is still a need to travel to Oxford, Banbury, Milton Keynes to do proper shopping.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Questions what is being proposed and asks is it a Heritage Site?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

They moved to Chesterton to get away from the constant building in Bicester, only to find a small Class A village with more housing.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

N/A

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

N/A

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

N/A

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

N/A

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

N/A

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/A

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

N/A

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/A

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

N/A

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

N/A

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

States that Heyford Park would make an ideal mini Milton Keynes, it would need a good shopping area, more schools, doctors surgery, dentist, but maybe this is where the future is.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

States that Chesterton is a Class 1 Village, it has already taken more new homes than it can cope with, the school is over subscribed, the village roads are narrow, and the traffic is high with no Bus Service so owning a car is essential.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No, definitely not.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

None.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Confirms that where the Holiday Inn, and the David Lloyd Club have been built, there is already employment sites there, and yet again these put pressure on the Vendee Drive round-a-bout which is extremely dangerous.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Rural Areas, need to stay as Rural Areas, no more speculative development.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

CDC need to make these plans much more accessible to the public, so that they have time to examine them and respond.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Respondent They have come to believe that the public do not have any voice, locally or nationally, so what will be will be.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No response.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Asks what is planned for the older generation?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-040
What is your name? - Name
Margaret Sanderson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Emphasis should be made that Chesterton is a small village and should not be referred to or included in plans for Bicester.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Climate change is a big issue and it should be noted that Chesterton is on a flood plain. The more concrete that goes down the less land to absorb the heavy rains we are now experiencing.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Brownfield sites (eg Heyford) should take more of the building projects that are planned.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

As long as CDC are proposing to further develop the towns and not the surrounding villages.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Tourism in the area is already catered for, no further development of this nature is required.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Town centres are dying. There is a lot of room for improvement in these areas.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Objects, no, do not support maximising of the current plans for social housing.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Brownfield sites as previously mentioned.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

More police in the area would be useful.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Agrees, seems quite reasonable and a sensible plan.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

keep building in village areas to a minimum, save green spaces.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Agrees.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Agrees, yes.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects, no.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Comments keep the small villages as they are.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-041
What is your name? - Name
Carmen Griffiths
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The plan exceeds government housing requirements, asks why provide for more than necessary? Why propose building on green belt when brown field sites are available?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Presentation is good. Asks why have you created this plan to give housing in excess of what is needed and on green belt?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
These as always feel like pre determined plans. CDC have a customary consultation but feel their opinions are not heard.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Asks why a vision for more houses than necessary? Why not be on brownfield. Asks why CDC are talking about Oxfords unmet needs when there are zero restrictions on who can buy houses. Vision is not relevant to the needs of Government and local residents.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
See above, the objectives appear to be exactly for CDC. Objectives which are non-sensical.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The development CDC propose is within an infrastructure that is not adequate nor sustainable. Climate change will be adversely affected with wildlife destruction and potential flooding in Kidlington.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Questions purpose of question.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, already an employment crisis where we cannot find employees.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Employers cannot fill vacancies, the last thing the area needs is more employment opportunities.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Nonsense.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Yes, the site behind the moors in Kidlington.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

The plan devised exceeds government housing requirements, asks why have CDC taken it upon yourselves to provide for more than is necessary? Why propose building on green belt when brown field sites are available?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Absolutely not.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No, they are not needed/required. What evidence do you have to suggest otherwise?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Asks why do CDC not know that we already have an employment crisis in our area with employers not being able to fill the positions.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No, asks what exactly are the needs and how have CDC come up with the figures and data?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Green Belt land behind the moors should not be used for housing. Asks why CDC have not suggested brownfield sites.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-042
What is your name? - Name
Sami
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Likes the idea of urbanisation but just don't believe Bicester is the right place for it (see Representation for examples).

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects, the town is already expanding quicker than infrastructure can allow. Losing green spaces to houses, more cars, more pollution, more traffic. Bicester at a stand still during peak times and one can never find parking in town at the weekends.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

No

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No but stop putting traffic lights on roundabouts.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

All of the lovely fields, scenery and greenland. Sad to see these being built on, putting more pollution into our town.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Reduce rent/rates for high street shops, so more people/businesses can open there and stay open.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-043
What is your name? - Name
Hilary Watkins
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
N/A
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The plan period is too rapid and not sustainable.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Number of developments and number of homes being planned too high, e .g St Mary's Fields.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Many of the policies should be reviewed and dropped, e .g the stadium and St Mary's Fields.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

The development planned would take a toll on infrastructure, like medical facilities and roads, impacting peoples mental and physical health.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The development plans are not affordable nor sustainable. It would not produce healthy communities, but impact negatively on health and increase crime.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Regarding soundly no.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No. One cannot access medical services as it is. Bus services have been cut.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

There is too much housing development as it stands.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

States opposition.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Not in favour.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Bad idea.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Opposed.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

No new shopping centres, but some more shops.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

States that this has not been adequately assessed with due regard to the reality of the enormity of development already taking place and scheduled.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Too much housing development, not evenly distributed. Too much housing planned and built in Kidlington.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

It is not affordable. House prices and rentals are far too high.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Comments St Mary's Fields and the Triangle.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

It should be reviewed and urgently reconsidered.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

States Banbury can be considered.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Infrastructure is already strained, understanding is that St Mary's Fields is protected land . 300 new homes too high. The environment has been compromised. The impact on roads and health services would be extremely negative. Bus services have been cut and as it stands, one cannot access medical appointments. The village atmosphere gone and people's health jeopardised.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No. Between Kidlington and Summertown there is so much housing development that it has eroded the greenbelt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

No

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

States bus services have been drastically reduced how would it cope with further cuts?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

The village character should not be lost. Some more shops carefully researched could be considered.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Questions why focus on Kidlington? Economic growth, employment and housing is good, but not at the expense of the environment, people's health and wellbeing and nature.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Plan must give choice for the communities. It is not affordable or sustainable.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Regarding consider.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

There is strong evidence for the environmental impacts.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Urges to stop development.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-044
What is your name? - Name
Chris Jackson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
n/a
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
It's appropriate to look ahead as far as 2040 to ensure actions can be taken to meet the longer term strategy.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
It's well presented, but more local publicity to generate better engagement would be good. know many people haven't heard about the plan.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The plan to create a green corridor from Banbury town centre along the canal to Bankside is an excellent idea. It will really enhance the attractiveness of Banbury as a place to live in and to visit.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

It's the right approach.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Agrees that Banbury town centre has an oversupply of retail space, needs to be consolidated. Urgent priority as empty/low quality (see Representation for examples) retail spaces discourage visitors. Relocating council excellent idea/other units on edge o

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

No bigger and possibly even smaller.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Agrees, yes.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

No.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Agrees with the general vision.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Not if the allocation has been met already from elsewhere.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

There needs to be the right infrastructure for charging electric vehicles/consideration to be given to a change in car ownership if self drive vehicles are common by 2040.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Making sure the changes to Banbury town centre retail space/proposed green corridor actually happen, council needs to focus on achieving these/need to become priorities.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-045

What is your name? - Name

MR MICHAEL CROWTHER

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Kidlington - the fields behind The Moors should be kept as green space. 9 reasons listed in representation.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-046
What is your name? - Name
Suzanne McIvor
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

There is already an excessive amount of land allocated for employment around Oxford North, Kidlington (Langford Lane) and Begbroke Science Park.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing and Economic Needs Assessment (HENA) overstates housing need in both Cherwell District and Oxford City. Both should instead be using the Government's Standard Methodology. This would avoid the proposed allocation of Green Belt land such as land

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Yes - the sites proposed by Kidlington Development Watch and Kidlington Parish Council.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No. In excess of 4,400 dwellings are already proposed around Kidlington. 2,000 of these are in the immediate vicinity and will put additional pressure on infrastructure (particularly transport) and services.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

The employment land allocations should be kept to a minimum as large employment allocations already exist in the area.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Building on the land behind the Moors would have an adverse effect on the green and blue infrastructure in the Kidlington area.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No.

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No more houses should be built on the Green Belt in Kidlington. The village has already lost a huge amount of Green Belt and the Green Belt boundaries which were revised in the Local Plan Partial Review should endure past the life of that plan.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Appendix 4: the Green Belt boundaries should not be reviewed.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

The Green Belt boundaries are supposed to endure - asks why does the Council think it can change them so soon after the recent changes? This approach is not justified.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-047
What is your name? - Name
Barry Willson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Nothing specific.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

On water strategy. Developers will need to meet standards for water usage but what about water treatment plants provided by the water companies? Is there any aspect in the plan that requires them to build additional water treatment plants to ensure sufficient capacity for the future size of our towns? Can't rely on what is currently in place as regular 'spills' already.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Supportive of majority of aspirations but asks why does football club need specific support, have an existing ground which is unsuitable for most alternative uses due to flood risk. Would like to see more done to ensure that the hospital (particularly A&

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Banbury needs increase in affordable and social/rented accommodation. Developments are primarily larger houses and sold for ever increasing prices, does not support affordable accommodation/gettiing onto housing ladder.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Would like all new houses/flats to have a renewable energy requirement as part of build (see Rep for examples). Flats should have communal electric charge facilities. More solar panels in larger car parks not in green fields unless can demonstrate still

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-048
What is your name? - Name
Tom Beckett
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Cherwell District and Bicester Town Councils (Councillor)
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No comment
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
The first point should be built upon. From ... and new developments are built to high energy efficient standards. Add to this: 'without having fossil fuel dependence for heating, support electric vehicle charging, and where possible producing their own energy.' Vision for plan should include; improving water, electric and sewerage infrastructure to support developments and creation and maintenance of ecological network which is fully respected with planning."
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

The first point should be built upon. From ... and new developments are built to high energy efficient standards.

Add to this:

'without having fossil fuel dependence for heating, support electric vehicle charging, and where possible producing their own en

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

SO 1. To achieve net zero carbon new developments, they should also be net zero in operation, which means they need to be non-fossil fuel dependant for heating - please include.

Should be inclusion of Surface Urban Drainage including the creation of new blue infrastructure to improve resilience of our urban landscape.

CP6: Renewable Energy

3.32. Past analysis of potential for wind generation was impacted by National Planning legislation which has recently been changed or is likely to change. Suggest that the plan considers wind generation considering this and technology developments of wind generation.

CP 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management

Breakout box details 'Development will only be permitted in areas of flood risk where there are no reasonably available sites in areas of lower flood risk and the benefits of the development outweigh the risks from flooding.'

This is poorly worded and 'benefits of development outweigh risks from flooding' is severely undefined. Building in any flood risk areas with the prospect of increased flooding events as a result of climate change doesn't make sense.

CP 11: Protection and enhancement of biodiversity.

Policies to not limit development in the newly created Nature Recovery Network. This network has been created to link protected sites for nature so it may recover throughout the network, however the plan does not explicitly limit development on this network, which doesn't make sense.

Development Policy 1: Waste Collection and Recycling.

Policy should support composting on site of new developments, which could include biodigesters of open composting areas so the local community can compost onsite.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?**11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?****12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?**

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The process is untransparent -more details on the meanings of these analysis needed.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP39: Residential Space Standards:
Should ensure that for all developments 50% of space is unbuilt on (through gardens, parks) to ensure climate resilience from storm weather events, through the support of natural drainage.
CP 46: Achieving well designed places:
Adopt the principles of 20 Minute Neighbourhoods where appropriate. Why 'where appropriate'. Suggest this is a deliberate weakening of the approach.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Lacking within the plan for green and blue infrastructure for Bicester the broad approach to supporting connectivity of green/blue infrastructure.
The approach to building this infrastructure should follow Stockholms approach.

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Limiting the traffic flow through Bicester and changing the flow of traffic down both Buckingham and Banbury Road. Both of these roads converging causes a lot of traffic through town. Support for more walking and cycling - this area is currently quite da

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-049
What is your name? - Name
Adrian Langdale
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The plan is not sufficiently detailed in order to understand the full consequences of what is being proposed. Needs to be considerably clearer/more robust in setting out what it intends to achieve/prevent (see Rep for examples).
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
The polices which the plan should be retaining are simply not being protected by sufficiently robust plans and wording.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
The draft plan needs better, clearer and more detailed maps for proposals for NW Bicester and proper consideration to the principles of protecting farm land/green field areas and the provision of a proper green buffer between Town/development and existing
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
The Plan doesn't take consideration of developments already occurred/have gained planning permission/in the process of being developed which weren't included in 2013 Cherwell Plan and are still not taken into consideration when calculating the remaining houses needs. Original housing requirements used to calculate the need for development of Bicester were based on a formula which the Local Council have now rejected. Cherwell District Council (CDC) now recognise the Government's 'standard method' is the appropriate calculation which produces a 'need' that is 35 per cent lower than the current local plan, yet is not properly represented in the new Plan, which maintains the same assumptions/incorrect calculations used for the 2012 Adopted Plan. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) indicates CDC's future housing need will be 14,840 new properties over next 20 years, CDC's is proposing 25,860 in the plan over the next 16 years, an over-calculation of some 57%. A disproportionate amount of planned development is North-West Bicester, the local plan needs correcting to reflect ONS calculation for development requirement and to reflect the houses which have already been provided in excess of the original 2012 adopted Local Plan (see Rep for development examples). Consideration should be given to developing land at South-East Bicester/brownfield sites/more equally across the district with reassessment of infrastructure/transport hubs.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

The Plan suggests the North-West Bicester site should be increased to take a further 1000 properties on top of the 7,000 already proposed in the Adopted 2012 Local Plan. The areas of Himley, Elmsbrook and Firethorn have all been fully developed/have plan

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?**6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?**

The plan fails to properly calculate actual future housing requirements using the ONS computation, which sets the actually housing requirements for Cherwell lower than this plan. The Plan fails to recognise developments which have occurred since the 2012 plan and which were not envisaged in 2011 -12. The Plan fails to recognise significant changes in society since the original plans were developed (see Rep for examples). The Plan fails to properly address issues of climate change/protecting the environment/ maintaining low cost houses/properly look at building 'sustainable communities'.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Agrees, yes, given the environmental disaster we are facing, bare minimum for any development site should be aiming to achieve is 20% biodiversity and for this to be a proper biodiversity as opposed to some developers formula which fails to recognise the benefit of maintaining the Countryside/high value of farm land.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Objects, no, the present land identified should be used properly to maximise employment. The plan needs to encourage desired employment, attracted to specialist trading estates/science parks rather than attaching small areas of land to housing areas.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.**10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?**

Needs to be properly focused on specialist areas close to transport hubs, aimed at providing a science park environment nurturing/encouraging engineering,/entrepreneurship/scientific development rather than service industries such as warehouses.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

They need to be further developed.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Little thought as to what industry would be attracted to moving to such sites or how such areas could succeed over employment focused areas such as a trading estate/science park etc.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

-

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

There is insufficient consideration being given to protecting the Countryside/environment. The concept of rural diversification is being used to build over farmland and green field land undermining the other policies of protecting the environment.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Town centres are dying due to the collapse of town infrastructure/a policy of targeting drivers and therefore preventing people visiting town centres (with high costs of parking/poor parking provision). There are no incentives within these plans for rents

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing and economic need assessment is based on inaccurate figures, which need to be re-visited.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

There is too much emphasis on placing houses in/around Bicester without consideration of a fairer distribution across the entirety of the Cherwell area.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

The plans fail to protect the need for new developments to ensure that at least 30% of the development is made up of affordable housing. The present wording is not robust enough to ensure that affordable housing is delivered.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Sacrifices need to be made in the profitability of developers (see Rep for figures/examples). Does the Council not believe that the policies should be directed at turning profits made on the back of public developments to build infrastructure for existing communities and ensure more affordable housing is provided within these developments.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The three fields to the South of Bucknell village (between the village and the proposed green buffer zone) should be considered for being made into formal common land for the benefit of all the community and allowing for wildlife to prosper.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

None.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Agrees, yes.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Questions why so much housing in Bicester, fails to look at the correct areas of Bicester for further development, instead pushing development on the NW where the infrastructure/access to the motorway and all transport links are poorest. Re-consideration

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects, the area of NW Bicester is already saturated, there needs to be a pause in further development until road infrastructure is in place/present developments have had time to bed in and consideration given to how public transport can properly service

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

The Plan should be looking at developing Brownfield sites.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Objects. The plan needs to have proper consideration to what employment the Council are trying to attract. The plan is failing in/around Bicester and permission being given for vast Warehouses to be built instead of targeting high employment industries/th

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Consideration needs to be given to providing housing and employment in corridors along transport links, including close to the M40 - in areas abutting it at Bicester/Banbury and in areas close to rail infrastructure.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

A new Junction 8A under Junction 9 leading directly into an enlarged Bicester South-East development. Improvements of the A34 around Oxford. Employment and industry should be looking to develop in the corridor around the new East-West train line within B

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Land around the East-West rail link, to provide a rail hub or use the old train lines at MOD Bicester at Graven Hill to provide freight movement and business development around that transportation hub.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

A proper Green buffer zone of bigger/consistent size should be provided between Bicester developments and existing communities. Any development in NW Bicester should be scaled back and farmland protected, with a proper wooded buffer zone introduced and no

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Comments as above.

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Better roads, Bicester is now grid-locked for large periods. The Council needs to rejuvenate the town centre by making it attractive and easy to get into and providing reduced costs to businesses so new/innovative businesses will be attracted to the town

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

It is on the wrong side of Bicester and would simply cause even more traffic to be pushed onto the ring road. There are areas on the outskirts of RAF Bicester, field to the east of the airfield and within the Bicester boundaries which could be developed -

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

The whole policy and approach to Bicester needs to be properly and fully re-considered.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Agrees, yes.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

There are a number of areas of Kidlington on all sides which are appropriate for re-development and are close to transportation links.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Insufficient development on brownfield areas of Upper Heyford have been considered and need to be extended to meet housing needs.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Rural areas are not given enough protection from the development of towns and in particular the expansion of Bicester.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

The Council does not properly notify the population of proposals or their implications and fails to properly consult on the implementation of the plans.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Re-think the Plan relating to development of NW Bicester. Protection of local villages and farm land to be given a higher priority along with considering how to limit the impact of development of Bicester on existing communities, in particular taking steps to mitigate traffic increases that these plans would bring.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-050
What is your name? - Name
Mr David Barnhill
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
N/A
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No.
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
N/A
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Does not think it can.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Encouraged by the commitment to a "green" approach to building. Access to infrastructure and services and a desire to have well connected villages have been promised in the past and the promises have not been kept/delivered. Asks why will this time be different?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Protect the identity/character of villages. Maintain the integrity of the green belt, heard this in the past and seen breaching own stated goals, is it possible to trust this doesnt happen again? Plans already in place to destroy green land areas close to Chesterton and Buckbell. Concerned re designation of the green belt area around Kidlington. The ability to move the boundary feels like allowing to change it if it suits.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Believe we have to do all we can to gain as much as possible in this area.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Feels that development of employment should be focussed on areas where the work force live and areas reached easily by public transport.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Agrees.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Reiterate points about failures to meet commitments regarding infrastructure/services in the past (examples in Representation). Bicester is already gridlocked for large parts of day, how will CDC ensure the 10,000 new homes are properly supported?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Asks why no plan for any more brownfield developments in Heyford?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Comments please see previous Regarding rural development.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-051
What is your name? - Name
C Elmitt
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
N/A
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
It seems mostly sensible.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Yes, CDC should insist that all new developments make use of as many energy efficiency products as possible (see Rep for examples) and tree planting.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

without having fossil fuel dependence for heating, support electric vehicle charging, and where possible producing their own energy.'

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Re rural areas, more emphasis should be placed on protecting the identity/character of villages and avoid building on greenfield.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Agrees, yes.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Agrees, yes within town centres.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Banbury Canalside development should be progressed as a priority to improve access to the station/attractiveness of the access/to improve pedestrian/cycle access from all the residential areas to the south.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Existing buildings should all be used, even if this involves repurposing.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Sensible.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Would be good to see Banbury town centre come alive again, with emphasis on entertainment/eating out, every available property should be in use, even if that means they become residential.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Objects. No, turn as much into residential as possible.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Yes, the need for housing should be continually reassessed to avoid over supply.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The north of Wykham Lane is totally unsuitable for further development. Areas already developed to the east lack infrastructure and more development here puts train on the southern part of Banbury. It is not in Banbury's interest to have greenfield sprawl, setting a precedent for more build on greenfield sites, better to develop denser housing within Banbury to avoid flooding etc.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The area of land to the west, southwest and south of Bodicote village, particularly where there is evidence of Medieval ridge and furrow.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Public rights of way should be protected, extended and better sign posted to give more connectivity between sites.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Make sure that every available property within the town centre is occupied, ample availability for residential development. Densify town centre as much as possible allowing empty properties to become residential, before building yet on greenfield sites.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Wykham Lane is unsuitable for a further development. Areas already developed to the east lack any sort of infrastructure and more development here puts strain on the southern part of Banbury. It is not in Banbury's interest to have greenfield sprawl, set

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Densification of Banbury itself, turning business to residential where there are empty shops.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Rural Areas chapter lacks detail and depth, more emphasis should be put on protecting villages/farming land. CDC has always seemed uninterested in protecting rural areas, it would be good to see the strategy acted upon.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Rural areas strategy lacks any detail of where these 500 houses are going to actually go, so how is it possible to comment on them?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Asks where is the detail?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Appendix 2: LPR52 should not be developed. LPR55 and the approach to Banbury station should be developed as a priority. Appendices 6 and 9: agree/support these ambitions.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-052

What is your name? - Name

Gail Barnhill

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

No

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Supports the plans regarding developments that reduce the impact on the environment, applauds the desire to revitalise urban centre.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

The Vision for plan should include; improving water, electric and sewerage infrastructure to support developments and creation and maintenance of ecological network which is fully respected with planning.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

They seem the sensible places to do so. Jobs where people are and people where the jobs are.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Past developments in Bicester have failed to provide the necessary infrastructure such as medical facilities and road systems.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Objects, states cannot support the ability to change the green field boundaries. Too open to abuse.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Asks why no more brownfield developments in the area. Seems sensible to allow more and reduce the homes intended for other rural areas.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

500 rural homes when such areas are already isolated from facilities shops/medical centres/public transport) puts more cars on the road. Re maintaining the green belt/not allowing the expansion of villages outside their boundaries, this commitment has been made before and not met, asks what will change? Asks why the massive developments already planned into areas around Chesterton/Bucknell, surely goes against the green field plans?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects, no.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-053
What is your name? - Name
Alexander Dalton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Land & Partners
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Long term strategy should actively seek to improve prospects of rural areas, avoid an approach of managed decline, which is a risk of directing development only to locations already considered to be sustainable. See the Taylor Review 2008 which is still relevant (see Rep for examples highlighted) the rural area of Cherwell district presents the opportunity to improve existing villages for the long term. Individual but interlinked settlements can function as a stronger/more sustainable whole (see Rep for examples). Many Oxfordshire villages naturally form walkable communities - ready-made 20 minute neighbourhoods - due the way they have historically grown, but some require growth and rejuvenation to restore lost facilities.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

A 10% net gain is appropriate/achievable for most developments where reliant on on-site mitigation. An increase in the level of gains through on-site mitigation may reduce dwelling capacity which would harm sustainability objectives set out in the NPPF. It could result in inefficient use of land, without having the most effective gains for ecology. More important for strategic networks of green infrastructure/wildlife corridors to be improved and these will usually be off-site. Would strongly advocate for strategic off-site solutions to be co-ordinated by Local Planning Authorities. This can result in large expanses of multi-functional Green Infrastructure that can have the most benefit for ecology. Preferred mitigation could be on-site/off-site/ combination of the two, a flexible case by case basis. Key point is that other aspects of sustainability are considered, together with specific ecological evidence to create better outcomes for biodiversity. Comments supports the national 10% net gain target combined with strategic off-site solutions co-ordinated by Oxfordshire planning authorities for biodiversity gains targeted towards wider habitat improvements.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?**11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?****12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?****13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?****14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?****15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?**

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The Standard Method is rightly viewed as a starting point, and the consideration of other demographic data and job creation, leading to an increased requirement, is supported.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

A number of large sites which are relied upon in this draft distribution will typically take longer than anticipated to build-out. The plan should maximise its contingency and historically smaller sites have been able to deliver housing more rapidly. Distributing a greater number of homes in the rural area may help provide a steady supply of homes across the plan period. Viability concerns are less prevalent on smaller sites in more rural areas, so helping to deliver affordable housing as required.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The hierarchy should avoid an approach of managed decline, which is a risk of directing development only to locations already considered to be sustainable. The Taylor Review 2008 is still relevant. The rural areas presents the opportunity to improve exist

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Supports, but The implementation of First Homes should have some flexibility given the requirements of the national definition. Property values in some areas may prevent an appropriate housing mix coming forward given the price cap.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

see the settlement hierarchy. The vision refers to limited development and directs it to the largest settlements. Asks what is the vision for some of the smaller settlements - is there opportunity to improve sustainability and connectivity?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

500 home allocation to the rural area should be increased. This will help smooth the supply trajectory given there is reliance on some very large sites which can take considerable time to develop.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Yes, further to the permission for 25 homes at Hook Norton Road, Sibford Ferris additional land to the south is available for development (see Representation for reasons).

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-054
What is your name? - Name
Joan Holland
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
In the proposals for the future of Kidlington, came across very little to reassure Kidlington will improve over the coming years, nothing set in stone and very little about Kidlington. Nothing about the stadium that will cause chaos/a new health Center w
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Keep it shorter/more relevant. Give a short summary of the future for the towns.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Asks what does all mean for the people of Kidlington? It's a vision that's all, nothing set in stone. Where is the money is coming from for all of these visions? No mention of funding.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Questions how Plan will be funded.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Questions how Plan will be funded.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Questions how Plan will be funded.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Cherwell Council will never be able to afford what the landowners will ask for their land, so be more responsible in putting these prospective in writing.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Consider the allocated sites and start with that.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Asks do views really matter?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Concerns over proposal for Kidlington. Mismatch of apartments for the airport students, not the residents of Kidlington.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Objects, No.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Asks what does that actually mean for Kidlington?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Looked at this proposal and was not happy, lack of imagination for Kidlington.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

It won't make the slightest difference.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Referred to already.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Doesn't look good for Kidlington.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Agrees, yes.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Agrees, yes.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

For affordable houses yes.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Revisit plans for Kidlington.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Refer to all previous comments.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Have got rid of so much of the green belt in and around Kidlington.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No more.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Do not close the road over the bridge between Kidlington and Yarnton centre. Do not give permission for the Oxford Stadium as the traffic hold ups for hours when Blenheim holds events.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

As mentioned.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

As already stated.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Regarding build it (look at Heyford Park for an example).

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Questions if it will make a difference to whats intended to be done.

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Love Kidlington however requires consideration for what makes a beautiful/sustainable village.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-055

What is your name? - Name

Daniel Hill

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Would like to see CDC include the policies set out in the Banbury Green Buffers report as part of the Local Plan, which sets out the areas that should remain as green spaces to prevent coalescence of settlements and ensure adequate 'green gaps' between th

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Would like to see more focus on making Cherwell an attractive place to live/visit, ensuring that all development is aesthetically pleasing as well as functional not get a reputation of being a corridor of bland/square sheds to pass while going somewhere. Cherwell, particularly the north, has very few attractions that would encourage tourists.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Banbury has expanded beyond original boundaries into surrounding countryside. Would like to see a commitment not to expand boundaries any further. If additional housing/employment required, should be delivered on existing allocated land/brownfields sites/increasing density. M40 to remain a 'hard' barrier to development east of Banbury, ensuring a proper settlement gap between urban sprawl and rural communities in West Northamptonshire. Transport strategy to focus on improving public transport connections to key destinations as well as the local villages/rural areas. A strategy to ensure residents of rural/green areas are not disadvantaged by large-scale commercial/housing developments (see Representations for examples) and existing damage is rectified wherever possible (see Representations for examples). Re. rural areas oppose the release of any Green Belt land for development, local housing needs to be met by increasing density of existing sites/ensuring sites already with permission are developed not used as 'land banks'. Protection for rural areas should include the 'rural' fringes of existing settlements that do not have a separate village identity. More protection afforded to 'settlement gaps', to ensure no coalesce and 'green corridors' remain available for both wildlife and recreation.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes, should seek significant/measurable biodiversity gain. Many developments only pay lip-service to this requirement. Measures to increase biodiversity gain often get 'forgotten' once a development is in place. It should not be acceptable for biodiversity net gain to be in other parts of the county/country, it should only be acceptable in the immediate environs of any proposed development.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Objects, Cherwell has more than its fair share of industrial estates (eg M40 corridor) many unoccupied/under-occupied, no additional land should be allocated for employment until they all operating at capacity.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.**10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?**

Agrees with focusing employment development on existing strategic sites in these towns.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?**12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?**

Disagrees with allowing employment development on unallocated sites, more than sufficient allocated employment land in district to meet existing/future demand. Development on unallocated sites means residents having to deal with speculative planning appl

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?**14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?**

While rural diversification is encouraged, any construction related to rural diversification must be specifically allowed for this purpose; it should not be used as cover to allow construction of new buildings/access roads later turned into houses/employment premises. Conditions should be attached that new buildings should be able to be removed without long-term damage to landscape and must be removed if no longer be required for 'rural diversification' businesses.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Would like a requirement that new attractions are accessible to local residents and large attractions should provide connections to local public transport facilities to discourage visitors from coming by car.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

To see an obligation for any out of town retail developments provide high quality access for people travelling by foot/bicycle/mobility scooter, including plentiful/high-quality bike parking and clean, safe and well-maintained pedestrian/bike routes. Deve

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The housing needs assessment overinflates the number of houses required, the Government standard measures should be used. Cherwell should not be responsible the unmet housing needs of Oxford City, should be met within Oxford itself. Current public trans

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Need to consider inappropriate development of rural/semi-rural areas on the fringes of Main Towns/Large Villages that are outside the settlement boundaries. Some of these areas might fall under the 'Main Town' category but are far away and disconnected fr

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Would like to propose Banbury Lane in Nethercote, between the junction with Overthorpe Road (52°03'52.9"N 1°18'25.0"W) and the Northamptonshire county boundary (52°04'13.3"N 1°18'24.8"W), a small section of predominantly single-track road without footways

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Would like to see stronger protection for 'Settlement Gaps' to prevent coalescence of settlements, should be more importance given to maintaining 'green corridors' for wildlife and protecting these gaps as Local Green Space for leisure, particularly for larger settlements as crucial to allow residents of urban areas to access open countryside within walking/cycling distance. Like to see some of these settlement gaps formally recognised and given protected status, as identified in the Green Buffers report. Regarding design, would like to see a commitment to making Cherwell a pleasant place to visit, even when passing through on the motorway or railway. More focus on creating links across some of the barriers that cross our towns (motorway, rivers, railway line) to enable easier movement by foot/cycle and to remove some of the pinch-points.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Would like to see the remains of Banbury's disused rail infrastructure safeguarded from development. Whilst chances of re-opening these routes is remote it is important to leave the option open for future, they may prove useful as part of light rail corr

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Traffic pollution/congestion is a major problem for Banbury, the solution not necessarily be to build more roads. Local Plan should not allow the construction of new through roads through existing residential areas (See Representation for proposal at Net

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

The Rural Exception Sites policy is often abused by developers as a way of bypassing planning policies. Policy should be strengthened to ensure that development of these sites would not increase the settlement boundaries of the area/lead to coalescence of

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Appendix 4: objects to the removal of the Green Belt in the Kidlington area. The circumstances are not exceptional. If this area is removed, an equivalent or large amount should be added elsewhere. Appendix 7: disappointing how few proposals for Local Gr

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-056
What is your name? - Name
David Reynolds
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
N/A
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
David Reynolds
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Settlement gaps need to be clearly defined to avoid agriculture fields required for farming between Banbury and villages in the North of the county. The need for housing is well known but north of county been swamped with new developments with no consideration for rural living/infrastructure for schools/hospital/community care.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

It is the promise of Government for levelling up with no detailed expansion of housing above the M62.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Brownfield sites should be highest priority for redevelopment prior to green fields. If warehouse units were to have planning consent to incorporate solar panels the land would have double use (employment and renewable energy) safeguarding green fields.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

All rural areas should retain green sites in the interest of childrens play areas.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Heyford Park was an ideal site for redevelopment for industry and housing.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Agrees, as stated under Q55.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

As stated under Q55. Any development should give due consideration to the congestion to the M40 Junctions 9, 10 and 11, despite extensive alterations to all three, traffic flow is a major issue with access.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

To be left Rural.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects, No.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

None.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Not in the north of the County under CDC control.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-057
What is your name? - Name
Chris Brant
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
N/A
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
N/A
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
It's been delayed and needs to be moved on now.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Core Policy 45 (Settlement Gaps) refers to permitting development proposals where the “physical and visual separation between the two separate settlements is not diminished”. Criteria is too narrow and may not prevent developers arguing that screening vegetation is sufficient to protect a settlement gap. Physical separation is only part of equation in assessing impact on coalescence/erosion of settlement gaps. Policy should consider ‘sense’ of coalescence and be strengthened/extended to clarify additional criteria to be met for proposals to be permitted.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Not in Banbury area, too much countryside around Banbury been taken with empty warehousing.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Encouraging to see employment sites inside Banbury.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

HENA overinflates required numbers of houses above the Government standard housing assessment, the Government standard should be used. Cherwell should resist allocation of Oxford's unmet housing need.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The housing distribution has a higher allocation to rural areas than would seem appropriate given scope of town centre brownfield redevelopment. Encouraging to see redevelop of areas around Banbury train station.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Make it affordable within town centres not allow developers to build so called affordable homes in the countryside. Affordable homes are needed near to shops/doctors/schools that should be in walking distance with nearby transport hubs.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

In Hanwell field behind Hanwell St Peter's Church and village playing field (used by residents for HanFEST/community events) should be allocated as a local green space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

In absence of this land use strategy a "brownfield sites" first priority should be stated in relation to housing/employment development. More people living in Banbury town centre is key for retail/services and to bring back the soul to the town.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Housing numbers which form the basis of the plan are not fit for purpose. A "brownfield sites" first priority should be stated in relation to housing/employment development.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

A "brownfield sites" first priority should be stated in relation to housing/employment development.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

A "brownfield sites" first priority should be stated in relation to housing/employment development. Hennef Way is most polluted road in Oxfordshire more should be done to reduce traffic accessing the road. Banbury South junction on M40 should be introduced

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

A "brownfield/rooftop" first approach must be stated in relation to renewable energy (eg solar/wind).

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

In the absence of this land use strategy, a "brownfield sites" first priority should be stated in relation to housing/employment development.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Rural exception sites must be removed and strengthening of the policies to prevent coalescence and enhance the landscape (CP43 and CP45).

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

A "brownfield/rooftop" first approach must be stated in relation to renewable energy (eg solar/wind).

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-058
What is your name? - Name
Fiona Mackinlay
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Wootton by Woodstock Parish Council planning committee member
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Wootton by Woodstock Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Re South East Woodstock site (450 houses) ensure Developer contributes to a better GP practice and pharmacy in Woodstock (currently oversubscribed/poor parking), a bus stop on development site and cycle lane.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

To highlight Government is seeking Local Plans to contribute towards addressing climate control and air travel, air fuel and pollution being reduced. There are conservation areas of water meadows which attract wildlife and are concerned these could be in

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Would welcome a Park and Ride at Oxford Airport and a village cluster bus service that would enable villagers to access this hub easily (not everyone can/wants to cycle every day). Already linked to Middle Barton and have Glympton. The small plot of land opposite Judd's garage for cars gets full and is a long walk for young children/elderly.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

The South East Woodstock site should be considered as a Woodstock development and enhance its services/infrastructure rather than a Kidlington one.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-059

What is your name? - Name

Kirstie Vreede

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Should explore potential brownfield sites before considering taking more Green Belt away from Kidlington.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Should explore potential brownfield sites before considering taking more Green Belt away from Kidlington.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Should explore potential brownfield sites before considering taking more Green Belt away from Kidlington.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-060
What is your name? - Name
William Wildridge
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Further southerly build up of Heyford Park could impact the distinct villages of Lower Heyford and Caulcott.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

There has been a loss of bus routes to Oxford (the 250 bus important for Lower/Upper Heyford and Caulcott into Oxford). For residents of Caulcott getting into Oxford by public transport now takes a very long time.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

There are many references to connecting to Bicester but residents also need to connect into Oxford. A bus route from Upper Heyford with a stop at Caulcott turn is critical.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-061
What is your name? - Name
Derek Adams
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The plan is very well designed though much of the text is hard to understand to laypersons.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Needs to be stronger definition of and protections afforded to "settlement gaps". Currently focuses on "physical and visual separation" which is too narrow. Make sure developers can't claim to just plant a hedge between two settlements and call it a green buffer.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Build Banbury, not the countryside. Need to regenerate town, turn empty shops into residential flats, encourage shops back into town. Should be a bustling old-style town with great atmosphere.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Everyone shops out of town stores/on the internet, Banbury town should be turned into residential area with smaller artisan shops/bakeries/delis/restaurants/cafes to encourage an old-style market town vibe.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

HENA overinflates required numbers of houses above Government standard housing assessment therefore Government standard should be used. Cherwell should resist allocation of Oxford's unmet housing needs. There is no housing crisis, there's an 'affordable

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The emerging housing distribution has a higher allocation to rural areas than would seem appropriate given the scope of town centre brownfield redevelopment. Keep housing away from farmland and leave wildlife.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The settlement hierarchy proposals are to be supported and Hanwell should remain in 'open countryside'.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Low rise flats, more flats!

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Agrees, as long as it's only in established towns like Banbury.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Hanwell residents would like the sports field and the fenced paddock field between St Peter Church and the 50 acre field beyond designated as 'local green space'.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Brownfield sites and disused shops.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Leave rural areas as they are. Very little in plan towards protecting wildlife, wildlife also inhabitants in this county. Also need farms more than ever.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Rural exception sites must be removed and strengthening of policies to prevent coalescence and enhance the landscape (CP43 and CP45) and protect wildlife and farming.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Re transport, rejects the idea to improve access with new junction to M40 on Southam Road, instead create a new junction south of Banbury. Hennef Way is still most polluted road in Oxfordshire. Fill the gap between Banbury and Junction 10 at Ardley.

Re renewable energy, want to see a 'brownfield/rooftop' first approach to renewable energy (solar/wind). Consider placing solar panels along fields that border M40. Supports a proactive dark skies policy.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-062
What is your name? - Name
D S Dunlop
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
D2 Planning Limited
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Blue Cedar Homes Limited
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

It is imperative that the housing needs of different groups of people in the community are fully assessed and that sufficient provision is made for such groups. The proportion of elderly persons is increasing as life expectancy is lengthening and it is im

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Objects to the proposed settlement hierarchy. For example, the current Local Plan identifies the Sibfords as a Service Village which is capable of small scale and infill development. Believes that there is no justification to alter that classification a

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

CP38 Specialist Housing

Supports in principle a policy for specialist housing but do not believe that the policy incorporates all types of specialist housing. The Local Plan should take a proactive stance on the delivery of retirement housing and allocate sites to meet the requirements of the elderly.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-063
What is your name? - Name
Elizabeth Peretz
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Oxfordshire Keep our NHS Public
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

There is an aspiration for a healthier, less deprived population in Banbury.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Banbury needs a general hospital/more primary care/NHS dentistry must be in plan. Banbury/district's need for general hospital has not diminished and today's population/further expansion only intensify need. General hospitals in Oxford/Northampton not a

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Bicester area needs adequate access to primary care/dentistry/community services for mental health/old age services

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Kidlington area needs adequate access to primary care/dentistry/community services for mental health/old age services

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

Heyford Park area needs adequate access to primary care/dentistry/community services for mental health/old age services

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Rural areas need adequate access to primary care/dentistry/community services for mental health/old age services which must include adequate public transport

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-064
What is your name? - Name
Lisa Smith
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land behind The Moors adjacent to St Mary's Church (used by residents for recreation/activities promoting mental health and wellbeing) a much-loved/treasured local green space and natural beauty spot.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Too much development proposed on Green Belt land. More brown field sites should be considered.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Land opposite The Jolly Boatman (instead of Land behind The Moors 'St Mary's Fields') has access to main road and also adjacent to employment as per queries below.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to LPR8a Proposal to build on Green Belt Land behind The Moors in Kidlington (colloquially known as 'St Mary's Fields'). A number of considerations must be taken in to account and which render plan unviable summarised here (see Rep for full details). Area of green space was previously included in 2011-2031 plan and was removed, none of the considerations/objections have changed. A transparent/inclusive consultation process has not taken place with regard to proposal as a whole and inclusion of the Land Behind The Moors in particular, has been inadequate opportunity for community to voice its objections. Amount of housing proposed already exceeds allocation and additional 300 houses not required. No brownfield sites being considered, plan needs to be reviewed to include more brownfield sites which are better utilised for residential housing proposals. Area proposed is of historic importance/high communal value and not suitable Green Belt for housing, such developments must enhance not detract from these factors. (See links in Rep to supporting documents). The proposal is contrary to national/local planning policies which emphasise the importance of preserving Green Belt land (outlined in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, Section 13)). Proposal sets a concerning precedent for further encroachments. The

proposal for creating a Local Green Space (fields surrounding St Mary's Church) was not included in the Draft Plan 2040, any housing development would adversely affect the Conservation Area and diminish potential for designated Local Green Spaces in the future. Quotes from the 2019 Conservative Manifesto and The draft plan contradicts this statement and should never have been considered for inclusion. Impact on the local environment/biodiversity cannot be underestimated (quotes study State of Nature 2023 and Protection of Badgers Act 1992). The proposed development raises concerns regarding its alignment with principles of sustainability, crucial that any development adheres to sustainable development principles to ensure long-term community well-being. The immediate local infrastructure is incapable of sustaining the proposed development (transport, healthcare, education, utilities). Proposed development site is in proximity to identified flood risk areas and 'flood plain'. The Flood Alleviation Scheme indicates a recognised need to manage flood risk in the locality (quotes National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, Section 14)). Concludes to consider these material objections broader community concerns when reviewing the proposed residential development.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-065
What is your name? - Name
Councillor Jonathan Boyce
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Fritwell Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Fritwell Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Notes the presentation is good. The quantity of information consultees are expected to assimilate, consider and review is ludicrous within a six-week window. There is no need for printed documents to be so widely distributed at significant cost and damag
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
States Climate change is a global issue and the UK is a minor contributor to this problem. All efforts should be directed to sustainable developments. We will reach a point of diminishing returns quite quickly, and more energy should be directed to scientific and engineering research to aid less prosperous global economies to drive their own sustainability and prosperity.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Fritwell Parish Council have contributed collectively to the consultation through the Mid-Cherwell Neighbourhood Forum, and collectively support the comments made that affect this collective. Further comments will be directed to the broader implications of the local plan, particularly with the change in the local plan to assimilate a further 1,000 dwellings North of Bicester, a change from the 2014 plan.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes, however, it is not clear from the revised local plan what sacrifices would need to be made to achieve any additional biodiversity gains.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The focus of development in North West Bicester is unsustainable for several reasons:
The North West Bicester area is, poorly served with employment opportunities, healthcare provisions, primary and secondary education facilities within easy traveling distance and no significant Waste Water treatment plants that will be able to serve these developments.
Assimilation of productive farmland.
Very significant and innovative improvements would need to be implemented to smooth traffic flows at Junction 10 of the M40.
These developments will have the effect of isolating the rural communities north of them from the south. This will have the consequence of encouraging residents north toward Banbury for employment and retail, without huge investment in infrastructure to mitigate this problem.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes, asks what sacrifices would need to be made?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-066
What is your name? - Name
Tracey walker
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Yes - it needs better segmentation over discrete time spans
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

It does not align, do not seem to have a preference to use brown land over green in some areas. Bicester Eco development is good example of a cut off non sustainable location with limited public transport (reduced as housing has increased) an example of how hopes/wishes actually turn out in economic reality.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Should seek biodiversity and commit to limit greenfield development.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Until its resolved how to make affordable housing provision a reality more employment means more of a housing crisis.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

More congestion/pollution and no real affordable houses to support in Kidlington/Bicester.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Concerned that council are expanding Bicester down to J9 which is very congested.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Asks whether that means Chesterton gets to diversify by becoming a suburb of Bicester as joined on to J9?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

If the affordable housing situation isnt resolved everything else will fail.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Yes, develop Upper Heyford where they have capacity and stop swamping Chesterton into a suburb of Bicester

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Comments won't achieve it.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Agrees, yes but defining what the other requirements that would be sacrificed are would help

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Create buffers around rural villages to prevent small villages being sucked in as suburbs. Great Wolf site should be developed into a green bio diverse outside facility rather than hotel and swimming pool monstrosity.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Aspirations great but contradictory in terms of protect rural characteristics but allow huge development at J9 into Bicester

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Bicester is now a dormitory town for London, focus on how to support people in Bicester based jobs that need affordable housing.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Not at Chesterton. Develop Upper Heyford more on brownfield land available.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Objects, states stacking more and more in areas that already can't cope, roads heavily congested and targeted for more development (see Representation for examples).

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Upper Heyford, Graven Hill, MOD at Ambrosden/Arcott

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Support Uber, transport on demand options.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Focus on antisocial behaviour, help for homeless and drug user issues and youth engagement. Constant plan to keep Pioneer Square clean/serviceable.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Agrees, supports

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Agrees, yes

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Not enough

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Comments are inconsistent in applying aspirations with actual planning permissions.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects, No with regards to sprawl from J9 towards Chesterton

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Allow infill only, no expansion of village unless parish council led

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Asks how can the average person respond to a document of this complexity?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-067

What is your name? - Name

Mark Chivers

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Yes, believes that the detailed plan covers approximately the right period but it could come with longer term policies, targets, aims and objectives.
Refers to Upper Heyford/Heyford Park as an example.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

Large print version, for those with existing vision issues, and for ease of those interacting with the plan in detail.
Online version that people can interact section by section.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

The commitment to making the most efficient and effective use of brown field sites needs to state that it is the Council's policy to have all brownfield sites used before any green sites are allowed to be used.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

There should be a bullet point list of the overarching policies.

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Concern that it doesn't mention how all stakeholders will be involved, or indeed who CDC considers to be a stakeholder.
That scant mention of the diversity of the types of housing as per identified population and population growth is mentioned.
Retirement or supported communities tend to be build as a separate area rather than integrated into new areas/new communities.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Yes, the most effective and efficient use of brown field sites should be a policy that such sites are used in full before any green sites.
Approximately 500 predicted in the draft plan for green sites but these could easily be accounted for at Heyford if

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Yes, policy should be for all brownfield sites used before any green sites.

New builds should have eco measures built in from the plans, eg solar PV panels and grey water collection.

Housing developments should be planned to create communities with the required shops, services, and public transport rather than just a chance for developers to make maximum profits. They'll still make plenty of profit, and leave communities that'll work.

Ensure that the housing is of various sizes to suit the fullest possible range of household sizes.

Ensure that shops, services, public transport etc are included in plans from the outset, rather than an after thought, and reject plans that aren't socially sustainable.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

States depending on the other requirements, but yes seek more when possible and take a sensible approach. Any building is going to have an eco impact, just look to make the minimal impact.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes, but as with housing, brownfield sites should be used before any green sites.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Look to support businesses to relocate, eg Lady Bakeries in Banbury out of a central location to near to the motorway junction. This would give them a chance to modernise, as well as better transport links, and free up land for development.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

States needs joined up thinking. Bicester is getting surrounded by patches of warehouses rather than focused on an area. The former military warehouses at Graven Hill would have been a good idea.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Whenever sustainable and if transport links allow.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Avoid, locate at brownfield sites.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

It would depend on the precise proposal.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Good idea if it doesn't just mean building over green sites.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

None at this time.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Not enough support for the "High Street" - eg I support two hours of free parking in town centres. Yes you lose that income but it encourages the local economy so actually a "loss leader" and the lost income should be recovered in business rates as more e

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

States mainly, some details I would change.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Not exclusively as some flexibility is always needed, but mainly - yes.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Not over a long enough time frame.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

More social housing needed. Becoming a landlord would be a better investment than a shopping centre, leave that to commercial investors.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

None known, but I would be interested in any comments from Heyford PC.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Undecided

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

All housing should be affordable, otherwise by definition it's unaffordable and social exclusion.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

States generally yes, but again it would depend on which other requirements.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

No, good start, needs to be more flexible and better coordination with the provision of education and training.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Green spaces should be included with all developments.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Not at this time.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Needs more consultation with local stakeholders, businesses, churches, land owners, and people.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Explored yes, immediately allocated no, as brown field sites should all be used first.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

States site of current White Lady Bakeries and the coffee factory, help them relocate to a better site/s with better access and transport links that they can have modernised facilities and use the sites for development of housing and businesses - ie commu

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Not at this time.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Not at this time.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Not ambitious enough, not eco enough.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

States explored yes, but not immediately allocated as brown field sites should be used first.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

States use the golf courses.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Not completely, be more ambitious plus needs public transport and road access for logistics.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Golf courses.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

States get public transport back.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Gavrey Meadows area.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Consult people and businesses. Don't commit money and announce spending for political reasons.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Respects the layout, existing buildings, and the history. Plenty of scope for use and lots of open space to be kept.
More public access if development granted.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Not at this time.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

States need to look longer term in the Plan. Currently Heyford Park can take a lot more houses but because CDC hasn't approved those phases they aren't included in the figures and so 500 ish properties identified to be built on probably green sites where they will be built at Heyford.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Mostly.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Yes, create a local community, including some local employment.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Consider the longer term.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

States build larger infrastructure now to accommodate the complete development.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

States needs a transport hub.

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

So far believes Dorchester have done a very good job.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Yes, protect green sites and the character of villages

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No, use brownfield sites first.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

No

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Needs failure criteria as well as success. So if a project runs a certain amount over time/budget, or under quality it's deemed a failure.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

States progress and metrics need to be publicly available.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

States Ensure that the Environment Impact Surveys re the Hedgerow Removal Regulations are included as needed.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-068
What is your name? - Name
Christiaan Monden
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Agrees yes, more importantly should be attention to biodiversity lost in residential gardens (see Rep for examples and effect) plan seems unaware of this trend and has no proposals to reverse this loss of biodiversity.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects, high-density housing should be concentrated nearer active transport hubs (see Representation for examples)

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

High-density housing should be concentrated nearer active transport hubs (see Representation for examples).

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Plan is low in ambitions to give people viable choices for active travel especially in areas where houses planned (see Rep for examples). Plan overlooks the major north transport corridor Kidlington/Oxford will be closed for hours for match days at the proposed OUFC stadium. This would disrupt local traffic/cause congestion and make it impossible to take trains to/from Oxford Parkway for several hours. Transport scheme is underdeveloped with regard to cycling, review emphasises the Oxford Canal path but this is not the cycling infrastructure to be prioritised in/around Kidlington (see Representation for reasons). The Oxford Canal path is a fine leisure option but unsuitable for commuting and daily use (see Rep for reasons).

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

High-density housing should be concentrated nearer active transport hubs (see Representation for examples).

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-069
What is your name? - Name
Charlie Hicks
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Oxfordshire County Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
myself as a County Councillor
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
N/A
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
N/A
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
N/A
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
N/A
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
N/A
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
N/A
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

N/A

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Regarding the adoption of the County Council's policy on 'decide and provide' in local plan. It is welcome that the 'decide and provide' approach is referenced in the draft Local Plan, however doesn't go far enough to fully meet the Oxfordshire County Council Local Transport and Connectivity Plan 5 (adopted 2022) on measures of transport decarbonisation and specifically measures to reduce the number of car trips (see Representation for link to Transport Policy Development Working Group). The Local Plan should fully apply/embrace the 'decide and provide' approach to spatial planning, site locations, land use and transport (see Representation for examples), promote mixed-use and higher density spatial planning (see Rep for figures) via "gentle density" principles to ensure as many as journeys as possible are walked/cycled/taken by sustainable modes of transport and not a local plan that means developers build in a car-dependent way (see Rep for examples of how this can be achieved and links to reports/supporting documents). Would recommend updating Chapter 3 of the Draft Local Plan by incorporating the guidance, in full, set out in the TRICS guidance note on "the practical implementation of the decide & provide approach" (see Representation for link) and adopting the "Vision and Validate" or "Vision led development" approach by Homes England Design Team (see Representation for link to video). It is important that Local Plans across Oxfordshire and LTCP work together to help meet the car trip reduction targets in the LTCP5 (see Representation for link to briefing paper on "Avoid, Shift, Improve" framework).

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

-

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Land should be identified as mixed-use as much as possible, specific employment land means more car-dependency baked into developments (see Representations for example/reasons).

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Good to put employment sites in areas of dense housing, reduces need to travel/helps shift more journeys from car to walking/cycling/public transport. Crucial if the County Council's LTCP headline targets are to be met.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

It is important that new housing developments are high density (100+ dwellings/hectare) and mixed use (people have everything they need within a 10 minute walk of home). Density requirements in draft local plan are too low, will end up with more low dens

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Developments need to be mixed use wherever possible if transport decarbonisation targets are to be met

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

-

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Good overall, make sure car trip reduction is considered as rural transport is especially important in decarbonising transport

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-070
What is your name? - Name
Sharron Chalcraft
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Finmere Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
It is reasonable.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No comment.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No comment.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
None
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
States rural motorists who need to use their car to travel long distances to work which does not fit the 9 to 5 pattern should not be penalised by any of these objectives.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Comments in recent years there has been a considerable problem with flooding in Cherwell. Any future plans should pay particular attention to flood mitigation, in particular in areas where more development is proposed.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

A more detailed spatial strategy, taking into account the growth of Banbury, Bicester etc on surrounding villages, would be welcome. The Moors development is in green belt and against the plan, yet it is still in the proposals. The proposal of a green belt for Bicester was dismissed with no detail as to why it was rejected. The green buffer zones around Banbury and Kidlington are being watered down. Only 3 of the proposed green sites in Bicester have been taken up. It would be nice to see more encouragement for green space initiatives.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

A gain of 20% would be preferable, as was in the previous plan. Serious consideration should be given to the importance of these 'other requirements.' There is also a concern that solar energy requirements are 5 times what they were in the last plan and there is no clear prioritisation of brown field sites. Biodiversity will not be served by covering land in solar panels. The 'dark skies' initiative has been largely ignored.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

States brownfield sites should be the absolute priority if any further land is identified.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

N/A

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

States this seems a sensible approach but there needs to be a robust control on huge warehouse developments that are disproportionate, the plan seems vague on these issues.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

The plan needs to be clearer about controls on huge developments which can impact not just existing sites but surrounding communities.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Comments too vague, too little concentration on prioritising brown field sites, both in employment and housing development.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

States this seems reasonable.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

There needs to be a very stringent approach to the size of proposed diversification projects, but it is good to see encouragement for rural employment.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

States after the recent permission for a large water park near Bicester, despite huge concern about the effect on surrounding villages, it would be nice to see even clearer wording on the siting of future facilities/attractions.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

It is important that we revive our town centres/High Streets. With robust detail regarding keeping new initiatives centric.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The modelling used for setting out future housing requirements was the local HENA plan, rather than the ONS model. This means a increase in projected figures in many of the proposed developments compared to the last plan.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

States clearer identification of sites would be welcome, as would more detail on social rent housing figures.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

They seem appropriate.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

States recent developments have allowed the 30% figure to be dropped to as low as 10%, as building both green eco homes and affordable homes were claimed by the developer to make the site unprofitable. There needs to be a much more committed determination from CDC to stick to the 30% figure for affordable housing, rather than allowing social housing to lose out to green agendas.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

No

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

They seem appropriate.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Yes

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Not sure.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

They seem appropriate.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Yes

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No
34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?
Yes
35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?
No
36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?
No
37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?
Not sure
38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?
No
39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?
No
40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?
States mobile vendors who have used the market place for many years should be provided with a suitable alternative location if they are not able to use the Market Square after improvements have been made.
41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?
Comments very good
Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?
No
42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

They seem appropriate.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Comments with the exception of green belt areas.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Yes

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Not sure

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

No

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Yes

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Comments possibly

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

No

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

No

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

States green belt should be protected.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Yes

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

No

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Hopefully, if the numbers required decrease, then the need for all proposed sites will be mitigated. If it is not then it must only be as a matter of last resort that other sites are looked at.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

No

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-071
What is your name? - Name
Darren Vidler
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The Plan period is fine
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
N/A
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
N/A
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
N/A
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
The vision is fine. The size of Bicester in the plans does not match the existing infrastructure nor does the aim of reducing traffic congestion. On unsuitable road system in representation.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
The vision is fine, however it doesn't make allowances for infrastructure development for Bicester. On unsuitable road infrastructure in rep.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

The vision is fine, however it doesn't make allowances for infrastructure development for Bicester. On unsuitable road infrastructure in rep.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The strategy appears to be build houses and warehouses in Bicester while doing as little as possible to ensure the many commuters who live here are able to move around via the road network. Bicester is regularly gridlocked due to the large numbers of vehicles taking the single north/south route through town and then being caught up in continuous roadworks which seem to be primarily for services repairs rather than improvements. Resident feedback is very rarely listened to.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Comments too vague - asks what other requirements are you referring to?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, as it'll just end up with a warehouse on it. If you do, group it with other employment land instead of scattering them all around the town.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

N/A

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Comments as above

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Comments as above

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Comments as above

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Comments as above

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

States Bicester is a tourist hub due to Bicester Village and it causes horrendous traffic issues. If CDC are going to push for tourists you need to ensure the roads are upgraded to handle the increased traffic first, not after it arrives.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Comments believe it when I see it.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Comments believe it when I see it.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

States this doesn't make sense.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

It's ridiculous

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The infrastructure can't cope with existing resident numbers, needs to be upgraded before putting more housing in place.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

N/A

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

N/A

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

States 30% is fine

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Asks again, what other requirements? Too vague.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

They won't use them anyway.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

There was a local green space in Bicester and it was sold to Bicester Village for a car park to be built on.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

N/A

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

The vision is fine. The size of Bicester in the plans does not match the existing infrastructure nor does the aim of reducing traffic congestion. On unsuitable road infrastructure in rep.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

The vision is fine. The size of Bicester in the plans does not match the existing infrastructure nor does the aim of reducing traffic congestion. On unsuitable road infrastructure in rep.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

The vision is fine. The size of Bicester in the plans does not match the existing infrastructure nor does the aim of reducing traffic congestion. On unsuitable road infrastructure in rep.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Would prefer to see them grouped into a single area rather than having warehouses spread across the town. It makes more sense to have employment options in one area and residential in others.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Upgrades to the ring road desirable but unlikely due to building on both sides limiting aims to reduce traffic congestion.
Stop reducing speed limits and stop spending millions of pounds replacing a perfectly functional roundabout with a junction that w

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Designate space for a future ring road/bypass to accommodate future growth in line with the one at Brackley.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

States so much but unlikely to be delivered.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Comments duplicate question.

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

States reduce business rates, increase road capacity by reopening north street for direct town access - this would also reduce traffic queues through the town.
Incentivise businesses to open stores in town rather than on large retail parks.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Comments ok

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

The vision is fine. however it doesn't make allowances for infrastructure development for Bicester. On unsuitable road infrastructure in rep.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-072
What is your name? - Name
Tim Northey
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Abbeymill Homes
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
None
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
None
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Comments many of the proposed aspirations of draft plan are contained within the current development plan although questionable whether it has been successful in its delivery. Can the plan realistically deliver these aspirations/how will they be achieved
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

None

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

On Core Policy 34 - fully support Scenario 4 which provides for an economic led strategy and delivers highest level of housing growth in district at 1,335 pa. This will help meet the housing need of the district and assist with a claimed objective to help make housing more affordable in the district. If plan opts for a lesser level of housing growth this will result in more in-commuting/less sustainable travel patterns as highlighted in the HENA document, a key part of the evidence base of the emerging plan.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Comments support the delivery of the higher end of housing need identified to deliver sufficient housing in district to meet economic needs. Assessment cautions against use of the standard method as will increase in-commuting/goes against key aspiration

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Comments too much focus on Bicester/Banbury which continues a long standing growth strategy that has failed to support improvement in service provision in more rural settlements. A re-balanced growth strategy should be pursued so benefits of growth are more fairly distributed across the district.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Objects to re-categorisation of a number of current Category A settlements to small villages (see Rep for examples/reasons)

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Objects to the aspiration that seeks to limit development in rural areas/direct development of new housing largely to the larger villages. This has been the approach under the current development plan which has only served to challenge the vitality/viability of services in villages, with many having been lost. Is contrary to Paragraph 79 of the NPPF.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects, no the level of housing proposed for rural areas is insufficient to meet the housing needs in these areas. Housing delivery in these areas has been shown, under current development plan, to be most reliable for housing land supply purposes and as

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

A number of sites are considered potentially suitable as rural housing sites and are under control of Abbey Mill Homes (see Rep for list (3 currently the subject of live applications/appeals)) each site is available/suitable/deliverable and capable of deli

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-073
What is your name? - Name
Catriona Reid
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Well presented but the priority is the content, not the presentation
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
The majority of policies have been replaced in this new plan and often amalgamated. I fear this may weaken the council's position when it comes to rebuffing speculative planning applications.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Not enough focus on maintaining the rural character of the district. This should be made very explicit
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Comments, as above
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

There must be clarity that an objective is to direct and limit development to identified locations only

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Open countryside needs to be more clearly defined and policy should enshrine the protection of existing buffers between villages and towns.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Little supporting evidence that artificial biodiversity net gain is actually beneficial but if it is to be built in then the figure should be at least 20%.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Objects, no, there has been a vast amount of commercial property built in/around Banbury recently, no more is required at present, particularly given the number of empty commercial property within the town centre.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

N/A

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Would be appropriate although more employment sites in Heyford would seem sensible given the growing residential community and inevitable reliance upon private transport which would be viewed as unsustainable.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Comments very positive.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Would favour policy 3.124 over 3.123 which does not seem to provide any limitation on unallocated site development.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

This seems proportional.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Agrees, supports this approach.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Seems reasonable but could be over-interpreted to favour development in rural areas.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Feel this should go further given amount of vacant space in town centre. Recent schemes such as the Light, Lock 29 and installing community assets in vacant units in Castle Quay have been very positive.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

No views

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

No views

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Over-inflated and allows for higher growth in Cherwell than current rates. Not in accordance with Government standard methodology and this should be used in preference. Do not feel that meeting Oxford's unmet needs is responsibility of Cherwell and shou

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Rural allocation seems high. Plan mentions that canalside may be downgraded, cannot understand why this would be, it is of the highest importance to rural areas and the current plan is not substantial enough to rebuff speculative applications. This must be addressed.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No. Hanwell actively oppose any further development within/bordering the parish given the amount of development that has already been allocated to the northern edge of the town.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Agrees, approves of the reclassification as long as the settlement boundaries are not breached, this must be specified within the plan.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

No clarity as to the overlap between first homes and affordable homes and whether the 25% first homes fall within the 30% affordable. To be truly affordable more homes should be allocated to social rent.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No. Given how profit driven developers are they must be made to deliver in all areas.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Agrees, yes

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Yes Hanwell Sports Field and the paddock adjacent to the church, the castle grounds and Park Farm should be designated green spaces.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Policies from previous plan have been amalgamated which would view as weakening them and giving less ammunition to local communities opposing speculative/unallocated development. There is no clear strategy for preventing coalescence. The settlement gap policy must be strengthened.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

The aspirations are positive but in order for them to materialise infrastructure of area must be improved to adequately serve the current population, let alone future expansion. Access to Healthcare/policing/roads must be improved.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, redevelopment of brownfield town centre sites is the only appropriate course of action.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Comments do not feel a new motorway junction on Southam Road would best serve the town or residents of north Banbury or local villages.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

N/A

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

N/A

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

N/A

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

N/A

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

N/A

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

N/A

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

N/A

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

N/A

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

N/A

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

N/A

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/A

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

N/A

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/A

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

N/A

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

N/A

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

N/A

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

N/A

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

N/A

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

N/A

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

N/A

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

The boundary where towns become open countryside must be clearly defined to prevent unchecked development and colaesence.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Agrees in principle, but without identified sites this could pose significant challenges to local communities/planning officers.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

The renewable energy policy needs strengthening to limit use of greenfield arable land and instead to implement a brownfield/rooftop first approach and to insist that developers use solar on industrial rooftops/newbuild properties. A dark skies policy sho

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No
Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?
No
66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?
No
Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?
No
Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-074
What is your name? - Name
A Reid
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Comments publicising its existence!
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Agrees with it.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

States that would depend upon which other requirements were sacrificed.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Yes, the fields to the north of The Moors in Kidlington, which have several well-used paths across them that are used by a great many people for recreation and exercise.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Disagrees with calling the current public transport links to Oxford 'excellent'. I am currently faster cycling to central Oxford than waiting for a bus. This has the great drawback of not being able to carry much... Aspiring to improve them would be a good idea!

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

The site to the North of The Moors should not be explored further for allocation for housing. It is not well-connected to public transport. The fields in question have paths which are well-used by people for recreation/exercise, and there are minimal points where vehicular access is possible. There are numerous species of wildlife (listed in representation). It is in the 'Recovery Zone' of the Oxfordshire Nature Recovery Network, and next to the Lower Cherwell Valley Conservation Area, so development would appear to go against core policy 11, as outlined on pp 31-35 of the Plan.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

States yes, improvements required to the cycling provisions along the A4260. Improvements to the bus service into central Oxford. Being able to cross the A44 at a crossing near Langford Lane when on a bike would be a great improvement.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-075
What is your name? - Name
Stuart Sale
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Generally sound, however, there should be a greater focus on how the Kidlington/Begbroke/Yarnton area will function after the addition of the 4400 houses. Currently, the railway and canal mean these are functionally quite separate communities. With the additional housing much of the geographic separation will be lost, but it is not clear that the villages will be able to benefit from this increased proximity.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

There are a couple of issues with the land North of The Moors that make it problematic for housing:
Access unclear - The Moors itself would not be a sensible route - as it only has pavement on the North-East side it sees an unusual amount of pedestrians. Numerous parked cars on the road, already making it difficult to accommodate cars travelling in both directions in parts.
Kidlington area strategy - 'Support increased access to nature', developing this land would appear to run counter to this. Area susceptible to flooding.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Freize Farm - this land is currently earmarked for a replacement golf course, but the need for housing would appear greater.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

The following: -The proposal to run a cycle superhighway along the A4260 would appear incompatible with the road closures needed for the proposed stadium at the Triangle.
* There is no mention of investigating the use of rail to improve transport locally - Kidlington is sandwiched between two lines.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-076
What is your name? - Name
Chris Pruden
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Cherwell District Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Comments simple and short, no issues
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Talks about recreational activities, but not much evidence of this in the plan. Bicester needs things such as a bowling alley/theatre/much more.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Concerned over SO8, redevelopment/renewal of urban centres. They need looking after but not at the expense of the character/soul of the area (Bicester Market Square for example).
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Concerned about mention of Oxfords unmet needs in plan, they currently do not have any unmet need to take until they have completed a local plan. The method used to calculate how many homes needed is not needed, should be using the standard method which still gives high numbers but not numbers given on the current method.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Comment on a case by case basis

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Comments wrong locations

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Needs to be looked at case by case, keeping in mind what benefit does it bring to the local area

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Need to look at what we can do with current people coming into area rather than bring more. Turn a few hours into a few days.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Need smaller, better value for money retail units. Have too many cafes and food outlets.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Objects, no leaves a good chunk of Market Square out the town centre and all of Deans Court - that needs to be added please. Shops and bank on Manorsfield Road should be included

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Mostly yes, but should be on a case by case basis

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Too many houses based on a method not needed

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Too much within Bicester, should be spread out more

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Better than the old one but still flawed. Ambrosden is classed as a Large Village (it does have a lot of homes) but it does not have a lot of facilities that a village half its size should have.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

More social housing, namely 1 and 2 bed which could be in blocks of flats.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Too much for Bicester. Land south east of Wretchwick Green will cause traffic problems. Need to keep a green buffer on the A41

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Site 5, Current CDC depot, would work for flats. No other areas need to be changed, especially the Market square.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Objects, not land adjacent to Symmetry Park, it needs to be just off a main road and nearer the M40/A34. A41 as it currently is would not be able to cope with extra traffic

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Land North East Bicester - It seems the only area not really given anything so far.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Cover part of Sheep Street (the higher half, length ways) so it can still be used on wetter days.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Comments too much

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Very nice area, not much to comment on. Only suggestion is it could do with a train station to help public transport

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

They could take a bit more housing

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Would be good to have a policy on car parks larger than 10 spaces having to have a solar canopy

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-077
What is your name? - Name
Kenton Bromby
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No comments
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Policies decided during the last plan should be retained at least until the end of the period originally defined (ie 2031 for policies from last plan) otherwise impression is timescale is irrelevant as policies can be overwritten before timescale ends.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
No comments
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Theme 3 should include "preservation of existing communities" - something that is at risk when considering development within/around villages.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

On Core Policy 45 (Settlement Gaps) the following criteria should be added to make clear that development will only be permitted where physical and visual separation, and sense of separation, between the two separate settlements is not diminished (see Rep for suggested criteria).

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

HENA over inflates required number of houses above Government standard housing assessment therefore Government standard should be used. Should resist an allocation based on Oxford's unmet housing need.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The emerging housing distribution has a higher allocation to rural areas than would seem appropriate given the scope of town centre brownfield redevelopment opportunities.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The sports field in Hanwell village (adjacent to Muddy Lane), field between St Peter's Church, Hanwell, 50 Acre Field to South and fields between Hanwell Conservation Area and Nickling Road should be designated.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Land between Adderbury and Aynho or Bodicote and Twyford best placed to provide additional M40 junction. Traffic congestion at Hennef Way/town centre stem from everyone South/South West of town must drive through/via A4260 to M40.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Another M40 junction on Southam Road will do nothing to reduce congestion in town/Hennef Way as majority of vehicles have to travel through town/Hennef Way to it, solution is junction to South of town. Junction at Southam Road will increase traffic throu

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Rural exception sites must be removed and policies to prevent coalescence and enhance the landscape (CP43 and CP45) to be strengthened.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-078
What is your name? - Name
Maria Huff
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
The following for Q4: It would be helpful to note what parts are within your power to deliver, which are reliant on others to provide, and which are just predictions – and what those predictions are based on. Para 1: “We achieve our climate action targets..... This is a very risky assumption. How are you able to envisage that our energy production will be sustainable? Para 2: “There is a choice of well-designed market and affordable homes to meet our needs..... What makes you able to envisage this? Para 10: “Heyford Park and other areas of planned growth benefit from enhanced community facilities,..... How are the sustainable transport links going to be put in place – as they aren’t even in your strategic objectives and Spatial Plan? (more details in rep)

Will it stop development being approved at Heyford?

Para 11: New rural models of transport and service provision complement existing services for a more sustainable and well-connected (including digital) rural living.”

What underpins this vision?

A summary of the underlying assumptions would be very useful to people reviewing this plan.

What is your plan if this vision is not on track and how are you tracking it. (more details in rep.)

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Comments saving this set of Strategic Objectives makes it seem to the lay person, that you are working to deliver them all within your control.

It would be very useful to make clear what is within CDC's means to deliver, what CDC are reliant on others to d

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

On Section 3.1 of your consultation document:

Bicester: “Continue to maximise the benefits of having key international and national destinations and economic activity to support further business investment”

How is expanding a non-sustainable business model, one which increases unsustainable consumption, compatible with reducing unnecessary travel, creating sustainable and resilient economy SO7 and reducing our reliance on fossil fuels SO1?

Kidlington “Continue to support investment in key economic assets including ... London-Oxford Airport”

How can supporting investment in London-Oxford airport be compatible with reducing unnecessary travel. - based on SO7 and reliance on fossil fuels SO1?

Heyford Park

Why is there no commitment here as per SO5: prioritise active travel and attractiveness of public transport. Promoting Heyford park without a corresponding improvement to public transport and active travel is to absolutely increase personal road use.

Rural Areas

Where is the commitment to "to support a sustainable and resilient economy, reduce inequality and help to reduce unnecessary transport." SO) in rural areas?

Consider further consultation on these points. I think a "Citizens Assembly" would help to make these dilemmas and contradictions into something which all stakeholders could discuss, and come on with a Climate compatible and equitable solution.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP1: i. It would be great if CP1 could make Heyford into one of the most sustainable locations. I can't see how it can be because its expansion will always lead to a substantially car based personal travel increase. How are you going to limit or mitigate that personal car travel? iii. How is Heyford part of reducing travel and prioritising sustainable travel? v. How are you going to promote "the use of decentralised and renewable energy where appropriate"? Is that just within the context of any applications which come to CDC? CP1 makes no mention of delivery of the above notes, in particular, where is delivery of all of the points within 3.7, 3.9, 3.10, 3.11? CP1 notes 3.5, 3.9, please add a commitment to work with the population of Cherwell, in civil groups as key stakeholders, not just other layers of government. CP2, CP3 CP4: Please clarify and restate this "All new dwellings and new non-residential development of 1,000m2 or more" to make sure its clear that its: All new dwellings of any size, and all new non-residential development of 1000m2 or more ... Why do you restrict the application of energy efficiency measures for non-residential developments to those over 1000m2? The policy needs to state the definition of "low carbon energy technologies" and "zero carbon ready" as above in the notes. CP3 -vi. "Non-residential: a 19% reduction in carbon emissions compared to Part L 2013 through energy efficiency measures (fabric efficiency, efficient services and efficient energy supply)". Over what period is the 19% reduction applicable? CP4 preamble notes: 3.22 g. A plan for monitoring and annual reporting.... This needs to include a provision to remedy underperformance against the proposals agreed prior to building. CP5: What is the Council's carbon offsetting fund being used for? Core Policy 6 pre-amble notes: 3.31. What constitutes unacceptably harmed? This is an emergency – as already declared by CDC, and Oxfordshire CC, and the UK Government, and the UN. 3.34 On renewable energy SO1 see rep for details. CP6: "The Council supports renewable and low-carbon energy provisions providing any adverse impacts can be addressed satisfactorily." The level to which "any adverse impacts" need to be addressed, needs putting into the context of a global climate emergency. Consider further consultation on this.

CP21: The provision of more car and electric vehicle community sharing parking and cycling parking provision cannot only be left to the developers to provide in new developments. Improved cycle parking and shared vehicle parking at all train-stations in Cherwell Improved secure cycle parking near bus stops. CP22: I suggest that Development proposals should also create and support the means of car clubs and community vehicle sharing, by providing extra parking and charging points to facilitate shared vehicles. Congestion will look very different if we manage to create the right conditions for public transport, active travel, and much greater car sharing. For the new provision of transport infrastructure: How is the car use projection calculated? This needs to be based on a "decide and provide" basis not an extrapolation of current use.

Use of buses needs to be encouraged. CP47: Active Travel – Walking and Cycling" looks helpful in this regard, though it does not talk about extra provision for shared vehicle use CP43 and 44 need to be kept in balance with the need to make provision for the decarbonisation of our energy provision. CP50: Please evaluate, make provision and state the relative value of extra allotments as a community asset. Further reference to allotments in rep. CP55. The opening notes talk about the value and contribution of allotments – but the policy does not mention any provision for creating new allotments. How can allotments be provided for developments of under 275 dwellings – this would be especially necessary where the dwellings do not have any private garden space, such as flats.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Banbury Area Strategy needs to include enhanced provision for active travel into the centre and other places which are destinations for lots of people by making good facilities for cycle and scooter parking, shared car parking and better visitor parking f

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

CP63, 64:

Are these strategic transport schemes necessary – within a few years we should be planning for a reduction of road use by cars travelling short distances or cars used for only one person. There is a need for further improvements to bus servi

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

"Continue to maximise the benefits of having key international ... destinations and economic activity to support further business investment;"
Any development which relies on international leisure flight models to bring in money or encourages international

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

CP71, 72

Congestion will look very different if we manage to create the right conditions for public transport, active travel, and much greater car sharing.

If we haven't cut car use by any and all means, we will not be responding to the Climate Emergency

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

“Continue to support investment in key economic assets including ... London-Oxford Airport”

How can supporting investment in London-Oxford airport be compatible with reducing unnecessary travel. - based on your Strategic objective SO7 and our reliance on fossil fuels SO1

Any proposed schemes which rely on future theoretical emission cuts need to pass the test equivalent to your test in point 3.15 of your consultation document.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

CP77: Development proposals at the airport should include mitigation measures to address any environmental and health impacts, particularly in respect of noise, air quality, health, and climate change in compliance with other Development Plan policies.

Any development to the Airport which enables more flights is not acceptable if we are to meet our Climate emissions reductions, and react appropriately to the Climate Emergency.

Core Policy 77 preamble notes : 6.46 relies on a story of future sustainable way of flying Any such technologies are currently so expensive and small scale as to rule out flying in the way we consider normal now, and at similar prices. Please apply the same test as you set down in 3.15 here.

We cannot continue to fly “as normal” and expand this behaviour, and meet our Climate Emergency commitments.

CP78, 79

Are these strategic transport schemes necessary – within a few years we should be planning for a reduction of road use by cars travelling short distances or cars used for only one person.

If we haven’t cut car use by any and all means, we will not be responding to the Climate Emergency in an adequate way.

More use of bus lanes or higher bus priority in urban centres needs to be considered, so that people have even more reason to get on buses and out of their cars.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

CP83, 84 Are these strategic transport schemes necessary – within a few years we should be planning for a reduction of road use by cars travelling short distances or cars used for only one person.

If we haven't cut car use by any and all means, we will not be responding to the Climate Emergency in an adequate way.

CP85:What can be done in addition to help bring this aspiration into being as a passenger transport hub?

If there is going to be a National Rail freight hub in the Cherwell District, how can this also be kept as a passenger access point too. Info. Link provided in rep.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Your point 9.5 says that the sustainability appraisal plays an integral role. If the Appraisal shows that we are not on track to meet our necessary Climate changes, I suggest that the plan is reviewed urgently against this point, and it is escalated to th
66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?
Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?
Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?
Thank-you for such an extensive consultation document and process. I particularly enjoyed meeting some of the officers at the Lock 29 event on 21 October 2023. This is the first time I have responded to a local plan in this way. For another time, I would welcome a workshop on the most helpful way in which to present information to a consultation such as this.
Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-079
What is your name? - Name
Adrian Gray
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
N/A
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
N/A
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Not particularly, no.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
It needs publicising more!
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
No
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

No

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Agrees yes, absolutely.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Land for employment should be a goal, however existing transport links are reaching capacity and this must be taken into account.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Agrees, yes

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Agrees, yes

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Agrees with it.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Doesn't think that question can be answered without knowing what would be sacrificed.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The fields to the North of The Moors, these already have paths crossing them that are well-used for recreation and have good links to local footpath network, the River Cherwell and Thrupp community woodland

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Disagrees with description of bus links to Oxford as "excellent". Since handed to a single operator services have become erratic, often bearing no resemblance to timetable. Often faster to cycle to Oxford.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Disagrees with land North of The Moors being explored further for housing allocation due to insufficient public transport links, traffic congestion, used for recreation area and home to wildlife (see Rep for examples). It is in 'Recovery Zone' of Oxfordshire Nature Recovery Network and next to Lower Cherwell Valley Conservation Area, development would go against core policy 11, as outlined on pp 31-35 of the Plan.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Improvements to cycling provisions along A4260 would be good and improving bus service into central Oxford. Being able to cross A44 at a crossing near Langford Lane when on a bike would be an improvement over current situation.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-080
What is your name? - Name
Nick Hemstock
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Mr & Mrs N Hemstock
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No, because there is not yet a workable solution for the provision of 10% biodiversity net gain so increasing this will become even more difficult. Either central government or the local planning authority need to prepare a methodology to be discharged as part of development.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Absolutely not unless it is brownfield land and certainly no additional land east of the M40 should be allocated for employment under any circumstances. To allow any additional land east of the M40 to be allocated for employment land would be in breach of the proposed Core Policies 43, 48 and 57 which are some of the most important policies in the draft plan. The development of the existing employment land to the south of the Overthorpe Road and west of the M40 and between the M40 and the A361 on the east side of the motorway is already an eyesore and has not been built with any regard to sustainable development nor incorporated any environmentally positive features such as solar panel or green roofs.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

States this needs to be conditioned to ensure best practice and the implementation of the most sustainable and planet positive designs are incorporated into any approved schemes.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

States Nethercote - the historic nature of the hedgerows along Banbury Lane/Blacklocks Hill should be preserved for future generations and provide a green corridor along this historically significant route. The surrounding landscape is of historical sig

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-081
What is your name? - Name
Daniel Rhodes
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
"SO 10: Meet the housing needs of all sectors of Cherwell's communities..." How many of currently planned 4,400 houses are meeting needs of Cherwell's communities? If they don't meet those needs how have you pursued that objective in the past and how ha
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Several sections of theme 3 mention preventing urban sprawl, particularly preventing coalescence of settlements, current approved developments would effectively join Kidlington to Begbrook/Yarnton and leave only Oxford Parkway (hardly an undeveloped green space) to separate Kidlington from Cutteslowe.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

A lot of the "soft" aims are to make life for people of Kidlington better (see Reresentation for examples) all laudable aims however struggle to comprehend how an aspiration such as "Support increased access to nature, open spaces and the Green Belt..." can be reconciled with over 4,400 houses already planned to be built on green belt on three sides of Kidlington.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No. Existing plans already include provision for over 4,400 houses around east/south/west of Kidlington effectively bridging all green spaces between Kidlington/Begbrook/Yarnton. Suggesting there is a lack of housing for Kidlington's local needs is ridiculous. The new sites cut more into green belt, which plan claims to want to maintain. There are other brown field sites that have not been considered before deciding to further develop green sites around Kidlington, which contradicts stated aims in plan.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Current planned 4,400 houses should be good enough. Re other brownfield sites there is a development at Heyford Park which hasn't been assessed for more development. Regarding transport links in Heyford and quotes plan regarding congestion on Kidlington

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

There doesn't appear to be a defined scheme proposed. What would improved bus service look like? How would you prioritise the A44? How would cycle paths be improved in Kidlington for going into Oxford along the A4260?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-082

What is your name? - Name

Antoni Skwirzynski

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Much stronger definition and protection of 'settlement gaps' eg between Hanwell and Banbury. Current proposal is too weak and vague.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Government standard rather than HENA estimate should be used. The latter overinflates number of required houses. Cherwell should not be required to meet Oxford's unmet housing need.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Hanwell Sports field/the field between St.Peter Church (Hanwell)/the 50 acre field should be designated as local green space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Rural exception sites should be removed. Strengthening of policies to prevent coalescence and enhance the landscape required (CP43 and CP 45).

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-083
What is your name? - Name
Guy Robinson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Historic England
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Historic England
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No Comment
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Page 3 states: "We are also proposing a number of strategic development policies to complement the core policies". Not entirely clear about what is meant by this distinction and what is meant by a "core" policy. Might this be clarified? Historic enviro
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No comment.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Supports the draft vision and welcomes reference to enhancing the District's heritage assets, visitor economy and vibrant cultural offer with improved access. Not sure that the plan needs to state "rich historic heritage", where "rich heritage" might suffice.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Broadly welcomes the objectives, in particular SO 4, SO9 and SO13. Suggests two minor amendments: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• "SO 4: Maintain and improve the natural and built and 'historic' environment including biodiversity, landscape ..."• "SO 13: Protect and enhance the his

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?**6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?**

Broadly supports the spatial strategy, recommends two specific changes in text. District-wide approach is currently silent on historic environment, wording for consideration: "Raise design standards and villages, 'while conserving or enhancing our historic environment'" and re Upper Heyford the headline spatial strategy needs to mention heritage ie "Ensure the implementation already planned 'while sustaining the area's heritage significance'" There is much in the draft plan that we support, including broadly its spatial strategy. More detail should be included in plan regarding approach to development at Upper Heyford to sustain heritage significance (including in Heyford Park strategy summary/strategy map/CP 82). Recommend further work to strengthen the Council's evidence base underpinning selected new allocations. Helpful if plan were clearer about what it means to be a "core" policy, would advise including a strategic policy on historic environment, para 20 NPPF: "Strategic policies should set out an overall strategy for the pattern, scale and design quality of places, and make sufficient provision for... ..conservation and enhancement of the natural, built and historic environment". Advises strategic policies should provide clear starting point for non-strategic policies (para 21) and neighbourhood plans must be in general conformity with strategic policies in a Development Plan (footnote 18). Is Council comfortable it has delineated between strategic/non-strategic policies? - further reflection may be beneficial. A strategic policy on heritage is to affirm commitment to positive steps to conserve/enhance historic environment/ensure development conserves/enhances heritage assets in line with local/national policy (CP57 has strategic elements) - (See Rep for additions for a positive strategy for historic environment/commitments to heritage-at-risk (8 assets in Cherwell)). Would be happy to discuss further

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No comment on the level of BNG but flags that a strategic approach to biodiversity needs to be holistic, recognising the potential for 'triple wins' that deliver good outcomes for biodiversity, climate and heritage. RE CP 1 & 4 support policies but text needs to make clear that retrofit of traditionally constructed buildings needs to take whole building approach, informed by heritage expertise. Though CP4 seems to focus on new build, opening paragraph 3.21 suggests broader ambition for approach. Should paragraph 3.21 be amended? CP 6 - welcomes reference to "The historic environment including designated and nondesignated assets and their settings" - suggest explicit reference is made to the setting of heritage assets in list of considerations, when avoiding harm to heritage significance (rather than the mitigation of harm as detailed in para. 3.34) eg "Any proposals for such turbines 2019 Study "and the setting of heritage assets where appropriate", together ..." Para 3.34 recommend referring to heritage in list of considerations associated with cumulative impacts (eg heritage impacts linked with Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs) ie Botley Solar Farm). Suggest following wording "When assessing proposals for renewable energy landscape character, 'heritage', public rights" May want to check end of sentence regarding "users, and quality" - unclear what is meant? Para 3.34 suggest aligning the language with core policy 46 ie "Special attention and protection will, in particular, need to be given to designated landscapes, within the Cherwell Valley and within Conservation Areas and their setting the landscape and biodiversity of the Cotswolds National Landscape." CP 9 - Potential impact of water catchment/abstraction measures on heritage assets/settings, water-related/dependent heritage assets, needs to be considered in strategic approach. Highlight opportunities for conserving/enhancing heritage assets as part of approach to flood risk management/river basin/catchment-based initiatives (sustaining/enhancing character/distinctiveness of townscapes/landscapes). CP11 - approach to biodiversity needs to be holistic, potential for 'triple wins' deliver good outcomes for biodiversity, climate and heritage. Newly created/alterd habitats will sit within historical landscape and have positive/negative impacts on setting/physical/chemical conditions of heritage assets (see Rep for links for more information). CP 12 BNG proposals should seek to avoid harm to historic environment, this should be made explicit in text eg "Where the required delivery of biodiversity net gain is not possible on-site to Oxfordshire's wildlife and ecosystems. 'BNG proposals should seek to avoid harm to the historic environment'" and/or within text: "Where off-site delivery of BNG is required,..... net gain is maintained long term. 'BNG proposals should seek to avoid harm to the historic environment'" CP15 Natural/historic environment integral to one another, opportunity for 'triple wins' (as above). Historic environment important element within Natural England's Green Infrastructure Framework (see Rep for quote from section 4.18 of framework's planning/design guide) acknowledging framework the historic environment needs to be integrated within Council's strategic approach to green and blue infrastructure, at minimum within the text supporting core policy 15. CP24 the way the policy abbreviates density requirements not entirely clear (surely units envisaged are X dwellings/hectare, not X/ hectares?) Reference to new housing developments brings into scope greenfield development, is that intended? If so does "net" approach still apply? Interested to learn more about evidence base/logic informing the densities for differently sized urban extensions. Is this justified?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No comment

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

No comment

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Broadly supports the proposed approach.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

No comment

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Broadly supports the proposed approach.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

No comment

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

No comment

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Recommends minor amendment to core policy 31 on tourism as follows: "Complement the rural, community, 'heritage' or nature conservation benefits".

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

No comment

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

No comment

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Supports Development Policy 3

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

No comment

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

No comment

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No comment

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supports the proposed approach but is predicated on assumption that status of local service centre for Upper Heyford will not result in expansion of employment development at Upper Heyford in such a way that would harm heritage significance of site. Welc

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

No comment

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No comment

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Supports proposed approach, specifically inclusion of heritage-related criterion (vi. The potential for harm to the historic and natural environment).

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Concerned that CP 57 and 59 do not consider heritage significance in enough clarity to support decision-making that affects different types of heritage asset. Most of CP 57 applies to both designated and non-designated heritage assets, do not disagree with much of content (with exception of final paragraph) the policy leaves unsaid (or defaults to NPPF) how heritage significance should influence decision-making (more detailed comments in appendix B). Re designated heritage asset, note draft plan proposes separate policies for conservation areas and listed buildings but not for Registered Parks and Gardens, Registered Battlefields and Scheduled Monuments - would be interested to understand logic behind this approach. Council intends to develop new site allocation policies for new sites taken forward, setting out requirements for taking those site forward. From heritage perspective is important for some sites, existing indicative site templates provide insufficient clarity/certainty on key heritage issues. Underpinning new site allocations is the need for proportionate heritage impact assessment (HIA). Level of detail for HIA not same for sites - more detailed consideration important for sites with significant heritage issues (emphasise importance of heritage professional input throughout assessment process). Interim Sustainability Appraisal identifies heritage issues, not comprehensive and not represent a HIA (informs how best to avoid/mitigate harm, suitable enhancement measures/further consideration of capacity of each site). (See Rep for list of sites highlighted for proportionate HIA). Findings of HIA should be added to Council's evidence base/used to inform Local Plan (including policy wording). Recommend following a 5-step methodology for HIA (see Rep for link). If an area has archaeological potential would encourage suitable assessment at plan-making stage (outlined in Historic England Advice Note 17 - see Rep for link) begins with deskbased work, including reference to Historic Environment Record, may necessitate field evaluation/discussion with Council's archaeological adviser (see Rep for list of 8 sites) 6 also in HIA list above, Council may wish to integrate results of desk-based archaeological assessment within wider heritage impact assessment where relevant. CP 43 Para 3.246 suggest two minor modifications to bullet points (see Rep for detailed response). CP 43 recommend minor amendment to criteria (see Rep for details). CP 46 - Object - recommend minor changes to sixth criteria to ensure it picks up on setting of heritage assets (see Rep for proposed wording). Request further consideration given to impact of tall buildings. CP50 Suggest minor amendment to wording (see Rep for details and link for more information). Re CP57-59 para 3.340/3.341/3.346 minor amendment requested to wording (see Rep for details) Re para 3.348 where a development site has archaeological interest, an archaeological desk-based assessment needed along with planning application (also maybe field evaluation). CP57 Object - headed "Historic environment and archaeology" - archaeological remains integral part of historic environment, recommend heading

be “Historic environment”, concerned policy implies same/similar approach needed when proposals impact on different types of heritage asset, final paragraph particularly problematic, appears to afford same level of protection to designated and non-designated heritage assets - needs to be amended (see Rep for suggested wording/details). Note prior local plans included specific policy on setting of Rousham Park, emphasise need for Local Plan to embed this consideration (see Rep for details). Recommend referring to a heritage statement in CP57, which is referenced also in the Council’s validation checklist (see Rep for details)

Note in consultation document there is a formatting issue / typo in “desk-top study” and in “preserved in-situ”. CP 57 does not refer to heritage at risk - positive strategy for historic environment required by paragraph 190 of the NPPF. CP57 does not include policy specifically on NDHAs that reflects paragraph 203 of the NPPF (implied by opening paragraph of policy 57? if so we would not object to its exclusion) (see Rep for details) would welcome discussing with Council. CP58 Supports. CP 59 - objects, insufficient attention paid to the grade of asset (see Rep for suggested text alterations). CP 60 supports.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

CP63 depending on location of new M40 junction/enlarged slip roads proposals should avoid harm to significance of Hardwick House (Grade II*). Appendix 5 does not include the land safeguarded for this scheme.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Both proposed sites merit heritage impact assessment. The importance of understanding contribution made by the setting of the farmhouses to their significance/extent to which development would impact that significance. Evidence on heritage impact offers s

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No comment

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

CP 66 reference needed to Oxford Canal Conservation Area/Appraisal - need to refer to appraisal when considering new schemes that could impact on its significance, conservation area be included in Figure 1 in Appendix 6. CP67 welcome reference to Grade

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Welcomes reference to protecting/enhancing areas of ecological importance/historic value but suggests the latter be rephrased to “heritage significance” to align with terminology in the NPPF. Redevelopment of Market Square needs to be done sensitively, a

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Proportionate heritage impact assessment needed to assess how development of sites would impact on historic environment. Site LPR37a lies between Chesterton conservation area and Alchester roman site Scheduled Monument. Relationship between proposed deve

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No comment

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Relevant archaeological work is needed to inform plans for LPR 38 (Land East of M40 J9 and South of Green Lane). Page 257 acknowledges that there are likely to be "significant archaeological and other heritage assets within the site". This suggests more

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comment

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comment

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

When planning route for link road underscore the importance of avoiding/minimising harm to Alchester Roman site Scheduled Monument and take into account impacts on its setting. Scheduled Monument Consent will be needed if resulting proposal affecting the

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

CP 73 "The greening of Sheep Street" needs to be done while seeking to avoid harm to the historic environment, noting the extent to which the historic and natural environments are integrated. CP74 Objects - welcomes general criterion for proposal

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comment

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

CP 74 Bicester's Built Heritage - title should be changed to the town's historic environment. Encourage reference within paragraph 5.41 to medieval settlement as a Scheduled Monument (see Rep for details). Should also mention Bicester conservation area

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Broadly support the proposed approach plan but it should highlight that a number of heritage assets are currently on the National Heritage at Risk Register.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

No comment

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Site LPR2 is sensitive due to proximity to Blenheim Palace World Heritage Site and RPG (grade I) and it intersects with Blenheim Villa Scheduled Monument. Proportionate heritage impact assessment needs to inform the approach taken (see Rep for details of recommendations). Proportionate heritage assessment is needed to inform LPR8a, acknowledging its proximity to Kidlington Church Street conservation area/numerous listed buildings set within a wider historic context to inform the design of proposals and confirm scale of allocation. The archaeological potential of site also merits discussion with Council's archaeological adviser to ensure the approach is suitable/relevant assessments are undertaken at the appropriate stage (plan-making stage).

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No comment

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Begbroke Science Park linked with proposed allocation LPR63 proposals, need to respond sensitively to the significance of Begbroke Hill Farmhouse (listed building/needs to be referenced in text). Proportionate heritage assessment would inform these consid

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comment

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No comment

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No comment

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

No comment

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No comment

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

CP80 - Reference needed to Oxford Canal Conservation Area/Appraisal acknowledging need to refer to the appraisal when considering new schemes that could impact on its significance/recognise opportunities for delivering positive outcomes. Canal conservation area also included in Figure 4 in Appendix 6. CP81 - objects - reference needed to conservation area appraisal for Kidlington - help inform the approach taken to development of Exeter Close site.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No comment

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

More nuance is merited regarding Exeter Close and potential impact on Kidlington Crown Road conservation area. Reference is needed to the conservation area appraisal for Kidlington (Crown Road conservation area).

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Strategy should recognise the importance of the flying field as being of international interest (only Cold War airbase in country to survive in Cold War form) and recommends making this explicit in plan's strategy for Heyford Park. 2 ways this could be done - in para 7.5 add "retain the cold war character of the flying field while ensuring it has a sustainable use" or in CP 82 "our over-arching priority for this area is to secure the aligned delivery of housing and employment together with the infrastructure required to achieve sustainable development as part of a comprehensive approach that sustains heritage significance". Para 7.22 paragraph to be more explicit in stating that building housing or additional structures for employment use on the flying field is not compatible with sustaining its significance.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Recognises that scale of proposed development merits consideration of Heyford Park as local service centre but would object to development within the former airfield (linked with employment use) if the service centre expands beyond current ambitions. Woul

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

To fulfil commitment in paragraph 7.10 would not support employment uses if they are implemented at the expense of the heritage significance of Heyford Park.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

CP 82 objects - supports the potential allocation, but it needs to be underpinned by proportionate heritage impact assessment, assessing the impact of this scale of development on the historic environment. In addition to immediate environmental considera

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No comment

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

CP 85 - supports.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Overall Spatial Strategy - to avoid internal conflict within plan suggest aligning the language with core policy 46 (see Rep for details). Heritage assets form a subset of environmental assets ie the final bullet needs to be changed (see Representation for details)

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Infers that the plan will/may allocate further sites at Regulation 19 and highlights the need for those allocations to be informed by proportionate heritage impact assessment.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No comment

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Notes on appendices 2 and 5 in appendix B in cover letter. LPR49 Withycombe Farmhouse needs to be mentioned within the section on key constraints. Page 236 CP14 (site 2) key constraints refer to “historic buildings” clarity needed this includes numerous

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Sustainability Appraisal - broadly support including focus on growth scenarios/helpful narrative, but many different scenarios make it challenging to retain thread of key details between options. In terms of the historic environment, challenging to deliver conclusions that favour one scenario over another. The SA covers a range of heritage considerations though not comprehensive in approach. Work needed on local plan to take account of; the setting of heritage assets in more detail (see rep for details); non-designated heritage assets and archaeological potential, informed by liaison with Council’s archaeological adviser; Heritage at risk (eg noting that Upper Heyford conservation area is currently on the Heritage at Risk Register). Page 3 Para 2.3.4 To align with the terminology in the NPPF, we suggest the following amendment: “a historic civil war 'Registered' Battlefield”. (See Rep for suggested wording to acknowledge contribution made by non-designated heritage assets). Page 5 para 2.5.2 suggest two minor amendments to the objectivess (see Rep for details). Page 26 West - re paragraph on the “West” of Banbury, recommend referring to Wroxton Abbey Registered Park & Garden (II*). Page 34 South - recommend referring explicitly to two Scheduled Monuments by name. Page 39 para 5.4.41 advise amending phrasing (see Rep for details). Page 41 table 5.4 are the totals correct? Should read 1300 and 2300 for scenarios 1 and 2, respectively Page 42 para 5.4.48 relationship between site and Blenheim World Heritage Site should be mentioned as key consideration, independent of comments in following paragraph. Page 79 Upper Heyford - greater clarity is merited on conservation areas referenced (see Rep for suggestions). Potential to harm views from South West of proposed site, also impacting on significance/character of Rousham conservation area. Broadly supports proposed new allocation, seeks to avoid further intensification within Upper Heyford conservation area (avoiding development on flying field). Shipton Quarry - agree concerning Scheduled Monument not identified in promotional materials to date, advise against referring to listed building as “only” Grade II. Wendelbury - strongly advise amending phrasing in paragraph that refers to the parish church being “only Grade II listed. Inappropriate to state “just” nine Grade II listed buildings.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-084
What is your name? - Name
Weston-on-the-Green Parish Council
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Weston-on-the-Green Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
It would be useful to have a summary table of all policies, cross referenced to the themes
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Many of the objectives include elements that are outside the remit of Cherwell's implementation. Policies SO1, SO2, SO4, SO10 will need more robust line of responsibility/monitoring process to ensure high quality design/build for the large numbers of hou
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The objective to turn Bicester into a sustainable garden town is laudable however there no significant local green spaces set aside in new plan to support that, small areas embedded within new developments is not enough. Would look for a policy to identify/set aside two/three significant pieces of land within Bicester urban area to be made available as public green space for active/passive recreation (see Core policy 1 ix). Comment on Core Policy 5 – Carbon offsetting, will the S106 money identified as carbon offsetting be used towards objectives in 2020 Climate Action Framework? How/what exactly will this support? Will any of the funds be used for local solutions or be dissolved within District-wide initiatives?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The minimum should be 20% across the board. More than 20% could be demanded in rural areas where development should not necessarily be judged by urban criteria (rural area development should achieve 35% BNG, most rural development uses greenfield land). Core Policy 13 Conservation Target Areas - policy should be stronger to make it clear that development within CTAs will be resisted (see Rep for details). Core Policy 24 The effective and efficient use of land - brownfield land and housing density - housing density in rural developments should be less than 30/hectare(an urban metric). Rural development should respect/retain the more open character of rural settlements (attractive to residents for their gardens/green spaces). Rural development judged on criteria relevant to each local area.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Employment land allocation in rural areas/edge of village settlements should be allowed only where infrastructure already exists to support this and transport requirements will not adversely affect local traffic congestion (see Rep for examples). The establishment of a Tech Corridor around Bicester is a fabricated notion which seems to be designed to justify more housing in the area. Hard to see why Bicester chosen for this role rather than supporting existing Tech Area around Harwell and Didcot.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Comments see response to Q8.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Has issues with the concept of trying to match other well known area to Tech employment. This is artificially creating a need that does not exist.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Core Policy 27 is a balanced policy.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Core Policy 28 is OK.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

P30 looks supportable

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Would be fine if it could be enforced. Questions how Great Wolf Resort being built at Chesterton is compliant with bullet points in CP31.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

No consistency between where business is supported and development of the town centres. The model has already been established for out of town retail and it is hard to see that this policy will reverse that.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

No justification for Cherwell to take 33% of any Oxford unmet housing need in the period to 2040. Cherwell has enough housing pressure with large projections for towns/larger villages. Oxfords housing need should be managed by them. Housing numbers should be revisited, practicalities of people employed in Oxford moving to Bicester region, given constraints in transport options, is overplayed.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Are not looking for a specific housing requirement as parish has already fulfilled its requirement up to 2031 and our recent data shows number of houses available for sale/rent in the village is almost 10% of housing stock. Will identify preferred sites a

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Re the smaller villages category the policy should be specific within the type of development ie include: 'Compliant with policies within the local Neighbourhood Plan where this is adopted' (see Rep for full quotes).

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Not a problem with the policy as it stands, but affordable housing is the first casualty when economic pressure is brought to bear. CP36 3.203 could be seen as an easy way to sidestep the provision of affordable housing, would like to ensure that this does not happen.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Comments see previous answer.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Would like to propose LPR A 211 as a designated Local Green Space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Core Policy 58: Conservation Areas - details of CP58 is not currently being implemented either by CDC or OCC (via Highways) specifically CP58 iii, iv, v. For currently discretionary issues (eg destruction of verges/'permitted development' such as rooflights/ /external lighting) planning permission should be mandatory to allow Parish Councils to assess the proposal with regard to the Neighbourhood Plan.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Aspirations are generally OK, but the green credentials are not delivered through Core Policy 73. None of this can be achieved without an Infrastructure Development plan alongside it.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

CP73 5.28 fails to expand on the previous LP with its urban edge park. New designations for green space are vanishingly small, ambition should be to identify two/three substantial areas within Bicester town (inside ring road) to be developed as open recre

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

re Strategy 8.1 (p210) how do you define 'our most important landscapes'? Does this mean that some will be sacrificed if deemed not important enough? The Overall Spatial Strategy bullet point 2 'limited development to meet local community and business needs' must involve the parish council and implementation of policies within the neighbourhood plan, this includes full transparency of discussions/documentation with developer/owner with CDC/OCC/Highways related to permissions for development (not currently happening and serious/irreversible mistakes are being made). Rural Areas Strategy - all bullet points need involvement of local Parish Council to represent needs/wishes of community, local knowledge is key and must be respected/taken into consideration. There is a need to recognise 'treasured landscapes' as well as distinctive landscapes, these are different things for residents of small rural villages.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Regardingfer to our Neighbourhood Plan and the preferred site allocation that will be identified in time for this Local Plan Review.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

See previous comments

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Weston-on-the-Green has a number of employment sites, not all of which are fully occupied.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Implementation goes beyond how many houses have been built on a yearly basis, should also include how Council will implement specific policies with current staff and funding shortages (see Rep for examples). There is a huge gap in health provision/polici

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-085
What is your name? - Name
Gemma Coton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
None
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
None
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
None
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
None
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes, dependent on what other requirements would be sacrificed.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

It should be required that brownfield sites are prioritised for employment as to limit the amount of greenfield sites that are being built on.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

States Cherwell should only be using the standard method to determine the housing need of Cherwell rather than focusing on taking on Oxford's unmet housing need. We should be focusing on the housing needs of Cherwell residents and resist the overspill fro

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

States Bicester is taking a high proportion of Housing under this plan due to Cherwell taking Oxford's unmet housing. The infrastructure currently within Bicester is insufficient to support such growth and this plan does not propose the kind of infrastructure that would be needed to support such high housing numbers. If Cherwell used the standard method, it would be possible for Bicester to grow more sustainably.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The categorisation should have the ability to be flexible if the situation of the settlement changes. eg. if a larger village loses some of its services or facilities then it may no longer be able to support the development so there should be a mechanism

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Cherwell should be more ambitious with its policy for affordable housing. It should be clearly defined within the plan, and should be looking at trying to deliver 50% affordable housing rather than 30%. More should be done to ensure that we can deliver social rented housing within the District.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

It depends on which requirements we were sacrificing but I support delivering as many affordable homes as possible.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

States in theory, the vision for Bicester in 2040 is good. Not sure the policies in this plan are strong enough to ensure this. Bicester will be taking on a large proportion of the housing but there is very little in this plan about how Bicester will supp

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

States if the land East of M40 J9 is going to be allocated as an employment site then more must be done to support J9. There are serious issues around traffic at J9 and consistently long delays on the M40 and A34. That land is also used to prevent rain

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

States protecting the identity and character of villages is vital. The local plan should put protections in place to ensure that the expansion of Bicester and Banbury does not overspill into the villages nearby and cause damage to their character.

Difficu

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-086
What is your name? - Name
Peter Monk
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Banbury Civic Society (Member)
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Fully concur with Banbury Civic Society's comment except as in cases mentioned.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The presentation is too wordy and is certain to 'put off' a number of potential responses. It reads more like a degree thesis than a consultation for 'every-man' . The main text should be more succinct with references to Appendices if more explanation is
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-087
What is your name? - Name
Frank Vreede
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Agree, noting particularly: "Support increased access to nature, open spaces and the Green Belt", "Protect and enhance the townscape and landscape that form the setting of Kidlington....and maintain their local distinctiveness" and "Support the delivery of Kidlington's Local Cycling and Walking Plan".

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No to the site North of the Moors as it lies within the Oxford Green Belt. I do not see how the the council concludes that: "North of the Moors is a sustainable site, ...but also makes limited contribution to the Green Belt purposes". It is sustainable only as a Local Green Space for the community. It is a prime example of the Green Belt working well, in that it is one of the last areas of unspoilt open countryside surrounding Kidlington, with no roads or development all the way to the River Cherwell. This is particularly pertinent now given the Council's decision to develop much of the open land to the north, south and west of Kidlington to meet the supposed housing needs of Oxford and business expansion. In addition, the Green Belt supports a great deal of wildlife. I think the increased traffic from an additional 300 houses would overwhelm the street and I believe it is too early to start planning for the building of more homes in and around Kidlington. It is going to take some time for the 4400 new homes to be built and even longer for the impact of all these additional homes on Kidlington's infrastructure to be seen.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Does not understand why the Council did not consider this when they so ardently supported the housing need of Oxford. There will be more than 4400 homes built in the planned 6 housing allocations; an increase of only 7% in building density will provide th

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Do not agree with the ease and rate at which the Green Belt around Kidlington is being lost, north, south east or west. Concern over Kidlington Area strategy map to see how the areas around Kidlington have already been allocated for development.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

States whatever land is identified for employment use, it should not encourage an increase in the air traffic using the airport. It already impacts the ability to enjoy the garden.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Traffic congestion is a huge problem in and around Kidlington. Starting locally, The Moors has been seeing increased traffic for the last few years, much of it at much higher speeds than is legal, despite the speed bumps. With the volume of traffic on the Banbury Road, The Moors has become a major access road to the village centre. In addition, with the conversion of single houses into multi-occupancy flats, the number of cars parking on The Moors (and

often on the pavements), has also increased. As a result, has never felt comfortable letting my children cycle unaccompanied to their primary school. Their eldest has recently started secondary school in Woodstock and there is no suitable cycleway to this destination. Detailed comments in rep. regarding unreliable buses to Oxford and inadequate Cycle routes in Kidlington.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

Suggests installing a European-style tram running the length of Kidlington along the Banbury road and all the way in to Oxford.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Additional NHS dentistry services are also required.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-088
What is your name? - Name
Catherine Hickman
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Bicester Bike Users Group
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Would like more information on how the current cycling/walking network will be maintained (many walkways are narrow by overgrowth/overhanging branches/condition of ground presents a hazard due to wet leaves/debris). Like active travel corridors between I

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-089

What is your name? - Name

Michael Lowndes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Lichfields

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Exeter College, University of Oxford, Merton College (PR6b Landowners Group)

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

The proposed retention of the CLP Partial Review 2020 Policy PR6b is welcome and supported. The land at North Oxford Golf Course has potential for sustainable development. This can make a major contribution to the Local Plan's objectives delivering a sust

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The Landowner Group generally shares and supports the Council's Vision for 2040. It is recommended that the Vision should more explicitly recognise the role that the adjoining urban area of Oxford plays in driving economic success and resilience in the District along with the responsibility Cherwell has in helping provide the associated economic, social and environmental infrastructure.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

The Strategic Objectives are broadly supported.
Recognition that new development should provide 'access to housing to meet all needs' is welcomed. It is recommended that amendments are made to SO 10 so it is drafted in such a way as to recognise that Ch

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The Spatial Strategy is broadly supported including the emphasis on the role of Kidlington in bringing forward the major planned commitment of new homes to help meet Oxford's housing needs.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The findings of the HENA 2020 with regard to housing needs are broadly supported including the clear evidence illustrating the nature of the housing challenge and what needs to be done to meet the needs identified. The conclusion that there is an overall

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Given that the HENA provides clear evidence that there should be a greater diversity of affordable tenures to meet the established diversity of need concern is expressed that, as drafted, the suggested policy on affordable housing promotes an inappropriately rigid split between social and affordable rented housing.

The objective of creating mixed and balanced communities in Cherwell will be best served by accommodating the possibility of greater flexibility in the form and tenure of affordable housing.

It is recommended that draft Policy 36 be adjusted so as to allow the Council to consider an alternative mix of tenures where there is up to date evidence that this will beneficially advance meeting the Council's Vision and Objectives.

It is recommended that, consistent with the emerging affordable housing policy of Oxford City Council, there is no requirement to deliver First Homes at the PR sites including PR6b.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

The overarching aspirations for the Kidlington area to contribute to the delivery of 4,400 homes already planned to help Oxford's unmet housing needs is supported. Specifically retaining the allocation of site PR6b (details in rep.) Site PR6b could provide further opportunities to help meet housing need through an intensification of development. Further, intensification is in line with the NPPF (NPPF 2023 para 125).

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

The Landowner Group is broadly supportive of the delivery of infrastructure that improves the choice and attractiveness of active and public transport options.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

The CIL charging schedule programme will be subject to both the Local Plan examination programme and the pending Government proposals for a new infrastructure levy regime. It is therefore important to ensure that the timetables for CIL and the Local Plan are properly coordinated and subject to public consultation.

CP 1-5: The Landowner Group broadly supports the strategic objectives of climate resilience, de-carbonisation, energy efficiency and net-zero-carbon in new developments.

CP 2, 3, 4 and 5 are not well made, being poorly drafted and ambiguous. Work is required to make them NPPF compliant (NPPF para 16.d).

Any carbon offsetting / payment regime needs to be carefully devised, fully and robustly evidenced and subject to public consultation.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-090
What is your name? - Name
Maura Cordell
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
resident
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
n/a
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Seems ok
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Nicely designed with the colour referencing. There are many pages of bullet points and it is hard to know where you are.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Very climate and sustainability focussed and lesser consideration of the existing residents needs.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Local people are not consulted on whether we should have to meet housing need for Oxford City (particularly when these limits are no longer legally required). More houses are being suggested than is necessary, why should we have to deliver when other loc

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The houses being proposed will not support people living in Kidlington/Begbroke/Yarnton. Would be interesting to know if there are rules as to who will buy these properties or will they go on open market to highest offer.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Not sure there are infrastructure plans to ensure Kidlington/Heyford are Local Service centres. What does that mean for residents (you can do what you want /there is no commitment to service the community?). Re quote 'next best opportunities for sustaina

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Following the affordable housing debacle at the development Exeter Road, Kidlington (zero houses were affordable/sold off to airport), have very little confidence in this policy being delivered.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Not supportive of houses/bungalows demolished to be rebuilt as block of flats and no updates in local services to support this. Not a sustainable way to develop/does not support your core objectives due to the demolition of sites. Is a way for council to charge more council tax and not of benefit to local community.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Questions what about Brownfield spaces. Not supportive of proposed building on Green Belt particularly as Government have committed to not build on Green Belt - questions why Cherwell feel this is necessary.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Sounds good, like the improvement in air quality/open space. Would like lots of improvements in services and facilities.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Excessive amounts of housing proposed (is there a need/if so where from). Bicester will become over developed/under served by facilities. Will environmental issues occur with so much building and no consideration for bio diversity/climate change/well-be

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Comments Heyford Park

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

It all seems very greedy as opposed to carefully considered for the area, fear it will completely lose its identity.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Disagrees with building on green belt/open land which is used by residents for leisure/walking. Aspirations are not for Kidlington but for developers/Oxford City, they are not going to make improvements for residents. Infrastructure changes will cause more traffic/pollution/add to climate emergency. Schools/medical facilities will be at breaking point, there is no mention of increasing healthcare facilities/pharmacies.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, this is not a considered site, more one of the only areas that is available. It is close to the river/flooding may well be an issue. It is high in bio diversity and forms a big part of residents access to nature/activity for health and well-being. Why not preserve this area for local residents enjoyment. A part of Oxford Nature Recovery network, doubt this is in the network plans to help the area. Little infrastructure (school/healthcare). Local plan mentions how it could support schemes, not deliver anything tangible. Should commit to statement from 2021 consultation - no further development would be proposed for Green belt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Kidlington is fully built out/doesn't have any spare capacity . Too much green belt has been earmarked for developments. Is the heritage/conservation areas of Kidlington not an issue when choosing sites alongside Old Kidlington?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

They are ok/already delivering employment opportunities.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Don't agree with single story dwellings being demolished to replace with HMOs/blocks of apartments.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No keep green belt as already determined, doesn't match with sustainable/climate change objectives.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Only if required, not as a matter of development for profit/no economic impact to the area.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

The much reduced bus service Kidlington/Oxford does not encourage Active Travel, it delivers longer journey times/busy buses. No cycle networks have been added and if we get a football stadium will need major considerations on transport/access/public services. Not a safe option for cyclists with a fatality on route into Oxford.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

Only a new cycle network, road connections are suitable for the area.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Re quote from Plan CP80 (6.78) "Protecting areas of green space" There is a proposal to build by the Moors, a nature recovery network, highly used area for walking/nature - this is being removed and not enhanced with your proposals. Looking after parks/canals should be a standard requirement for the area not something we need to embrace. More green open spaces would be welcome.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Would like to see all shops filled and new shop types (see Rep for examples). Its a busy vibrant high street and needs to remain so, doesnt needs further housing (already above units/large facility behind co-op/around the village).
Would welcome pedestri

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Don't need to meet Oxfords unmet needs. Brownfield sites should be considered for housing before areas in unsuitable location (due to access/proximity to River Cherwell/Oxford Canal).

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Has the impact Oxford University Begbroke development will have on Kidlington/Yarnton been considered regardless of other additional sites? Isn't capacity for thousands more homes/families unless significant investment is made into facilities. Do not see this investment in local plan - lots of statements/no specific deliverables for future.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Yes, consider Brownfield areas where there is room for expansion/development.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Don't see them as aspirations until Green belt is preserved.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

In some instances not all (it has been enforced on us by other Local authority).

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Ensure that full consultations are made/no agreements undertaken. Consider objectives and if all development actually achieves the sustainable/active travel aspirations for the area. Consider healthcare infrastructure and if capacity is there before com

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No, too many of them.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Far too complex a process to comment on this Local plan.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-091
What is your name? - Name
David Hopkin
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

There should not be any adjustment to the Green Belt land around Kidlington, specifically between the Moors and the Cherwell River. Quote from LP to 2031 re development strategy and green belt in rep. This area is a major amenity. List of users, wildlife occupants and visitors in rep.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-092

What is your name? - Name

Mr Alan Lodwick

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

There are usually delays by developers taking up allocated sites. Re North-West Bicester, it is the case that an excessive requirement in current plan has led to 5 year land supply for 2022-27 of 3.5 years using that plan's requirement and 0.2 years land

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, already an excessive amount of land allocated, is difficult to understand from documents how land allocated translates into floorspace/jobs created. Other large employment generating developments planned near Cherwell, hope analysis takes these into account, could cause problems for infrastructure/environment.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

HENA's estimates are exaggerated, Council should adopt figures at Government's Standard Method to avoid difficulties in maintaining 5 year land supply/speculative applications. Oxford's need is inflated, Council should make lower provision/if any.
(see R

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Council should adopted a lower/more realistic Housing requirement which would reduce need for many the new allocations proposed. The 900 houses proposed for Kidlington Area unjustified.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports Kidlington Development Watch's proposal for Local Green Spaces at Bury Moor Fields/St Mary's Conservation Area/Stratfield Brake.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Have not included a question on major issue - the Green Belt. Strongly support Core Policy 44 "the Green Belt boundaries in Cherwell District will be maintained" and consider that further amendments to boundaries are not justified (given recent substantial revisions and intended permanence of Green Belt).

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Make sure there are market and affordable homes to meet local needs. The 4,400 partial review homes do this, will provide in excess of 50% increase in housing stock locally, is no need for further housing.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, need not been demonstrated/no exceptional circumstances justifying Green Belt release at land behind the Moors (part of largest/tranquil/undeveloped/open Green Belt around Kidlington used for recreation/appreciated for wildlife/historic views). Council should strongly resist this. Supports the comments of Kidlington Development Watch about this site.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Already made allocations for 4,400 houses in the Kidlington area, no alternatives needed. 4,400 figure is likely to increase significantly judging by applications/submissions already made.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Objects, no. Inadequate just to state a land area and not set a site in the context of other similar nearby developments (see Rep for example) the plan should indicate the site is for the original amount of floorspace/employment and should be set in the

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Should not have given permission on Oxford Technology Park site, land was in the Green Belt.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Supports KDW's Local Green Space proposals. Should adopt Kidlington Parish Council's Green Ring aspiration and adopt the three Local Green Spaces proposed by Kidlington Development Watch (two supported by Parish Council).

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Re Appx 4, disagrees with removal of land from the Green Belt at Land behind the Moors.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-093
What is your name? - Name
Sam Perkins
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Define Planning and Design Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
William Davis Homes West Midlands
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
As recognised in the Regulation 18 CLP, NPPF paragraph 22 requires strategic policies to “look ahead over a minimum 15 year period from adoption, to anticipate and respond to long-term requirements and opportunities.” The Regulation 18 CLP currently propo
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
NPPF paragraph 31 requires all policies to be “underpinned by relevant and up-to-date evidence” that is “adequate and proportionate, focused tightly on supporting and justifying the policies concerned, and take into account relevant market signals.” The v
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Supports the draft Vision. It is considered that the second bullet point should be updated to refer to the delivery of housing “to meet the District’s needs and provide an appropriate contributions towards the unmet housing needs arising from Oxford City Council.” The delivery of housing provides the critical mass required to support the delivery of new social and physical infrastructure and maintain the viability of existing infrastructure. NPPF paragraph 79 recognises that this is particularly the case for rural settlements, stating that “to promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities” and that “planning policies should identify opportunities for villages to grow and thrive, especially where this will support local services.” Providing certainty that development will come forward in more sustainable villages will be important in ensuring that “new rural models of transport and service provision complement existing services”; which is a key part of the vision.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Objection to SO1 requirement in response to the questions relating to the overall District-wide strategies, and are of the view that this requirement is undeliverable. SO1 is not deliverable, and therefore does not meet the requirements of NPPF paragra

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?**6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?**

The reference to the need to manage the delivery of already committed development sites within Banbury and Bicester, and support some additional development, is welcomed. This has not necessarily permeated through the proposed allocations identified in the CLP. A number of the sites identified are closely related to ongoing strategic developments. Whilst that may potentially be suitable, it is important that CDC considers the practical implications. Concern the actual scale of growth delivered in the plan period will not be as initially anticipated.

CDC should diversify its housing portfolio by also identifying a greater geographical spread of sites. Achieved by directing growth to suitable sites that are located in the more sustainable villages lower in the settlement hierarchy. Such sites will be reliable sources of housing supply earlier on in the plan period, where the larger sites may experience more limited delivery due to their longer-lead in periods.

Rural areas can “provide for limited development to meet local” needs. Bloxham for example has been identified by CDC as a “larger and more sustainable village” and is well-connected to Banbury, which itself is a generator of housing need. It plays a key role in meeting housing needs both from the village and elsewhere in the locality. To provide only for ‘limited’ growth’ would fail to realise the potential of Bloxham and other sustainable villages.

Providing for sufficient growth in such settlements will also support CDC in ensuring that they can “avoid unplanned development in the open countryside” as proposed in the spatial strategy, which would be a product of focusing sufficient growth to sustainable villages through the CLP.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CLP proposes that all developments will be required to demonstrate a minimum of 10% net gain in biodiversity. That requirement will need to be accounted for when considering the potential capacity of allocation sites and in undertaking viability work (in terms of the knock-on impact on gross development value and / or the financial implications of purchasing off-site units where a 10% net gain cannot be achieved on-site).

CLP CP12 also proposes that a 20% net gain will be sought from all developments in the NRN Core and Recovery zones, and new urban extensions. The images showing the NRN network do not cover all settlements and are of a low quality, further clarity would be welcomed. This requirement is appropriate in principle, CDC will again need to take into account the impact on site capacities / viability.

Where developments cannot achieve a 20% net gain on-site, it is assumed that CDC will seek financial contributions towards schemes within the NRN Core and Recovery Zones. CDC must be certain that there are sufficient habitat banks / offsetting schemes within those areas in order for the policy to be achievable.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?**11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?****12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?**

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

WDH welcomes seeking to deliver a quantum of housing that is higher than the authorities' standard method-based LHN of 742 dwellings per annum (dpa). Whilst it is recognised that the national economic market is uncertain, and that this may be sufficient j

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

- CDC should test an increased level of housing need between HENA Options 2 and 3 through the SA, with a view to maximising growth in the District. The proposed annual contribution towards OCC's unmet need is, however, appropriate.
- The plan period should be extended to cover the period from 2020 to 2045. The overall housing requirement should be increased to reflect this.
- A 10% non-implementation / slow delivery rate should be applied to existing commitments given the over-reliance on large and complex sites.
- Taking account of the above would result in a net housing requirement figure of at least 10,873 dwellings (potentially higher should the SA identify that a higher base need level is appropriate). A 10% buffer should be applied to this for flexibility, resulting in a net 'supply to be found' figure of at least 11,960 dwellings.
- The CLP has not sufficiently considered opportunities for growth in the larger villages, and the SA should test scenarios in that regard. Growth in such locations should be maximised ahead of the release of Green Belt land. It is critical that allocations in the larger villages are identified through the CLP's preparation, rather than the Neighbourhood Planning process.

- Likewise, the methodology underpinning the identification of the proposed allocations is unclear. The CLP's new supply is once again heavily reliant on larger development sites, including a number that are located immediately adjacent to existing allocations. Therefore, their delivery will likely be complex and potentially subject to delays. WDH also has specific concerns as to the suitability of specific sites, including the 'South East of Woodstock' proposed allocation.
- In light of the above, the CLP must identify additional allocations at suitable sites in sustainable larger villages.
- The CLP should allocate WDH's site at 'Land off South Newington Road, Bloxham' for residential development, whether or not the 500 dwelling allowance at the larger villages is increased in light of the above comments. That allocation would reflect the site's suitability for development, and its capacity to deliver around 165 dwellings in a high-quality development that will benefit from its proximity to Bloxham and the services and facilities that are available therein, as well as the public transport links to Banbury.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

The identification of housing allocations within the District's larger villages cannot be left to Neighbourhood Plans, and should be dealt through the CLP's preparation. Because (i) ahead of identifying allocation sites within the Green Belt, the CLP mus

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Whilst the settlement hierarchy is generally appropriate, there are significant concerns as to the CLP's approach in relation to the overall quantum of development, the spatial strategy, and the identification of allocation sites.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

CP36 is generally appropriate and underpinned by evidence of need, but must be subject to consistent viability assessments throughout the preparation of the CLP. Such assessments will need to take account of other requirements, particularly the proposed sustainable construction requirements.

Additional flexibility would be welcomed within the wording of CP36 to allow for development viability, evidence of demand, settlement characteristics, and site-specific matters to be accounted for.

A higher level of overall housing delivery will facilitate a higher level of affordable housing provision by extension. That approach would realise significant socio-economic benefits within Cherwell given the acute affordability issues in the District. Delivering an increased level of both market and affordable housing will begin to remedy that position.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

CDC are ultimately seeking to strike a balance between the provision of affordable housing and other policy requirements through the CLP. CLP should clarify which policy requirements will be prioritised where proposals are unable to viably achieve all requirements.

The delivery of a higher level of housing will facilitate a higher level of affordable housing provision by extension. That could allow CDC to be more flexible in applying the affordable housing requirement in such circumstances, which in turn would allow other policy requirements to be prioritised where appropriate.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP2, 3, and 4 WDH objects to the CLP's proposal to require all new dwellings to achieve on-site net zero carbon (NZC), which is an extremely challenging policy requirement both in viability and feasibility terms that goes a significant way beyond the national requirements without justification or consideration of the policy's achievability in practice. WDH consider that it would be more flexible to utilise flexible policy wording that will ensure that the CLP continues to seek the most up-to-date requirements through the plan period. The proposed policy should require developments to "achieve an energy efficiency in line with the latest standards set by the Government, whether that be Building Regulations or the Future Homes Standard (including any transitional arrangements)." WDH objects to CP5, which requires financial contributions towards a carbon offsetting fund where this cannot be achieved on-site. If there is a Carbon offsetting scheme in place, has the cost per 'unit' been considered, and what are the implications on viability. It is WDH's clear view that CP2 to 5 should be removed. CP11 It is suggested, that the policy inserts "(where appropriate and achievable)". CP14 Given that this is not a national requirement, it is not clear what the justification for this request is, and what the benefit would be in planning terms. Should CDC continue to seek an

NCA, then the policy should set out what such an assessment should contain. CP16 To provide certainty to developers, the policy should set out a clear threshold for air quality assessments (i.e. over a certain number of units). CP21 and 22 are supported. Given the rural nature of the District, it is noted that maintaining and where possible enhancing public transport connectivity within the District's villages will be key in promoting sustainable living. CP24 The flexibility set out with regard to development density is welcomed by WDH, who considered that a net density of up to 35 dwellings per hectare is appropriate in suitable sites in higher order villages within the rural area. CP37 WDH welcome the flexibility provided within Core Policy 37 for housing mixes to account for "local market conditions and the characteristics of development sites", as well as viability. CP39 WDH consider, that CP39 should take a flexible approach that will allow for some new dwellings to depart from the NDSS if site-specific constraints or viability provide sufficient justification, which is particularly relevant given the recent increases in land and build costs. CP40 This requirement is not justified based on proportionate evidence as required by NPPF paragraph 35b. Whilst the supporting text references CDC's SCB Register, SCB registers do not capture the actual demand for SCB plots. That is because SCB registers are not means tested, often only requiring an individual's name and address. Delivering SCB houses is often dependent on the ability of sites to provide independent construction access and infrastructure, and deal with difficult health and safety issues. SCB housing has the potential to undermine the realisation of consistent design principles across a scheme, and can also negatively impact on delivery timescales. It is clear that delivering SCB plots on larger sites would be wholly inappropriate and it is instead suggested that CDC identifies specific sites for the delivery of SCB housing or, as an alternative, sets out policy requirements in relation to speculative proposals for SCB housing. CP43 The scope of the assessment should be proportionate to the scale of the proposed development and the sensitivity of the environments, and as such full LVAs will be inappropriate for the vast majority of applications. Rather, Landscape and Visual Appraisals / Assessments (LVAs) and assessments through Design and Access Statements may be more appropriate. CP44 is itself an appropriate policy that aligns with the NPPF's tests in relation to the release of Green belt land for development. The approach proposed within the CLP does not align with the NPPF's tests. WDH are concerned that the CLP as currently drafted fails to maximise the potential of well-connected larger villages. CP46 WDH note the NPPF's recognition (paragraph 79) that groups of villages often support one another by collectively providing services, and that sustainable development in rural areas is important in ensuring the vitality and viability of local services and facilities. WDH highlights the importance of focusing sufficient residential growth to sustainable larger villages such as Bloxham. CP50 Given that NHS Groups undertake an assessment of the health impacts of developments through the planning application process, WDH consider that the requirement for all major applications to be accompanied by a Health Impact Assessment can be removed. CP55 does not set out POS standards as a requirement per 1,000 people, as is commonly provided. There is no certainty for developers as to the level of POS that is expected on-site and that also does not provide certainty in relation to the capacity of developments. That requirement should be clarified in the Regulation 19 CLP, and taken into account in an updated viability assessment. CP57 and 58 The scope of Core Policies 57 and 58 is generally appropriate, but the exact wording should be refined to reflect the NPPF's tests.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

WDH has concerns as to the methodology underpinning the proposed spatial strategy and allocations, given the lack of a formal site assessment evidence base and the limited scope of the spatial strategy options that have been assessed in the SA.

WDH has

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Please refer to WDH's response to Questions 20 and 28 which set out the need for the CLP to diversify its supply of housing both on a District-wide level and in the Banbury area specifically.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

WDH has concerns as to the methodology underpinning the proposed spatial strategy and allocations, given the lack of a formal site assessment evidence base and the limited scope of the spatial strategy options that have been assessed in the SA.

Objection to the proposed allocation for 450 dwellings at South-East of Woodstock.

CDC consider that the 'South-East of Woodstock, Kidlington' allocation will meet the needs of Kidlington. The site is not functionally or geographically linked to Kidlington and does not benefit from the services and facilities on offer therein. It is located immediately adjacent to Woodstock, which has a lesser range of services and facilities than Kidlington, and does not offer any public transport links to Kidlington.

Justification for the location of 450 dwellings at this site is unclear.

The proposed allocation site at Woodstock is subject to several constraints (listed in rep). The CLP has not given sufficient consideration of alternative options as required by NPPF paragraph 35.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Please refer to WDH's response to Questions 20, 62 and 63.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

The rural areas include some larger villages (such as Bloxham) that have a good range of services and facilities and are well-connected to higher order settlements. The suggestion throughout the CLP that the rural areas have capacity only for "limited dev

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

States WDH's site at 'Land off South Newington Road, Bloxham' which is supported by:
A Vision Document, Transport Assessment, Historic Environment Desk-Based Assessment (HEDBA), Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA), An Arboricultural Survey, and Floo

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

In relation to the Technical Evidence base are set out in WDH's comments in response to the plan itself, principally Questions 19 and 20.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-094
What is your name? - Name
Ilze Jozepa
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, land already assigned for development should be developed before approving development behind the Moors. That area has bad infrastructure connections/is far from the village centre amenities meaning new traffic will be attracted to already congested roads. Need to retain green belt around Kidlington as much as possible.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Audi Garage (High Street), part of car park behind Tesco/High Street for more multi-storey affordable housing.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Strongly support delivery of Kidlington's Local Cycling/Walking Plan (especially development of new/enhanced cycling routes through Kidlington/linking surrounding villages). Kidlington Parish Council wants to prioritise infrastructure investment in canal path as main cycle routes, think this is wrong priority and potentially diverts investment from cycling infrastructure within the village. Canal path wrong location because unsafe for women/children/elderly (danger of falling in, remote, unlit), its away from the main preferred cycle routes in Kidlington (identified in Kidlington Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP)), its too narrow to comply with most recent national/local guidance (Local Transport Note (LTN) (1/20)), it's a shared path which is against these guidelines. The plan should focus on prioritising protected/traffic-free cycle paths on both sides of Oxford and

Banbury Road making main junctions safe (see rep for examples/details). Supporting the Canal route with local development money can divert funding from developing key cycle routes. Prioritisation of the routes in the Kidlington's Local Cycling and Walking Plan should be observed regardless of the source of finance.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-095
What is your name? - Name
Bridget Fox
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
The Woodland Trust
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
The Woodland Trust
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Supports the vision's aspirations for meeting climate action targets/enhancing biodiversity/ecological resilience, noting the potential of nature-based solutions to help achieve wider Local Plan goals. Recommends adding "access to nature" as well as to open space, in the positive outcomes to be achieved for new homes.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Strongly supports objectives SO1-5 on climate change/sustainable development, in particular integration of natural solutions including trees/woodland for water management/air quality/carbon capture. Recommend adding reference to nature-based solutions t
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Good to see protection of natural environment and integration of nature-based solutions as strong themes in the local plan.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Suggests removing the words "wherever possible" from the District-wide strategic goals, possibility of delivery is an inevitable constraint and can be taken as given (including it in the wording risks weakening goals). Minimising carbon emissions and meeting biodiversity net gain requirements are core targets and should not have such caveats. Consider adding "sustainability" or "resilience" to the goal of "attractiveness" for design standards.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Supports setting a greater than 10% target for net gain where appropriate. A more ambitious target increases chances that an average net gain of at least 10% will be delivered across the Plan (possibility some sites may not be able to deliver net gain/ initiatives may fall short in practice). The Guildford Local Plan DM policies (adopted 2023) sets a 20% target (see Rep for quote). A more flexible example can be seen in the Worthing Local Plan (adopted 2023) (DM18 h).

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No comment

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

No comment

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

No comment

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Generally supports the use of previously developed land for future development.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

No comment

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

No comment

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

No comment

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

No comment

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

No comment
17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?
No comment
18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?
No comment
19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?
No comment
20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?
No comment
21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?
No comment
22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?
Generally supports the principle of focusing development at urban centres and on previously developed land.
23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?
No comment
24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?
No comment
25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?
No comment

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

No comment

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Supports the aspiration for more natural and semi-natural open space accessible to the public, including new wooded areas and new linear parks/green corridors.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comment

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No comment

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No comment

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Supports the aspirations for an improved and enhanced green infrastructure network across the town (including access to green spaces and to protect/enhance areas of ecological importance).

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comment

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No comment

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Objects to allocation of site LPR38: Land east of M40 J9 and South of Green Lane as contains an area of ancient semi-natural woodland (0.44 Ha at grid reference SP55241957). Woodland Trust objects to inclusion of areas of ancient woodland within developm

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comment

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comment

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No comment

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Supports the aim of establishing a green corridor containing a community woodland between Vendee Drive and Chesterton. Hedgerows/trees outside woods provide vital connectivity between habitats/contribute shelter and shade/assist with water management, am

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

For town centre schemes, design guidance should incorporate the protection/extension of green infrastructure including support for SuDS in all new developments and encouragement of green links (such as tree lines/hedgerows) to frame residential areas and

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Trees/urban hedgerows help mitigate impacts of climate change (delivering natural cooling in urban heat islands through transpiration, providing shelter/shade/contributing to sustainable urban drainage systems) and make a valuable contribution to quality

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

No additional comments

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Supports the aspirations to protect/enhance areas of high natural capital value in Cherwell Valley and wider region and to support increased access to nature/open spaces/Green Belt. Suggests including the Trust's Stratfield Brake site as part of this. The strategy is silent on OUFC's proposals for a new stadium at Kidlington (emerged during Local Plan preparation), a major scheme which should be reflected in next draft of the plan.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comment

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No comment

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

No comment

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comment

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No comment

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No comment

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Additional proposals may be needed to support safe/sustainable transport to proposed new OUFC stadium.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No comment

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Supports measures to protect/enhance access along the Oxford Canal Walk to Stratfield Brake, improved footways and canal crossing points. Generally encourage better linking of habitats across the area. Trees and hedgerows can play an important role in improving connectivity/resilience/environmental quality of these new neighbourhoods.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No comment

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No comment

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

As stated above, important to review this area strategy in the light of significant new stadium proposals with associated impacts on biodiversity/environmental management/landscape/transport/leisure provision/local economy.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

It's disappointing that the aspirations for Heyford Park are silent on opportunities to improve the natural environment. Increase the quantity/quality of blue and green infrastructure, protect/enhance key habitats or improve people's access to nature, especially given the potential gains identified in paras 7.17-7.19.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

No comment

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

No comment

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Concerned about allocation of site LPR42a land South of Heyford Park as is adjacent at NE corner to area of ancient semi-natural woodland (Kennel Copse). The Woodland Trust objects to inclusion of areas of ancient woodland within development sites and wh

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No comment

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

No comment

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supports the aspiration for protection and enhancement of environmental assets.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No comment

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No comment

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

No comment

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No comment

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No comment

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Questions relate primarily to strategic policies/area strategies, no questions on many of the draft core policies. The Woodland Trust would welcome the opportunity to engage with development of core policies as they relate to ancient woodland protection/woodland conservation/trees on development sites/tree replacement policies/access to nature. Core Policy 11 section iii "Development which would result in damage " is weak compared to requirements of NPPF for protection of irreplaceable habitats. The (NPPF) 2021 (paragraph 180c) states: "development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees) should be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists". Would therefore recommend replacing final words of Core Policy 11 section iii with "will not be permitted unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists."

Core Policy 15: strongly support this comprehensive and robust policy on Green and Blue infrastructure in particular section iv) on retaining and planting additional trees, it could be useful to set a % canopy cover target for development sites and to make recommendations on using native species from UK sourced/grown tree stock to support biodiversity/biosecurity. Core Policy 16: would recommend adding explicit reference to nature-based solutions such as green walls/urban trees. Core Policy 43: would welcome reference to planting woodland/trees/hedgerows and suggest that explicit reference is made to the emerging Local Nature Recovery Strategy for Oxfordshire and that as part of this policy an overall target for tree canopy cover be set. Core Policy 55: We recommend adding the Natural England Accessible Green Space standard which is referenced in the Glossary and the Woodland Trust's Woodland Access Standard to this policy and to supporting Table 10.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-096
What is your name? - Name
Mrs Jennifer Hodges
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Too short a time to submit comments as a lot to digest.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Simplify it and break it down into relevant areas so you have an overview of whole plan, don't need to wade through areas not relevant to you.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Need to take account of what is already planning or likely to be planned.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Too much emphasis put on Cherwell taking Oxford's quota. Infrastructure not in place for this to happen.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Idealistic but not entirely realistic.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Claiming on one hand to keep green buffers and delignation of small villages away from towns but leaning heavily on small villages close to town to take brunt of housing needed.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Figures don't tally with CPRE's figures.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Figure for Bicester is too high, should be redistributed throughout the county more evenly.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Idealistic not realistic, builders not interested (cuts their margins) and villages should have say in the clientele that it sells/rents too (people coming from towns not interested in slower pace/no facilities/village life).

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Buffer between Chesterton and Little Chesterton and the A41.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Infrastructure very poor at best/no provision for a northern by-pass.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

A more evenly distributed and look to new housing areas (ie Graven Hill). Heyford Park where the infrastructure/roads can go in from day one. Do not destroy the identity of the small historic villages.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

More on Graven Hill, do not merge Chesterton/Bucknell/Stratton Audley/Launton with the town - are small characterful viilages not sprawling estates and can never be.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

The corridor along the A41 from Junction 9 and up the Aylesbury Road - yes, but not to be mixed with residential housing.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Graven Hill/Heyford Park as man made sites.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Dedicated tram/trains into these two areas to cut down on car use.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Link from A41 around Graven to come out toward Aylesbury and cut off Bicester.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Green buffers in the water cress meadows skirting Bucknell, do not let ancient historic villages like Chesterton lose their identify (will never get it back).

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Redo town centre, linking it to Bicester Village via proper paths. Put back the frontages of the old town centre, pedestrianise it and draw in Bicester Village clientele with specialist food/drink/eateries and a tram service from BV to the town.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Too much pressure for villages close to Bicester to take the brunt of the new build, retain the character and buffer small quaint villages. Water/sewerage/roads/paths will not cope.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Too much housing pushed on Cat B villages. Same is happening to Bucknell.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Infill on Paynes' Land in Chesterton (next to new Taylor Wimpey site) a logical infill. Chesterton already doubled in size in 10 years so had more than it's fair share.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Continue down the A41 from Junction 9/expand the A41 site opposite Ambrosden.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Comments as already stated.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-097
What is your name? - Name
Iskra Garbachkova
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Quality of some of the maps included as part of Appendix 6 is not very clear.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
To meaningfully implement the objectives a Supplementary Planning Guidance on Open Space Provision in New Housing Developments is required. Objectives are not clear when it comes to ensuring efficient movement into/around /larger towns. Improvements to t
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Re Bicester - ensure clear/up-to date definition is established of what a sustainable Garden Town should be? Local residents should have say in this. Investment in local green infrastructure seems to have been missed as part of the strategy and this should be addressed.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No, examples of other requirements should be given, the questions is not very clear.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Is there a demand for employment land? If yes, then you should. A survey should be undertaken.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.**10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?****11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?**

This section of the policy is not robust enough and should be removed. Quotes from Plan "Where there is no demonstrable prospect" comments instead the marketing evidence should be expanded.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites should be discouraged.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Ancillary uses on existing or allocated Employment Sites should only be allowed if the neighbouring sites/properties are in support of this.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

No comment

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

No comment

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Proposed retail should have cycling routes, if not it should not be supported.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Bicester - yes.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

This question is not complete. No other areas also need class E use classes.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

No

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Has any assessment been made that the proposed growth in Bicester is sustainable?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

N/A

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

No comment

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Comments appears to be reasonable.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No comment

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

N/A

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Comments Graven Hill Woodland if not already included.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

A supplementary Planning Document is required on open space provision in new residential developments (Coventry City Council could be used as example). If green spaces/community facilities have been identified at outline planning permission/full planning stage then suitably worded conditions should be used to protect delivery of these facilities, once permission granted the council currently does not have any means of securing delivery of facilities/green spaces. Subsequent applications to amend size of facilities/green spaces should not be allowed.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

No comment

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comment

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No comment

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No comment

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No comment

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

highly sustainable developments with adequate green spaces should be permitted. The town is lacking adequate cycling lanes and needs more green spaces/better connection between new developments and the rest of town. The aspirations address infrastructure

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Bicester Area Strategy Map - South East of Wretchwick Green appears to be close to industrial activities, the size of this site should be reconsidered.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Agrees, yes

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

New cycling lanes.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

New cycling lanes/parks. The city needs more parks and running routes.

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Encourage local independent shops/provide better benches/planting looks a bit out of date. The overall appearance of the town center is not great, not green enough and it is dominated by cars/car parks.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

It looks fantastic.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

No

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

N/A

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

N/A

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

N/A

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

N/A

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

N/A

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/A

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

N/A

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/A

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

N/A

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

N/A

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

N/A

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

N/A

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

N/A

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

N/A

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

N/A

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

N/A

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

N/A

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

N/A

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

No

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-098

What is your name? - Name

Philip Towler

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The 'Vision' fails to give sufficient weight to importance of natural environment/well-being of residents. It does not mention the Green Belt. Note the comments: "Our distinctive natural and built environment" and "The district's biodiversity resource". Council should not favour unwarranted development, rather preserve natural environment for benefit of residents.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Re. 3.1, "District" - the meaning of this is obscure, "Minimise carbon emissions" it is not explained how new development is supposed to "achieve net set gains in biodiversity"

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, housing targets (in the past and this draft of Local Plan) are in excess of those required by the Government's own methodology and are objectively indefensible.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

In the past such policies have not been adhered to (developers plead prescribed % of affordable housing is "uneconomic").

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Mostly unobjectionable. Prefer that omitted "We will have delivered the 4,400 homes" " pushed through against wishes of residents/based on figures above Government's own methodology. Hard to see how that/any further development that degrades natural environment can be reconciled with aim. Fully agree with : "Our residents and visitors will enjoy .."

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects, no. In section 6.11 states: "The availability of land outside Kidlington's" agreed but then says: "However, Kidlington is one of our most sustainable areas ..." so do not feel that constrained by Green Belt? At least not if you can characterise other factors (not fully explained) as promoting "sustainability". Green Belt boundaries should endure beyond lifetime of a local plan. A revision to Cherwell's Green Belt boundaries was made a few years ago (period until 2031), further revision now is unjustified. CDC should remain true to statement made in 2021 'consultation' ie not propose further residential development in Green Belt around Kidlington, otherwise trust in Council's public statements will be further eroded. The land North of the Moors lies within Oxford Green Belt and should be removed from consideration for housing allocation. Too much Green belt around Kidlington has been taken for development of 4400 homes to meet Oxford City's need and will damage local environment/add to transport/other problems/local infrastructure cannot support continued development. Fields behind the Moors are one of the last remaining areas of unspoilt/tranquil/open countryside around Kidlington, CDC should make it a priority to preserve it (see Rep for list of reasons) area should be designated as a Local Green Space as soon as possible, proposal supported by Kidlington Parish Council at the time of the 2021 'consultation'.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No, can't continually redraw its boundaries, particularly "in response to recently developed land" - whole point of Green Belt is to constrain further development.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Would be appreciated if views of residents (whom CDC is supposed to be representing) could be given proper weight.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-099
What is your name? - Name
Gregory Crichton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Adequate but not very well communicated, doubt many people are aware.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The document is over long/images embedded are very low resolution leading to assumption/guesswork. Lacks specific detail in favour of padding it out with generic statements.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
It seems to go against pledges to protect green spaces and balance infrastructure needs.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Express concern/objection to proposed development of new houses on fields/green spaces north of the Moors, Kidlington (LPR8a). This development would have negative consequences for local community/environment - lead to loss of valuable green space - local fields/green spaces provide benefits to local community (improve air quality/reduce flooding (important given proposed site)/habitat for wildlife (breeding site for Great Crested Newts endangered/protected by law - inhabit the pond near Benmead Road)/place for people to exercise/relax/enjoy outdoors important for wellbeing/quality of life. Development would increase traffic congestion in area, make it more difficult for people to get around/increase air pollution and put a strain on local services, such as schools/healthcare. Concerned that development not in keeping with character of local area. Fields/green spaces are key part of what makes area special, their loss would have a significant impact on the quality of life.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-100
What is your name? - Name
Brian John Moss
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
n/a
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
No
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No
6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?
No
7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?
Yes
8: Should we identify further land for employment?
Yes
9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.
10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?
No
11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?
Level of housing development proposed for Bicester is in excess of likely level of employment in area, only way to fill housing would be for those commuting to Oxford/London, this would impact on proposed level of housing at Heyford Park. If no prospect f
12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?
13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?
14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?
15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?
16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

There is an error in the west of Bicester which indicates housing development on existing business sites, the housing on eastern side of Bicester is a long way from town centre, in view of this many residents may be forced to commute to Aylesbury increasi

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, not without a clear plan to attract additional business to area to reduce the level of out of area cummmutes

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Road network around Heyford Park cannot support proposed level of housing, roads already showing signs of over capacity. Impact of warehouse complex at junction 10/SRFI/Great Wolf developments to be determined, an addition of a further 1200 homes would cause major traffic problems in road network.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

There are no plans/likelihood of additional employment to justify housing increase. Proposed housing increase at Bicester likely to exceed increase in employment. The local service role is predicated on improvements to local bus service, the bus service

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Yes

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

The allocation is disproportionate to level of employment in area. The road network is unsuitable for the level of employment that would be required to support this allocation.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-101
What is your name? - Name
Corrine Mitchell
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Yes
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO5 is very important - many people will not use public transport as unreliable.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Extremely important to stop the movement of shops/facilities outside of the towns. It is killing town centres/not everyone has a car to get to them.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The Northwest development in Bicester very close to Bucknell - this should not be allowed. Keep villages separate from the next town.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports Gavrey Drive local space and the Langford Community Orchard.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

The Horton Hospital is essential for all. Please support as much as possible.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Mostly good. Bring about coordinated town centre improvements/regeneration (redevelopment of Market Square). Support the role of the town centre by resisting further major out of centre retail developments - very important.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

More development in cente of town (rather than countryside). Do not support allocation south of Chesterton (surrounding Chesterton, soon be part of Bicester). Area of Northwest Bicester is huge, too much additional housing in area - so much for reducing

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

North of Caversfield - between Buckingham and Fringford Road.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Imperative to improve London Road access to town with well designed underpass for pedestrians/cycleway. If done well will improve access, do not use foot bridge as prevents large portion of public (elderly/cyclists/disabled) from accessing town without c

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Consideration to be given to improving small distance between Caversfield and turning to Stratton Audley (road narrows/unpleasant to cycle along). Could Bicester Heritage help with pedestrian/cycle way that would take it away from A4421, Buckingham Road

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Agrees with development of Market Square.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Maintain biodiversity of site/provide a sound minimising barrier/stretch between main field and Caversfield (very noisy when cars race around airfield). Could a 50m tree/shrub belt be planted that side to help with sound reduction, would also help with b

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-102
What is your name? - Name
Clifford Aitken
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Keep Hanwell Village Rural
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
It is adequate.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Take comments/evaluate them for key points to be included.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Should be stronger definition of and protection for settlement gaps. The definition should be very specific with distance, hedges, trees and boundarys.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The housing need should be the Government standard not more. Banbury area should not take additional needs from other areas.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The housing distribution should focus first on Brownfield redevelopment and in central town redevelopment.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Would support the above if it is within the existing town boundaries.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Hanwell village sports field and field next to the Church should be in the village green Belt.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Banbury town centre should be redeveloped for flat/apartment living and small businesses a cafe/bars culture.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Rural Exception Sites should be removed and policies put in place to prevent coalescence and enhance the landscape.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-103
What is your name? - Name
N Lorimer
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Objects, damaging countryside and habitats/increasing traffic
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Objects
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Objects
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-104
What is your name? - Name
Roy Gurprashad
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Lack of engagement with public. Document is vast, to break it down into components suggested is not possible in time available. However well intended vision is, infrastructure to support it is not in place. Already local services overwhelmed, this will get worse as expansion continues. Lack of investment in access to health provision/transport links/schools/recreational areas. Health in particular already at breaking point, where will new residents/employees of business parks go for medical help in emergency/elective setting? Sort out health provision/transport links/schools/green spaces/road improvements before populating area. Impact to wildlife in areas of dense ecological value will be threatened by proposition. Should not be looking at moving into rural areas but populating empty business premises in towns/converting into dwellings as affordable housing.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-105
What is your name? - Name
Chris Cox
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Up to 2040 we will find climate change and biodiversity loss will have a dramatic effect on the way we live
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Simple overview for average person.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Too long
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Does not address climate change

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

BNG is a complete fallacy. Most important to preserve all existing habitat and biodiversity.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Reuse brown field only

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

No green field developments

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Comments negative

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Unconvinced at proposed housing needs

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

The houses do not seem to be affordable for those most in need.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Bicester has sufficient housing

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

More woodland/hedgerows/ponds/lakes/meadows etc

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

See above

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

No green field development please

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Already too large

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Services proposed have not materialised, eg surgery, allotments along with the housing

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Already too big and is contributing to increased traffic volumes in local villages

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Already too big

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

Poor transport infrastructure, particularly with the expansions in Bicester. This should be implemented before house building.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Concerned that rural areas have already been affected and look to be impacted further.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects, no

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-106
What is your name? - Name
James reid
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
The majority of policies seem to have been replaced and amalgamated. This reduces their strength.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Must focus more on retaining rural character of the area, curbing speculative development/improving existing infrastructure to support current population
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

A stated objective must be to limit development to identified areas only

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Open countryside must be more clearly defined as must buffers between villages and towns

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

N/A

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

This is more sustainable because of transport

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Comments positive

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

There must be a clear strategy for limiting development on unallocated sites

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Comments proportional

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Agrees, I support

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Development should be redirected from rural areas

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Must go further given vacant space in Banbury

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

N/A

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

N/A

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

this is grossly overinflated and Cherwell should also not accept Oxfords unmet need allocation.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Number in rural areas should be reduced and canalside should not be downgraded.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

This is positive as long as settlement boundaries cannot be breached.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

N/A

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Agrees

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Hanwell Sports field and the field behind Hanwell Church

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Must be a clear policy not to build beyond the built extent of any settlement

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Existing infrastructure must be improved before further expansion of town is considered. The town centre/brownfield developments must be a priority

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects, no

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

N/A

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

N/A

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

N/A

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

N/A

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

N/A

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

N/A

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

N/A

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

N/A

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

N/A

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

N/A

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/A

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

N/A

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/A

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

N/A

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

N/A

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

N/A

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

N/A

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

N/A

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

N/A

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

N/A

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Settlement boundaries must not be breached and the settlement gap policy must be strengthened

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects, no. Too many houses and no identified sites will lead to the developers having a field day

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Rural exception sites should not exist. Renewable energy must be of lower priority than landscape value and agriculture and should be brownfield/rooftop first

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Does not support a new junction off the M40 onto Southam Road, this would create chaos. Hanwell should be a designated dark sky area given the observatory.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-107
What is your name? - Name
Victoria Goodwin-Hurst
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Regarding lating to new M40 junction/enlarged slip roads off Southam Road; already have an M40 junction north of town, having a junction to south of town (and ensuring it had large slip roads) would reduce congestion through town. The north of town near H

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-108

What is your name? - Name

Harriet Gurprashad

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Significant concerns about impact overdevelopment having on Cherwell (particularly Bicester/surrounding villages) to have meaningful engagement with proposals engage local residents well before deadline. High numbers of residents who have no idea plan is

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-109
What is your name? - Name
Matt
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
NA
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Re "We seek the input of our local communities and" Who was specifically consulted? No mention of automobile companies/associations being consulted. Public consultation process itself hard to find with low awareness amongst general public that t
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
A broader vision for life in Cherwell 2040 would be useful to set the scene. What does the best in UK look like/which areas are benchmarks and comparators?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No plan survives the first contact so it makes sense to constantly amend over time. Setting policies is difficult, they will not always be right, so being flexible/willing to adapt is as important as initial policy setting.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Re 1.14. How will we know if the Local Plan is successful? Need some Key Performance Indicators, an omitted metric is average journey times across towns/Cherwell, a key performance indicator for transportation network and impacts overall productivity an
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Missing any mention of "making Cherwell a great place for children to grow up" or "great place for business" or "desirable place for the working population". Requires vision to make existing housing/buildings/land usage more sustainable, all the emphasis/cost placed on sustainable new builds. Taxation should be in place to encourage people out of oversized/inefficient properties into something sustainable (otherwise burden solely on young families trying to get on housing ladder). Over protectionism of villages is evident in vision with towns being targeted to make up shortfall in new housing. Vision should be short/meaningful/memorable. Vision not forward looking, by 2040 all cars (unlike public transport) will be 100% electric/with self driving capabilities that completely change how they will be used day to day.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO 1 - why only new developments targeted; existing dwellings/buildings need improvement/more efficiently utilised (ie Council Tax used to encourage people out of homes with more bedrooms than needed). SO 2 - good objective. Should be funded by Thames

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Banbury town has taken more than it's fair share of housing demand, this has not been offset by proportional increase in spending on road networks/facilities. Increase in traffic not been off set by any schemes to improve road capacity/reduce journey tim

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

3.3 - maintaining/clearing existing drainage ditches/waterways would be good (little sign of this - arguments over who has ownership). Clear ownership required if we want to prevent flooding.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

This comes down to farmers; they own most of the land. If they maintain/increase yields whilst stopping use of pesticides this will increase biodiversity. Organic farming doesn't have required yields/needs more land, GM crops if can get round the "patent" issues holds this potential. Oxford is part of golden triangle for science so get firms there to support widespread trials.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Existing sites (Kraft factory) produce poor air quality for town/pungent smell suggest high PM2.5 levels. This needs to be addressed.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?**12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?****13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?****14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?****15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?**

Town centre first' principles are hurt by the high cost of parking in Banbury.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Need to accept that high street shops as they were are no longer viable, better redeveloped as a cafe culture/entertainment centre/somewhere that's pleasant to walk around/spend time without having to clock watch. 3.150 - Nothing wrong with out of town r

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Need to accelerate conversion of abandoned/non viable town centre shops to alternative uses (not more gambling/charity shops which are in too much abundance).

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Objects, no - shouldn't be protected anywhere.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The need is not within Banbury.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Suspects Oxford is low balling number of houses it needs, house prices are the clearest indication of this and should be used to determine where more housing is needed (higher the price the more demand). 3.187 - in Banbury "land North of Wykham Lane" is not suitable for 600 new homes, that side of town is already over developed and Wykham Lane is not a road/suitable for that kind of traffic.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?**22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?**

Home working/internet shopping means villages do have all the necessities for life and accommodating more housing. House prices indicate they are desirable places to live and should not be reserve of wealthy retirees. More affordable housing to be built

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Self build offers an attractive route to affordable housing if suitable sites made available, also build a better community as everyone is emotionally invested in making it a success.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

If reducing tax payer subsidised bus services allowed more affordable housing it's a sacrifice most would be willing to make.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

If they pay for services/land they are using and treat the local community/environment with respect, no one would object to them wanting to live here.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

In Banbury the "land North of Wykham Lane" accessible via many local footpaths and the Saltway.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Stop building lots of small playparks, instead create fewer but much larger parks/play areas (Stratford by the river for a good example).

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Re "Support the role of the town centre by resisting further" objects to this approach as goes against customer demand. Allow out of town retail parks for shopping, refocus the town on unique markets/leisure/cafe culture etc, can't compete with r

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No. North of Wykham Lane - the lane is not suitable for this amount of traffic/this side of town is already overdeveloped. Too much housing already in Banbury without any facilities improvements.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

In the town centre, abandoned shops and boarded up office blocks.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Access to M40 via Adderbury flyover bridge.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

4.1.7 the 22kw charge points will not be utilised much (you can charge at home for 7.5p, why pay 50p plus to charge parked in town). Future is high speed charging travelling up/down motorway (Tesla Supercharger/Instavolt site setup for that). One even c

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

More of the self build homes would be great.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

High house prices suggest lots of demand for housing in Kidlington, so yes.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

High house prices show there is an appetite to live in villages, high speed internet/ecommerce mean they are viable places to live and more housing should be built there. Otherwise young families cannot afford to live there and they become the preserve o

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

A key performance indicator is Average Journey Times, across towns/Cherwell's main arteries, this must be measured/improved to assess health of our Transport Network and Roads.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Impact of the continual decrease in speed limits, more 50mph and 20mph zones, has not been measured. Where the safety case is obvious, outside schools during let out time, they make sense but the blanket execution is counter productive, represents a 50%

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No supporting evidence has been considered for the Use Cases of transport across Cherwell (why people are traveling/how much they are carrying with them/where they are going) - this would highlight how often public/active transport are unsuitable. Need evidence looking at the future of electric cars/how self driving/new ownership models will alter how they are used in future.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-110
What is your name? - Name
Peter Trowles
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Kidlington is within the Oxford green belt, there is no justification for expanding employment development in the area (which in turn drives housing demand/sacrificing more of the green belt to meet this manufactured demand).

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Yes land north of The Moors in Kidlington (rich in wildlife/already used extensively for walking/high amenity value) should be protected from development.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to proposed use of green belt land north of The Moors Kidlington for housing. Demand for housing has not been calculated using standard methodology and is over estimated. Enough of green belt around Kidlington already taken in partial review of current Local Plan, further proposals not justified. Council stated in 2021 consultation it was not considering further residential development in green belt around Kidlington and should honour statement, also state strategy for Kidlington is to "protect and enhance areas of high natural capital value in the Cherwell Valley" building on these fields goes against strategy. The fields are enjoyed for recreation (evidenced by many footpaths)/hedgerows rich in wildlife (including rare species). The fields are in the Recovery Zone of the Oxfordshire Nature Recovery Network and adjacent to the Lower Cherwell Valley Conservation Target Area (British wildlife in serious decline, what better way to help it than to preserve green belt land which has already had potential for helping nature recovery recognised. Priority should be given to development of brownfield sites (eg Upper Heyford proposed by Dorchester Living).

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Objects, no - there are ample opportunities for new employment within easy reach of Kidlington (Begbroke Science Park/Oxford North,/Langford Lane), no demand for more employment opportunities/growth for its own sake should not be an objective.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Upper Heyford as proposed by Dorchester Living.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No, it drives demand for even more housing in the green belt.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-111
What is your name? - Name
Huw Morgan
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Good aspirations.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, Kidlington should not be used to take Oxford overflow.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Development is too much and will change character of the area.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-112
What is your name? - Name
Diana Sadler
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

There needs to be further focus on health provision for Banbury residents. One new secondary school is not enough.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Town centre sites should be explored further.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Would like to support all policies which prevent coalescence of the town and its surrounding historic villages and to protecting the rural nature of these communities.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-113
What is your name? - Name
Ros Bailey
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There has been considerable development and the social implications of development on village greenfied sites need to be considered. Notes the increase in traffic from new development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-113
What is your name? - Name
Ros Flatt
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Other options should be considered other than the 500 homes for larger villages as there has already been considerable development. Notes the social implications of further development needs considering as well as the increase in traffic from new developm

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-114
What is your name? - Name
Val Ingram
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Shotteswell Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Shotteswell Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Concern over methodology used to calculate the housing requirement and notes preference to using standard method instead. States accepting Oxford's unmet need should be resisted to prioritise retaining Cherwell's rural identity. Identifies need for renewa

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-115
What is your name? - Name
Rob Fellows
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
There is a lack of overall calculation regarding how the new homes can meet climate action targets of new zero and the plan will be able to achieve them. SO1 wording is vague and does not set a target that new housing should attain to minimise carbon emis
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Core policies are excellent but concern over CP5 clause 3.27 as carbon offsetting does not work when compared to building lower carbon homes in the first place, so the LP is out of date.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The HENA figures not right when considered with population growth figures for the last 20 years. Notes 18-19,000 new homes in the plan period would be more realistic than the 25,860 proposed.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

providing truly affordable housing' section wording is no stronger than before and does not provide robust method to deliver more affordable homes.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

As well as care home beds/flats for elderly or disabled people there is a need for bungalows where people can access a garden.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Brownfield land at Heyford Park is not being used which goes against principles of effective land usage. Notes the LP appears to have moved the boundary into the buffer of NW Bicester Ecotown without explanation.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

In favour of expanding the ecotown rather than any non-true-zero-carbon development areas. Questions why the additional 1000 homes are added to the supply now if they do not contribute to the anticipated supply and queries if this is a separate area.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

On SO 5 "Prioritise active travel and increase the attraction of and opportunities for public transport..." recent developments been granted permission without meeting LTN1/20 for active travel, bus routes reduced in level/frequency. CDC can "prioritise" a

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-116
What is your name? - Name
Ivy Chee
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The LP must include wording to ensure improved road infrastructure and strong wording must be included to ensure proper adherence with principles of carbon zero housing. Notes 30% affordable housing is needed.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-117
What is your name? - Name
Emily Daly
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Lower Heyford Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

The strategy does not recognise that to deliver further transport investment the impacts on surrounding rural network may be unavoidable, and the rural roads would be unsuitable for large volumes of traffic. It is a sensitive area which needs protection.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Opposes to further employment at Heyford Park due to the impact on the environment of commuter and goods traffic on rural roads and villages which are seen as unsuitable for heavy traffic. States routing agreements do not provide sufficient protection as they are not enforced.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Comments an additional 1,250 houses would constitute unsustainable development.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

On CP17 that CDC needs to check the environmental information in EIA's is adequate to assess the impact of development and those carrying out environmental assessments should consult with Parish Councils. On CP22 that traffic assessments for proposals which

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-118
What is your name? - Name
Stephen M Bowen
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Bourtons Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Bourtons Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The period needs to cover until 2042 or 2045. Argues any plan that covers over 10 years will be based on an element of supposition and not supported by enough intelligent information to make long term decisions. It does not include sites offered by parish
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The plan could be made fully interactive so it can be easily searched to locate topics of interest to the reader.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
A lack of infrastructure and houses approved before consideration is given to infrastructure is a cause of concern, particularly traffic.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
There should be a requirement for all industrial and commercial buildings to have solar panels to avoid using agricultural land. Notes economic situation suggests available funding for new development may slow and questions plans to mitigate this. Comments housing is unaffordable and flood risk should be a high priority due to climate change.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO1 represents a change in perceived attitude regarding net-zero carbon developments will little promotion of solar roofs or insistence at planning approval stage. SO4 has little focus applied to retaining agricultural land in production and a refocus is r
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The draft strategy makes no mention of rural areas; only appear as a “support” issue. Rural areas represent significant part of Cherwell District and an important element of overall strategy, should specify strategy for significant area of district.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The 5x increase in solar energy production should be stated in policy to come from brownfield or rooftops led approach and speculative solar farms on agricultural land must be prevented, It is imperative CP6 is strengthened to include this wording. Notes officers are still considering housing development in areas with sewage hydraulic capacity restrictions. Waste storage areas should be supported at individual house levels and care should be taken where bins are grouped roadside on collection days to avoid bins blocking rural lanes. Comments 30dph housing in rural villages is higher than current densities and needs to be lowered.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, developing employment opportunities must not swamp other equally important factors. Northern Cherwell is predominately rural and must be preserved to avoid entire district becoming urban wilderness. Maintaining rurality is vital to well-being of communities/attractive to incomers.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Have already seen inappropriate commercial/industrial development in some villages. This has significant negative effect on rural road networks/increased numbers of HGV's. If existing local businesses need to expand they should relocate to identified ind

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Agrees this is optimum strategy rather than encouraging development in rural areas, especially where a lack of transport/necessary amenities.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Comments expressed in above answers, concentrate industrial development in areas identified for this type of development; do not encourage erosion of green belt/adjacent to good quality agricultural land, by the creeping development of industrial estates

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Comments industrial development should be concentrated in existing identified industrial areas, on existing brownfield sites. Applications in small villages/open countryside should be automatically refused.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Such a policy would encourage expansion of identified industrial sites beyond designed limits via backdoor. Original boundaries set for a reason, expansion for other purposes should always be refused unless fundamentally sound reasons for allowing such.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

The principal that economic activities should be encouraged in rural areas is wrong until all current commercial/industrial brownfield sites fully utilised. To protect natural environment, this policy must stop, planning authorities can have significant impact if they act responsibly. Continual avoidance of more complex/costly development of brownfield sites can only worsen situation.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Tourist/visitor facilities identified at start of question yet existing hotels are being converted to house migrants. Government cannot argue on one hand tourists should be encouraged and on other encourage closure of hotels for their intended purpose denying their role in supporting tourism. When existing facilities are fully utilised for original purpose should new application be considered, and then consideration of biodiversity must be made.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Recent trend developing out-of-town retail sites must cease. Banbury proves such sites more attractive to developers/retailers and result in migration of retail facilities out of town. These developments consume valuable green space/denude traditional tow

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Appendix 10 not wholly legible but would support more emphasis on High Street/Castle Quay/White Lion Walk/Market Place for more active retail development. Over the past few years have seen significant reduction in size/scope of Banbury outdoor market no

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?**19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?**

Concerned the trajectory proposed for Oxfordshire envisages population growing by nearly 27% by 2040, compared to Office for National Statistics estimates an increase of less than 5%. Level of growth proposed is over 50% more than the growth experienced

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Comments a number of vital points to be considered, not all addressed by this section. Need to take into better consideration impact of approach and local opinions (often supported by classification of rural residents is NIMBYs). All development, particularly that proposed in rural villages, must be sympathetic/appropriate for local environment (ie plan has policies which do not differentiate between urban and rural settings). By listening to local opinion will recognise they will better understand needs and be able to reflect local opinion (eg in many villages bungalows suitable for long established residents wishing to downsize/those with mobility difficulties). Application of housing density measures is not appropriate in many villages, if housing density measure is required the density of surrounding existing housing should be used (using the same density in smaller villages as in urban developments is inappropriate/will change character of villages). In order to protect rural areas of Cherwell District (recognised as being of great importance environmentally) the focus for new housing development must be within current urban centres Banbury/Bicester/Kidlington and new growth areas such as Heyford Park. Must be a limit placed on allowed rural development (no more than infill/no construction beyond current village limits), essential to protect biodiversity/wider nature aspects countryside. No developments on boundaries of areas that allow merging of communities; coalescence must not be allowed.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Bourtons Parish conducted a local survey with intention of producing a 5 year vision for parish akin to a Neighbourhood Plan, currently prohibitive in terms of cost however understand process for producing a Neighbourhood Plan will be revised, making it m

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Notes the move away from traditional descriptions of villages, assumes that terms now used in this document of larger and smaller villages align with previous descriptions? The policy description associated with Smaller Villages needs to be totally enforc

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

There should be no exception to the affordable content of significant developments either by off-site delivery or by other financial contributions. Process by which level of rent to be applied to affordable homes requires clarification to ensure landlords do not make excessive returns (as currently canbe the case). Current provision of social rented homes provided by Housing Association sector is not working effectively, a return to Council ownership of social housing with discounted rents that reflect running costs/does not include element of "profit" as is currently the case with Housing Associations/other investors. This is principle reason why we have expensive social rented housing vs provision in former generations (when local Council owned).

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Agrees, the provision of affordable housing should be a priority but this is best provided in towns/larger villages where there is a robust public transport system/full range of amenities. Development on exceptional sites in rural locations does not best serve needs of families who need affordable housing (cost of car travel to/from work/secondary school travel/access essential services), thus defeats the object of providing an affordable housing option.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Comments CP42 "Sites for Gypsies and Travellers should be within 3km road distance of the built-up limits of our Main Towns, Local Service Centres or Larger Villages." This means sites will be located in surrounding countryside to towns/larger villages. W

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Would like to preserve green space around our village settlements.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

3.246 specifies that "key landforms/landscape features such as medieval ridge and furrowland should be preserved" - this has not previously been protected in the Bourtons, areas have been allowed to increase without permission/impacted the land's natural flooding protection. Core Policy 45 : Settlements Gaps - this seeks to specify development will be highly restricted where it would reduce existing rural gaps between existing village boundaries - this is not always the case, applications that encroach on such gaps should be refused at point of submission unless there is irrefutable evidence that this is not the case. Residential extensions - this recognises harm that can be caused by inappropriate extensions that cause significant visual harm to rural street scenes (often in areas with a number of listed dwellings) difficulty in protecting such locations, so important that policy is strongly worded/specific penalties in order to preserve historic village centres/street scenes. Core Policies 57-59 Historic Environment & Archaeology states "all development proposals should conserve and/or enhance the special character..." comments experience in The Bourtons is current planning law does not allow Officers to follow this guidance and suggest that additional policy is introduced to ensure future developments consider non-designated heritage assets/protect historic street scenes (even if conservation area not designated).

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Document asserts in Banbury Vision 2040 that "Banbury will continue to be a thriving, historic market town" would dispute statement (Cattle Market gone, retail market a shadow of its former self); the drive to reduce levels of deprivation in Banbury has lo

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Before any new sites for housing are considered, an audit of all available Brownfield sites in Banbury should be published along with specific plans for each one of them to be developed for housing first, only for other uses if it is impossible to build h

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

CP 67 Horton Hospital Site - proposals are vague, would suggest that Cherwell recognise that Horton's catchment population is expected to rise to circa 300,000 by 2032. Present population of Cherwell is 161,800, increased by 13.5% (141,900 in 2011 to 16

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supports Core Policy 86 Rural Areas Strategy "In accordance with the spatial strategy and Core Policy 34: District Wide Housing Distribution, the 500 dwelling non-strategic housing requirement for the rural area will only be met by site specific allocations in this Local Plan or in a Neighbourhood Plan." Hope this policy will stand up against unwelcome speculative development in rural locations. In order for policy to be effective and address speculative development in rural locations would prefer if all sites were identified through the Local Plan or Neighbourhood Plan process. Welcomes the change in classification of Villages (Core Policy 35) with some current category A villages dropping into the smaller category, which will require them to accept less housing, but caveat support by noting that in para 8.7. "We have already had a large number of suggested sites submitted to us. We have begun our assessments, although these have not yet been finalised. This document is therefore not proposing specific sites." The locations previously identified do not appear in current draft plan and although understand these sites are presently being assessed, are not able to comment on the sites which were offered in our parish. For parishes such as The Bourtons, this is a huge omission and one of the most significant for a parish such as ours, which does not offer the sustainability which housing developments needs/expects. Hope that when the assessment of each offered site is completed, have the opportunity to discuss their validity.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

As detailed in Development Policy 8 - would support the outline as currently defined but would question policy of using 30 dwellings per hectare as basis for development in all situations. More fitting to use the 30 dwelling ratio for urban developments

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Not aware of any in the Bourtons but encourage and support cottage industries which lend themselves more readily to rural locations.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Appendix 9 - page 305 North Cherwell Conervation Target Areas - keen to establish a Conservation Area in Great Bourton and have contacted appropriate officer. Understand however that request cannot be addressed until 2024, are currently researching releava

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

The plan does not include some of the detail for the rural development strategy, particularly for rural categorisation/development presented in October 2019 and provided more detail than in the latest draft plan – detail that would offer far more protection from speculative housing development to a small rural parish. Some elements in draft plan where would like to see either a change in policy/strengthening of policy/additional policy. Main concerns fall under following categories Land Use (particularly in rural locations)/Healthcare Provision/Required Housing numbers and types of housing stock/Lack of infrastructure/Reduction in transport subsidies/Renewable energy options/flood Risk.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-119
What is your name? - Name
Ronnie Mallinson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Nethercote due to recreational benefits and for nature.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-120
What is your name? - Name
Ian Middleton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Proposals suggest allocations to other districts that have not been agreed so are entirely arbitrary, looks like council is trying to work along lines of Oxfordshire Plan 2050 even though rejected by majority of districts on basis of OGNA prepared by same

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

CDC are talking about 30% in this plan - asks about trade-offs between priorities, council should be prioritising both affordability and climate change. Energy efficient homes will make them more affordable but barrier to entry has to be dealt with first (price/availability).

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Confusion around the status of Yarnton and Begbroke and Gosford and Water Eaton. Need to recognise they are separate neighbourhoods/not under Kidlington. This is noted in some areas of the plan but not others. They should have their own sections in the plan (certainly Yarnton and Begbroke considering scale of development in that area). More than half the housing in the LPPR is destined for those two villages.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Begbroke Science Park - worth noting large parts of this are in Yarnton not Begbroke (including sites off A44 still referred to as Begbroke South). The 14.7 hectares of land that was 'reserved' in the LPPR now appears to be being 'allocated' in the new plan, this represents planning creep/potentially even predetermination considering outline planning application for parts of PR8 which include the previous reservation have just been submitted. The LPPR was predicated on Oxford's unmet housing need – not the University's desire to expand the science park. Could end up with a zero sum gain in terms of housing provision if university take up affordable housing. Reference to Greenbelt erosion. Also mention of "the planned benefits for the communities of Kidlington, Gosford and Water Eaton, Begbroke and Yarnton" doubt people in those areas would want to see removal of green belt/imposition of housing. Claims in the LPPR that were later backed up by planning inspector were that areas of green belt being removed would have defensible boundaries, were told that there would be no further erosion of the Green Belt after huge amounts were removed. Planning for Cherwell Community Involvement Paper 2 of September 2021 (p88, 6.4.4) excluded any option for further Green Belt residential development in Kidlington, 3 years later council is proposing

more removal of green belt protection (arguments about 'good' and 'bad' greenbelt should not be in local plan). Reference is made to constraints of green belt even though the plan lays the groundwork for arguments justifying removal of more of it such as the land behind The Moors. The case is made to 'adjust' current Green Belt boundary on southern side of Langford Lane and Oxford Technology Park and areas around Airport (and further expansion airport) - the kind of development creep that the LPPR said would not happen. Site near Woodstock Roundabout rejected by planning inspector in 2018 as being too far from Oxford and likely to have more impact on Woodstock and WODC than helping housing need in Kidlington, also includes very limited scope for affordable housing, so why back plan? (houses that were removed from there were redistributed around Kidlington/Gosford - including additional Green belt land take so this is a betrayal). Re 300 Houses at the Moors - Council considers there are exceptional circumstances to justify other limited release of Green Belt with a residential allocation to north of Kidlington this marks a significant change from the Planning for Cherwell Community Involvement Paper 2 of September 2021 (p 88, 6.4.4). which excluded any option for further Green Belt residential development in Kidlington. "Due to the planned housing growth at Kidlington and the Green Belt we have not included an option for further residential development at this time beyond that identified in the Partial Review" it also states that any such development would "need to demonstrate exceptional circumstances in accordance with national policy". There is a joint Oxford/Cherwell Housing Needs Assessment (prepared by same team that prepared SHMA and OGNA using much of the material from OGNA (Oxfordshire Plan)) - its clear that Oxford City wishes to promote an excessive level of growth but i not clear why CDC is only district council that continues to endorse this. Cherwell and Oxford are intent on continuing with excessive growth path - rejected by other three Oxfordshire Districts when Oxfordshire Plan abandoned. Overall level of housing proposed for Cherwell is 1009 dpa (dwellings per annum), 36% higher than required by Government's Standard Method (742dpa), high figures mean that CDC is looking for more housing sites in District than it needs to.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

The plan does not take into account proposals for a revised NPPF e.g. replacement of duty to cooperate. Notes that Oxford City should have taken into account housing need relevant to Oxford only and that the number is inflated for their need.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-121

What is your name? - Name

Councillor Calum Miller

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Oxfordshire County Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Oxfordshire County Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Broadly supports draft vision of plan/associated objectives, in particular emphasis on climate change at heart of place-shaping (SO1). Houses built today provide homes for next 100 years/beyond, need to ensure they are built in full awareness of climate

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Would like to see more genuinely affordable housing, including housing for social rent. Supports CP 36, trust circumstances under which off-site provision/financial contributions in lieu of on-site provision is accepted will be genuinely exceptional. All relevant steps should be taken to ensure developers have clear expectation they need to meet objectives in policy.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Broadly supportive of Bicester Area Strategy but requires stronger importance on keeping London Road open after East West Rail development enforces permanent closure of level crossing. CDC adopted motion on 27 Feb 23 that stated council's support "for a

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Objects to The Moors, south-east of Wretchwick green Bicester and south of Chesterton/ NW of A41 and does not consider need for additional housing is justified. Notes no exceptional circumstances for green belt release and that site 3 would extend boundary of Bicester beyond current boundary and reduce settlement gap between Bicester and Ambroseden. Concern on site 1 due to impact on traffic and notes suitable infrastructure should be provided before completion. States need for clarity on renewable energy sites.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-122
What is your name? - Name
Roy Seaward
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to any further development in Cherwell, especially if it is to cover Oxford City's shortfalls rather than local needs. Development should avoid greenfield sites where adequate brownfield is available and a more modest level of growth to provide a

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-123
What is your name? - Name
Robert Cornford
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There needs to be a clearer statement about the provision of infrastructure services before or in parallel with housing provision. Concern that issues of surface water drainage are not addressed with vigour. Comments a serious impact on all development in

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-124

What is your name? - Name

Kate Sadler

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

There is a need for more affordable and accessible allotments in Central Banbury.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No major housing estates should be built on rural fields that surround boundary. There are more brownfield sites available which should be utilised.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There is a need to help reduce emissions and achieve cleaner air in Hennef way, and maximising sustainable modes of travel will help but more action is required e.g. buses should be electric. Another motorway slip road near Little Bourton is unnecessary a

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-125
What is your name? - Name
R Peach
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concern that at a recent meeting the member presenting plans was not aware Kidlington is a village. Believes the council is providing more houses than necessary and that Kidlington will soon be connected with Oxford. Objects to The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-126
What is your name? - Name
Victoria Prentis
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Member of Parliament for Banbury
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Agreement with the objectives and It is a comprehensive plan. In broad agreement with CP1-20. Notes there have not been improvements in bus services since the last plan so highlights that sustainable transport should be at the forefront of considerations

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-127

What is your name? - Name

Megan Bowdler

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports application for Banbury Lane, Nethercote as a local green space as it is used for recreation, supports wildlife and is a tranquil area.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-128
What is your name? - Name
Mr & Mrs Bird
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Support for Nethercote to be designated as a local green space due to the recreational and mental health benefits as well as the wildlife present.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-129
What is your name? - Name
Michael Marshall
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Commented on Cherwell Strategy - not coherent, concern over reaction to unmet housing need for Oxford, instead of considered/organic growth faced with development where Kidlington subjected to 25% growth in short time period, rapid expansion/densification will not be easily accommodated by village. Will bring population increase more than 8,800/minimum of another 4,400, where are new facilities/infrastructure to facilitate new houses/vehicles. Where are green spaces for refuge/solace/ healing.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Regarding quote "Our residents and visitors will enjoy a high quality ...' where will green spaces exist? Where is the 'support increased access to nature, open spaces and Green Belt with specific opportunities to 'green' Kidlington's centre and secure improvements to the Oxford Canal and River Cherwell corridors' most to south and east will be built over, valuable Green Belt land will be built-over/lost. There is no firm proposal to maintain access to remaining countryside beyond. Where is Kidlington's 'green' centre? The Kidlington Area Strategy lacks 'a strategy', too little detail in Summary Booklet.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Valuable commercial land lost to flatted development off Sterling Road Approach, housing development under-utilized/taken commercial land from village centre. Cherwell didn't take potential future use/requirement of land into account, now proposing to incorporate only parking remaining in centre of village (Site 2), taking away from commercial expansion. Requires more long term thinking. Where will people park, not everyone can walk/cycle/get a bus. Settlements need vibrant/thriving centre to sustain them otherwise will travel to Oxford via ring road that does not cope well with current traffic levels. Only two Doctors Surgeries in village and Exeter Surgery is identified as Site 3 an 'opportunity' site. Cherwell are considering additional residential site allocations 1 South East of Woodstock/Upper Campsfield Road (LPR002) and 2 North of the Moors (LPR8a). Kidlington residents considered Site 1 was dismissed previously as too far from Kidlington/would be more locally served by Woodstock – their concerns need to be listened to. Site 2, 300 houses north of the Moors would stress traffic situation along Moors further – already a rat run - with increased traffic pressure entering A4260 with additional commuter traffic. Only two access points with potential to take traffic (new route via Briar End/Briar Close a consideration but residents unhappy/site poorly

drained/prone to flooding). Culvert opposite Benmeade Road frequently overwhelmed/cannot cope with existing run-off/will be made worse by additional houses/roads/driveways etc with housing development. Allocation affordable housing of 30% over optimistic, primary driver for accommodating Oxford's unmet housing need (workers within city need suitable/affordable housing) won't be achieved unless Cherwell have robust Section agreements with specific numbers. Majority of housing will be expensive commuter housing for London based workers - not what Cherwell is trying to address. How do you propose to control this/get required housing? Loss of Green Belt will be for generating expensive homes/extending Oxford suburbs.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-130
What is your name? - Name
Margaret Wagstaffe
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Response to Firethorn Enquiry. CDC LP needs to be very clearly and strongly worded to prevent developers taking advantage of loopholes or wriggle room to expand their options. Ecotown principles need to be strictly adhered to. Ensure that traffic infrastr

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-131
What is your name? - Name
John Broad
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Comments an index could be provided to allow easy location of the subjects. Notes there is a considerable amount of repetition under different headings and rationalisation of these would reduce the volume without reducing importance of various aspects.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
There is nothing planned for solar or wind energy sites, new forests/ hedgerows or land for food production.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Problems of local health facilities are not determined and none of the natural landscape is protected e.g. by a green belt for Bicester. Notes the unplanned use of land for solar PV is not determined by the plan.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO6 suggests support for agriculture but there are no planned areas to show where these farms are and how they would be protected from further development. SO9 identifies the importance of character and beauty of Cherwell's natural landscape but then prop
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

It does not provide a vision or future policy framework for farming or energy generation.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Suggesting Bicester residents enjoy the increased growth is wrong and the green environment is being taken by development. Action to get HENA corrected and informing Oxford City that they have land available for housing.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The majority of this section is very good, areas where more decisive actions are required to protect the population and the environment. Re Paragraph 3.5 in rep., roof top solar doesn't require any more land, produces energy for each location for the occupiers of buildings and hence reduces/balances the grid loadings and doesn't require any more land take. On a building or car park canopy daylight doesn't matter as the land take continues to be used for its primary purpose. A solar farm is useless at night! Why only 40% of heating from renewable? This is a plan for the future and so should aim for "the majority of heating and cooling to be from renewable energy or from inherent building design by the end of the plan period". The importance of reducing carbon from buildings in use and construction techniques. A third issue of reducing waste from construction of standard bricks and mortar developments, modular housing system can reduce all three and reduce costs and time to complete whilst improving quality. Rep On CP2, CP13, CP18, CP21, CP23, CP24, CP25.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

There are already too many storage and distribution warehouses which is not the correct employment type.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

This shows how the present plan and this review have been produced using numbers rather than real needs. Questions if businesses or land owners answer the question it might end up as a developer led process rather than plan led.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Apart from Begbroke Science Park in Kidlington that is really not part of this plan but an extension of the existing Park for Oxford University, there is little new employment in Kidlington, just thousands of houses without new infrastructure. Requests le

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

This suggests without saying so that such sites that are not built out might just become even more housing but in the wrong locations.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

The idea of a Local Plan is that development is controlled, and plan led, not developer led. This Core Policy 27 provides the freedom for developers to apply almost anywhere that suits them and to ignore the Local Plans! Examples given in rep. This cannot

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

There should be reasonable opportunities, such as training centres, education or anything except more B8.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

It sounds good and, within reason, could be slightly increased. Assumes solar PV ground mounted are not in this allocation.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Tourism location is important wherever it is. Notes Bicester Motion is an excellent site due to its history but this will be destroyed if built on.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The HENA is too high.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

It is excellent.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Identifying the LGS at "Langford" is too loose. It needs to state "Langford Community Orchard". Fully supports the proposal for the small patch of land opposite Gavray Meadows, across Charbridge Lane to the east, as an extension of Gavray Meadows LGS or o

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Pleased to see promoting modular housing in Cp37 and fully agrees with CP43 principles. CP45 is conflicted by recommendation for housing for Bicester Ecotown extension. Supports Oxford Gliding Club at Weston on the Green, must be supported in this section of the plan.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Issues regarding London Road level crossing have been avoided and the greenbelt proposal has not been allowed.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Housing close to Chesterton and Little Chesterton conflicts with coalescence policies and Wretchwick Green proposal causes urban sprawl which should be opposed.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Questions when Bicester will be full and On the amount of urban sprawl.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

The end of the proposed site by Junction 9 of the M40 must not be constructed until the junction has been changed to a cloverleaf. All that land will be for even more B8 storage and distribution – possibly a slightly better location than all the warehouse

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

HENA is causing the issue as figures are too high, and if Oxford City utilised their available land for housing not employment the unmet need would be reduced.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

The bridge under the Birmingham to London railway is essential for the realigned Howes Lane. Resolution the London Road level crossing that will be closed, an underpass across the two car parks is the answer. It would require the London Road to have a weir

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Questions if the dual carriageway changes at Skimmingdish Lane are going to happen. The South East relief road has a bridge already constructed over the Chiltern Rail line and just requires the road to be completed. Queries if the cushions can be removed

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Questions if the prevention of the destruction by piecemeal of the Local Wildlife Site outside the perimeter track of ex RAF Bicester will be protected from any further damage. The protection of the proposed parkland on the old rugby pitches along Pingle

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

On CP74: Claremont car park is now one of two sites for EZ Charge installations for residents who do not have off street parking but want an EV, Bicester is a desert for EV chargers. The car parks in Bicester should have solar PV canopies.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

On CP75: Stop the piecemeal destruction of the LWS and installation of racetracks on the grass. Work with the operators to promote historic aviation back to the site.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Green belt development proposed and urban sprawl at the detriment of village residents.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

There is no justification for The Moors as increasing housing density would remove the requirement. On CP77 that work should be taken with airport operators to list all employment opportunities. Notes future residents should be informed that their properties are within operating area of an active aerodrome.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Attempts to move district towards dealing with the climate change emergency are welcomed but majoring rooftops and carparks first for solar PV is missing. Notes there has not been an independent review of the HENA and questions where the planned allocation for the rest of the land to ensure development is planned. Comments solar PV ground mount areas be mentioned, and any potential agreed locations should be identified. The farming industry needs land allocated and infrastructure requirements to support increase in housing and employment is required. Notes the Green Belt for Bicester is omitted. States employment should be for high tech industry and research only and more B2 in Bicester and Banbury. There should be greater protection for the surrounding countryside and that the housing numbers are overestimated.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-132

What is your name? - Name

Pamela Roberts

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?**7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?**

Regarding CP 6: Renewable Energy - should promote use of all forms of onsite renewable energy. Technology for ground-source/air-source heat pumps/solar panels improving and should be used where possible. Solar PV/thermal panels should be standard requirement for all new-build housing/commercial buildings/car parks to secure planning permission. Urgent need for reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, whether renewable/low-carbon energy not important, as long as there's a reduction. Wall/roof insulation a priority for new-builds to reduce energy needs for space heating. Solar farms constructed following developer-led applications, some with deleterious effect on countryside (eg near Kidlington, in Green Belt) needs strategic plan to identify where renewable energy installations will have least impact countryside. CP6 should state a priority to install solar energy infrastructure on brownfield sites/rooftops, avoiding land needed for sustainable farm businesses. Wind power is non-polluting form of energy/underused as resource inland (data from Westmill wind farm, Watchfield, Swindon demonstrates value/contribution to renewable energy generation) despite constraints a potential for small scale wind turbines (a site in NW Bicester would demonstrate eco-credentials of development) other sites feasible/fit requirements (note tall telecommunication masts get planning consent). CP11 Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity - Para 3.58 installation of bird/bat boxes is excellent, urge CDC to include policy for swift bricks (decline in number of swifts/lack of nesting sites one cause/Swift bricks act as universal nest brick for other small bird species) install them in all new developments/extensions to existing dwellings in accordance with best-practice guidance (see Rep for details) RE CP11 Para: 3.59 Brown Hairstreak surveys, not done consistently although there is a policy to survey them around Bicester area. Several planning applications for large developments where these surveys are not referred to in ecology reports - policy says surveys should be done in all developments but clarification needed whether surveys should be done on green/brownfield sites or all developments of a certain size. CP 12 Biodiversity Net Gain - Para: 3.62 given crisis of biodiversity loss/many species predicted to become extinct the BNG policy must ask for at least a 20% gain in all developments (not just in Nature Recovery Network cores/zones), would bring Cherwell's policy in line with Oxfordshire Local Nature Partnership (plans for new urban extensions should also demonstrate 20% BNG). Imperative that baseline data and BNG calculations/workings available for third party scrutiny. Requirement by Government and Council for BNG surveys/calculations requires Council to provide sufficient ecology hours/funding for larger team of ecologists to assess/advise how development plans can achieve a BNG. Council should insist measures to achieve BNGs are implemented rather than sought, 20% BNG should be standard with higher gains where possible. Why should 'other requirements' be sacrificed? How can degree of success of Ecological Enhancement schemes be followed up over thirty years? Land ownership may change/ management methods may be dropped. CP16: Air Quality growing problem as concentration of traffic builds up in urban areas, more measurement/recording/publication of levels of nitrogen oxides and particulates from exhausts required. Planting scheme in Bicester to mitigate pollution in Kings End AQMA not fully implemented/nor enrichment with planting small green space Kings Avenue/planters along Kings End. Policy should outline planting schemes to reduce air pollution.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

In Bicester, danger that allocating large employment sites south of Chesterton (to accommodate Siemens/warehouse developments along M40/A41 Junc 9) will degrade environment/increase traffic/prevent extensive improvements to Junc 9 M40 (conversion to clover-leaf junction).

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?**11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?****12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?****13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?**

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing numbers derived from HENA overinflated, predicts population of district will grow by nearly 27% by 2040, main area for growth Bicester, town already struggling to build houses allocated in LP 2011-2031 (Bicester 12/13 (Wretchwick Green)/ (Gavray

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Comments affordable Housing is desperately needed - social rented accommodation/truly affordable housing. Developers should offer proportion of affordable houses (even on developments of 10-100 houses). Affordable housing targets should be clearly defined/quantified within plan.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

As well as care home beds/flats for elderly or disabled people there is a need for bungalows where people can access a garden.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?**26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?**

Land east of Charbridge Lane (A4421) to be designated as Local Green Space. It is the eastern section of Gavray Drive Meadows Local Wildlife Site in the Upper Ray Conservation Target Area. The western section in Gavray Drive is already promoted for LGS de

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Comments CP24 Housing density - plan aims for density of 30-45 dwellings per hectare, should be increased for efficient land use/prevent urban sprawl. With good design, small affordable homes can be built - up to 50-70 per hectare in certain circumstances (block of flats/1-2 bedroom terrace houses/near commuter hubs) Re CP 44: Oxford Green Belt - stated feature of Oxford Green Belt should be its permanence - use of brownfield sites/increased housing density be prioritised if Oxford under pressure to build. Green belt essential amenity space, serving many functions, integrity should not be degraded. Increasingly vital as population increases with new housing. Review of Kidlington Science Park should take into account much research is international/modern communication/different countries/physical association of research labs not essential. CP says boundaries in Cherwell will be maintained but further Green Belt boundary changes proposed (employment land near Kidlington) no justification provided/alternatives assessed. Green Belt exists to prevent urban sprawl into countryside, proposed development of The Moors breaks this rule/encroaches northwards into Green Belt/open countryside. The NPPF/Local Plan policies do not allow development on Green Belt, exception where there is genuine need to build which cannot be met elsewhere/development is overwhelmingly in public interest - criteria not met as housing number could be met by increasing housing density on identified sites. Proposal for Bicester Green Belt needs to be revisited, has been dismissed/should be assessed with due diligence. Draft plan refers to a 'linear park' around Bicester, when questioned planning officer said it would be realised by developers providing land within new developments, a policy should be in plan so it is delivered. Local Plan 2011-2031 refers to linear park along Skimmingdish Lane but is now a remote possibility southern side been developed. CP56: Local Green Space comments strongly support proposal to designate Derwent Green/Langford Community Orchard/Gavray Drive Wildlife Meadows as Local Green Spaces in Bicester. A further application been submitted for western portion of Gavray Drive Meadows Local Wildlife site (west of A4421) to be designated as extension to Gavray Drive Local Green Space (fulfils criteria paras 76/77 LGS designation) also supports designation of Bicester Community Wellbeing garden as a LGS.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?**28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?****29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?**

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects, housing policy for Bicester refers to land i.) South of Chesterton/North-West of A41; ii.) South-East of Wretchwick Green - understand application to build on south of Chesterton plot refused by Cherwell Planning Committee so question readdition

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Shortfall in natural green space in Bicester increased since assessed in 2011 (Open Spaces Study) due to development/infilling of smaller sites. Increased population pressure caused degradation of quality of existing green space by pollution/bulky items o

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Planning proposals accepted in principal by Council (large hotel, Innovation Quarter buildings alongside Skimmingdish Lane, Experience Quarter buildings) not followed status of periphery of airfield as Bicester Airfield Local Wildlife Site. Buildings wi

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Appendix 7 indicates several applications for LGS designation rejected, communities would benefit from assistance from Council to understand benefits of LGS designation/ NPPF criteria/how met in application. As applications can only be considered at tim

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-133
What is your name? - Name
Edward Compton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Lives Hanwell fields estate, very isolated from local shops (only a CoOp/pub on estate); this plan prioritising everything in the town centre, but to get to centre have to walk down Southam Road (very busy/loud 40mph traffic) takes 30min each way - no bus

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-134

What is your name? - Name

Anthony Saul

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Objects to the local plan and Concern about the proposed urban growth of Bicester due to damage to villages, traffic levels, and air quality.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

CP 74 - "Site 5 Bicester Council Depot: Council owns this site which is currently used for Council functions" please clarify where on Graven Hill is identified as suitable for new depot. Graven Hill is currently tranquil/residential development and

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-135
What is your name? - Name
Ian Shirvell
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objection to the plan due to the damage growth of Bicester will cause for the villages which border, the impact of traffic on neighbouring villages and the impact on air quality in these villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-136
What is your name? - Name
Wendy and John Castle
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

On proposed development Land North of Moors and proposed expansion of Kidlington/area. In Appendix 2 Key Constraints set out, development of Green Belt only considered in exceptional cases, these constraints present a case for site remaining as Green Be

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-137
What is your name? - Name
Councillor Steve Kilsby
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Banbury Town Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Banbury Town Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Some interested parties, such as the Civic Society, the Historical Society, Age-Friendly Banbury and the newly-formed "Banbury Acting Together" could receive bespoke invitations to comment.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Continuity is most important, and therefore support the retention of earlier, relevant, policies.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Uncontentious.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO9 and SO13 could be more robust. Unless linked to a register of specific locations requiring extreme care in locating development, these are meaningless. SO10 could talk about percentages of social housing. Does not like the term "affordable housing"; i
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Mentions should be made of preference for brownfield sites for housing.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

As the District is a fair performer in employment generation, would say no.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.**10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?**

In agreement, if it does not lead to newly created employment generating sites; they are not required if brownfield is used correctly and creatively. Parishes need to produce Neighbourhood Plans. Banbury, one of the largest parishes in the country, has n

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Not sure what "...If a mixed-use scheme is not viable, the extent to which the proposed use generates new employment will be considered" means

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Agrees that new employment opportunities should be "accommodated with least impact on the landscape through the re-use, conversion or adaptation of suitable existing buildings".

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

This is a crucial point. It is going to be increasingly important to provide nurseries, small food outlets, even places of worship. Supports ancillary uses on employment sites for this reason.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

In agreement.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Banbury has never made enough of its Cross, an iconic image. Besides the events and attractions mentioned, there must be a place for developing Banbury itself as a tourist town. Once the Whately Hall and Banbury House Hotels are available again, provision of a tourist information centre near Banbury Cross might be viable?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Banbury town centre retail area should not increase. CDC should resist speculative approaches to develop further out of town shopping and resist individual larger retailers wishing to leave the town centre. There is, as the document implies, plenty of spa

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Questions what is E class?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The methodology for assessing housing need is deeply flawed. Housing need seems to be determined via central government, who determine each District's need via "projected share of employment". The current calculation method devalues local people and puts

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Supports the approach to housing supply, notwithstanding caveats in answer 19.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Banbury Should have a Neighbourhood Plan.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Comments full support.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

That the phrase should be social and not affordable housing.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes, subject to caveats and amended phrase. No question regarding "Housing Mix". Strongly objects to the percentages and finds the "market" percentages too high.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes, but aware that the criteria can be used against such communities who use their initiative to purchase sites later deemed unsuitable by CDC.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The Mineral Railway in Banbury, running between the Warwick Road and the Southam Road, and Neithrop Allotments, Boxhedge Road.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

On CP 58: (ii.) states, an historic building may be demolished if "The building is of no historic or architectural interest OR is wholly beyond repair and is not capable of beneficial use". This seems to go against purpose of a Conservation Area, so conservation team to stop such demolishment if building is neglected.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Site for Banbury United Football Club is paramount and is very pleased to see it recognised here.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, there are plenty of brownfield sites around.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

There are several piecemeal sites for small developments (i.e. 1, 2 and up to 5 properties) in Hardwick and around the Warwick Road area. Otherwise, the main brownfield sites are around Canalside and the industrial estates.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Banbury is in need of a lorry park. Lorries have to park in residential streets due to tachometer requirements. The LP should recognise this need.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Horse Fair and Bridge Street suffer from dreadfully confusing and misleading signage. It is obvious that the Market Place is the true heart of Banbury's retail area. A line about signage in the Local Plan could be very effective in making all parties aler

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

The rural areas strategy really should also apply to Banbury's last remaining separate hamlet of Nethercote. This area should be protected explicitly in the rural area strategy of the local plan.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Yes

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Yes

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Officers need to be proactive in driving the requirements of the Plan.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

There is no definition of "Social housing" in the glossary. Was surprised to see development flanking Wykham Lane west of Salt Way. Whether access is provided or not, residents will use the lane, which would increase traffic on this dangerous and narrow r

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Comments no

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

No

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-138

What is your name? - Name

Dave Rose

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports Local Green Space Designation of Banbury Lane, Nethercote. Highly value area for its beauty/wildlife.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-139
What is your name? - Name
Allan and Lisa Phipps
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Would like to propose Banbury Lane, through Nethercote, as potential Local Green Space (LGS) in recognition of importance to Banbury heritage/biodiversity/provision of accessible green space for residents. Considers that Nethercote meets criteria, is dese

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-140

What is your name? - Name

Karen Rose

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports allocation of local green space to Banbury Lane that runs through Nethercote in Banbury. Used to walk from Banbury to Middleton Cheney - safest/nicest route. Lane with hedgerows/important area for wildlife/should be protected.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-141
What is your name? - Name
Jack Goodman
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Maintaining bus services between Bicester and Oxford via Middleton Stoney, Upper Heyford, Lower Heyford, Caulcott turn, Kirtlington, Bletchington, and Hampton Poyle is critical to the viability of these rural communities.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-142

What is your name? - Name

Frances Maksinski

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to plans for north-west Bicester. Re housing/GP registration and appointments/affordable housing/school places - not enough provision in Plan. Understand houses needed, their provision must be better managed. Insufficient safeguards in to ensur

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-143
What is your name? - Name
Dr Graciela Iglesias-Rogers
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Regarding land behind the Moors - fields in 'Recovery Zone' of Oxfordshire Nature Recovery Network/adjacent to Lower Cherwell Valley Conservation Target Area and setting of River Cherwell Valley - one of last areas of unspoilt/tranquil open countryside surrounding Kidlington, not a 'Grey area'. The fields are relatively small/bounded by hedges/trees/support variety of wildlife (rare species). Area crossed by public/informal footpaths showing how much fields enjoyed for recreation/unique setting for St Mary's Church/archaeological interest (iron Age/Roman/medieval/early modern). Should be designated as Local Green Space (supported by Parish Council 2021 consultation - Council stated then not proposing further residential development in Green Belt). Potential to flooding on adjacent land.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

The Moors is a widely used leisure amenity, with countryside walks to relax and exercise; given the density of housing and lack of green space in Kidlington, not having this area would be a loss to the community, and the main traffic entrance to this area would be opposite the junction with Benmead Road, which would increase risks of accidents and injury to both road traffic and pedestrians.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-144

What is your name? - Name

Ivor Davies

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concern for LPR8a (The Moors) due to its use as a leisure amenity and traffic issues in the area.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-145
What is your name? - Name
Karen Fill
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Questions p.12 under the Bicester subheading, it says 'sustainable Garden Town and its regional and sub-regional location on the Oxford-Cambridge Corridor including and East-West Rail route'; Is there something missing before the 'and' where I have highli

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Although defined in the glossary, there is nothing in the plan to suggest mitigating the effects of climate change on people's lives, apart from the impact on biodiversity and air quality. What is the local strategy for dealing with extreme weather events? The impact on health of rising temperatures? Possible food and water shortages?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-146
What is your name? - Name
Elaine Whittaker
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Good to see mention of active travel/healthy sustainable communities/maintaining existing green spaces/renewable energy. Areas for improvement - some existing cycles lanes do not feel safe (narrow/unprotected - therefore not used by many. No easy cycle

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-147
What is your name? - Name
Jonathan Phillips
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Kelland Discretionary Settlement
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

On behalf Kelland Discretionary Settlement relating to parcel of Land at Yarnton located immediately southeast of 'the Turnpike' Public House on Woodstock Road, site divided into two halves – the 'north-eastern half' and the 'south-western half' (physical

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-148
What is your name? - Name
A J Milne
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

On alternative traffic solutions for Banbury in rep. CP8 Regardinggarding Canalside development. Horton General needs to be a proper General Hospital. Regardinggarding retail in Banbury Town Centre and sensible charging for car parking. What provision guarantee for GP Surgeries in the future?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-149
What is your name? - Name
G A Reynolds
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Drayton Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
DPC happy with plan period but hopes can be adopted asap so there would be no need to extend time frame.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Welcomes review of Cherwell Local Plan and realises amount of work that has already gone into consultation document. DPC hopes it can be adopted as soon as possible.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Supports the vision especially bullet point 7.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Strongly supports Rural Areas bullet point 1.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP 6 Renewable energy - supports this policy but stresses that the adverse impacts in the policy should be rigidly adhered especially when considering large rural solar farms.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

CP 27 DPC concerned about more industrial growth in areas west of village as there are already too many lorry movements through the village.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

CP 28 See comments above.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

CP 30 see comments above.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Concerned about number of extra houses allotted both to rural areas (500) and windfalls (1000) if applies to rural areas. 3.187 Banbury Allocation DPC objected to the 230 houses south of Withycombe Farm within Drayton Parish (accept have now been approved) but object to further sites within parish.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Happy with village hierarchy proposals but Drayton is small rural village (about 100 houses) and Re CP35 it understands it's position in smaller village classification but believes should be in Open Countryside classification due to size. If it remain as

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Re Development Policy 1 DPC supports waste collection/recycling in hope that fly tipping will cease. CP22 - supports policy but stresses need of rural residents who must have cars should not be discriminated against. CP24 - does not support 30 houses per hectare in rural areas (estates of this density will urbanise villages). CP43 - strongly supports policy/expects reasons for refusing applications in paragraph to be rigidly applied. CP45 - considers this most important policy - need to protect the gap between villages and Banbury, hopes rigidly adhered to. CP47 - supports policy but believes present footpaths/pavements need to be maintained as should any new ones. CP57 - supports policy/believes views from Banbury towards The Arch and Wroxton Abbey need protection from development. CP58 - strongly supports this policy.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Strongly supports CP45 - suggests 3.253 example of a gap should include gap between Drayton, Hanwell and Banbury rather than/as well as Bodicote example. CP58 Preserving Conservation Area - strongly supports, should be no building outside villages in con

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

CP86 supports Rural Areas Strategy, concerned re 500 extra for rural houses hopes will be met by specific sites in larger villages. Re Development Policy 7 concerns about exception sites/would only support those within village, sites adjacent to villages sets the principle developments can be used later for commercial housing. Re Development Policies 8 & 9 - supports these policies.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Supports the rural housing proposals but has concerns about exception sites and 500 additional houses.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-150
What is your name? - Name
Tamsin Gowney
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects - houses to be built in Bicester area increased by further 1000 compared to 2014 Local plan. Impact on roads will be considerable (conditions of roads/increased number of residents/Bicester Village add to traffic) Doctors appointments hard to get

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-151

What is your name? - Name

Diane and David Thompson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

On excessive Bicester growth and diminishing town centre. Request to stop any further developments and objection to horrific plans.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-152

What is your name? - Name

Geoff Todd

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Concerning diminishing number of swifts and the request for 'swift bricks' to be included in new buildings. Link to swift conservation website included in rep.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-153
What is your name? - Name
Dr Doyin Atewologun
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Regarding development in Kidlington - overestimation of housing required, should stick to governments standard method of calculation and stop providing housing for Oxford. Questions why using more green belt with particular concerns in relation to the fields behind the Moors. Proposal for the Moors to be designated Green Space. Further land release for housing at Woodstock excessive.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-154

What is your name? - Name

Darren Coggins

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to development between Bicester and Bucknell which would have negative effect on the town. Destruction of green fields and hedgerows and excessive increase in traffic unacceptable.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-155
What is your name? - Name
Prof Daphne Hampson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to building on land behind The Moors Kidlington and unwanted football stadium. Requirement for preservation of local green space and alternative building suggestions in rep. Local Plan suggests area north of the Moors makes 'limited contribution to the Green Belt purposes' - objects to this as area well used for walking/enjoying the countryside.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-156
What is your name? - Name
Linda Newbery
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Need to extend the of provision of Swift Bricks in all new housing. Simple and effective measure.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-157
What is your name? - Name
Julie Wallington
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Older plan should be fulfilled first. Residents of Hanwell View were promised footpath from Hanwell View to Gateway retail park and installation of wooden arches on horse park still haven't been completed.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-158

What is your name? - Name

Veaceslav Apostol

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Disagrees with future plans of new houses in area. Issues with traffic/potholes/bad infrastructure/lack of hospitals/leisure spaces for current residents (increased last few years) what will situation be if another few thousand houses built?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-159
What is your name? - Name
Catherine Loydall
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to proposed planning for Hawkwell development - road infrastructure cannot cope currently, Bucknell suffered with flooding problems (after Ardley incinerator built), no proposal for more public services (doctors surgery's/public transport/increase

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-160
What is your name? - Name
Mr & Mrs Ward
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Concerns regarding development of the green space behind the Moors. Area has been a constant source of pleasure and relaxation. Clear that existing infrastructure is close to capacity and access to any proposed development remain unclear.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-161
What is your name? - Name
Jake Kenworthy
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Current plans would add 1,000 more houses (38%) to original plan where Hawkwell already proposing 3,100. Increase Bicester population by >50% on NorthWest and West sides of town without any plans for additional roads/infrastructure. Extend ecotown area o

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-162
What is your name? - Name
Kerry & Maureen White
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to the proposed Hawkwell development due to extra volume of traffic passing through Bucknell Village. Extra people will add to already crowded town centre and surrounding area.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-163
What is your name? - Name
Alistair Tuffin
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to Hawkwell development and that more infrastructure is needed e.g. roads. Green space should not be built on and public services should cater for all.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-164

What is your name? - Name

Patricia Bartley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Concern over local plan, new infrastructure needs to be planned. Questions problems that existed with Firethorn plans duplicated in local plan. Lives locally, attracted by eco vision of CDC, plans at odds with that vision. Plans would add 1,000 more hous

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-165
What is your name? - Name
Tim Brooks
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
No
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The mention of possible housing provision up to 2045 implies it might take up to 3 more years for plan to be adopted. Should commit time/resources necessary to adopt plan by end of 2024.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
It is well presented/written.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Need to be a professional to understand.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Would benefit from being shorter but elements are good thinking (in particular recognition of Cherwell's rural character/importance of maintaining it must remain central to CDC).
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

The plan makes good reading and given the resource constraints on the Planning Department it is a very creditable piece of work.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

It makes sense.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

It depends, and that 10% is quite a target itself.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

It is entirely sensible.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Absolutely - physical retail is in long-term/irreversible decline nationally due to ecommerce. Former retail premises need to be repurposed (Bristol shown the way) to foster innerurban community.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

It makes total sense.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Inevitable decline in physical retail must be readressed with an increase in residential space. Re 'night-time economy' of Banbury, if this means The Light – fine, if this means flashing blue lights on High Street Friday/Saturday night – questions if this

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

As a rural resident, approached this section with trepidation, but found it coherent/reassuring. Won't be only respondent to note that listing of 'smaller villages' is incomplete.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Yes, please stick to them (avoid battle that led to Inspector rejecting developer's appeal for housing out with the Great Bourton boundaries). Upfront clarity/clear definitions in plan will avoid that kind of attempt at developer profiteering in future.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

This is a good plan.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-166
What is your name? - Name
Kirsty Buttle
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Wardington Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Support the proposals to classify Wardington Parish as a 'Smaller Village' therefore only allowing limited infill development.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-167
What is your name? - Name
Mark Willis
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Ignore Dorchester and stick to the original plan as more houses at Heyford Park with no wider infrastructure will drown residents of nearby villages.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-168
What is your name? - Name
Steve Waterman
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

The rural areas vision is wrong. No need to direct new housing to larger villages where services are under stress. More growth in these villages will change their rural character. New settlements would have benefits; Take pressure off unsuitable existing locations, properly planned service provision and sustainable and environmental benefits could be properly designed.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-169

What is your name? - Name

Edward Pearce

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to Hawkwell development. Traffic in Bicester is bad enough without yet more housing. Would impact countryside and saturate already stretched GP services.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-170

What is your name? - Name

Pam Hughes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

A particular piece of open space at the end of Derwent Road, Bicester is a safe place where children play on/enjoy summer days, keep as a lovely green space for many years, don't let it be built on please keep it for the residents to enjoy .

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-171
What is your name? - Name
Chris Harvey
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Bicester grown hugely/Launton also grown, re proposal to build thousands of new homes in both Bicester and Launton, protest at this destruction of green spaces and for Bicester to envelop surrounding villages. High Street in Launton in need of repairs, mo

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-172
What is your name? - Name
Nigel Woodgate
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to Hawkwell development. Constant building has gone way beyond what local roads and services can cope with. It will not be Eco friendly, as with Elmsbrook – no solar panel in sight!

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-173
What is your name? - Name
Chris Stonham
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP 11 Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity - concerned about declining local population of swifts (now on the endangered/species in trouble red list). Backs Chris Mason's (Cherwell Swifts) comments in support of swift bricks to help protect/encourage biodiversity. Welcomes reference to "nest boxes" on page 35 but important these are a long term provision for endangered species (ie swifts/house sparrows). Requests swift bricks are installed in all new developments, including extensions, in accordance with best-practice guidance.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-174
What is your name? - Name
Moira Speakman
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Opposes to building behind the Moors. Sewers would not cope with such volumes of water. Area used by walkers as no park in Kidlington.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-175
What is your name? - Name
Rev'd Felicity Scroggie
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Team Rector St Mary's Church
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Supports Moors development. Housing should be for people living and working in and around Kidlington. Younger generations of parishioners with roots in Kidlington have to move out as they cannot afford the housing. There are fewer support services for families. Children's centre closed 6 years ago and had the church not provided support there would be almost nothing for pre-school families. Key workers cannot afford to live in the village. Support for new housing on the site north of The Moors, especially affordable and social housing. If a new development were to take place, it would be beside St. Mary's and I would be interested in the church having nomination rights over a proportion of affordable/social housing. The church would be interested to explore appointing a community worker to build community among the new residents and to live on site. The Church would be unable to pay a salary large enough for the applicants to afford housing here. If we could provide subsidised or free accommodation as part of the package we would be more likely to be able to achieve this for the good of everyone.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-176

What is your name? - Name

Helen Keeble

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Bicester needs at least two more NHS Dentist surgeries/at least one more primary and secondary school/another doctors surgery. Parking in town centre is overloaded, no maternity services - North West Bicester does not need 7000 more houses. Previously o

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-177

What is your name? - Name

Yvonne Appleby

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Proposed development at The Moors - Council stated in 2021 consultation it was not proposing further residential development in Green Belt around Kidlington. Concerns re road safety: The Moors not a wide road, proposed entrances to new housing estate will cause increase in traffic at The Moors/surrounding roads (including Benmead Road, Orth Kidlington Primary School located), roads not designed for another 600 cars each day. Planners think people will use public transport but in reality they do not, public transport unreliable (Oxford Bus Company stopped serving area). Children use roads, currently a safe route, will be opposite. Re infrastructure: Kidlington had new build passed for several dwellings which reached target for area, increasing this further will put strain on services already over-stretched (Doctors surgeries, schools, etc) not a quick fix. Traffic already causing reduced air quality to Oxford/Banbury Road dwellings due to idling cars, planned further development of area around Langford Lane/Oxford Science Park/Oxford United Stadium will put additional pressure on existing transport issues, a further 300 dwellings will add to problem. Re recreation; Bury Moor fields used for dog walking/rambling/walks to The Jolly Boatman/Annie's tea rooms taking away this Green belt land would stop that. People using these fields gain access through field entrances from The Moors - needs a footfall count to demonstrate how many people's lives this proposal would affect. Re Wildlife: fields/hedgerows home to wildlife, concern that driving them out of homes will result in more roadkill - affecting wildlife/safety impact on local road users. Houses backing onto Bury Moor fields have frequent visits various wildlife (see Rep for list), pond opposite the end of Benmead Road home to Great Crested Newts. Re Flooding: great concern, increase in flooding in this area in gardens/driveways along The Moors due to low lying land, happening more across these fields as global warming has its effect. With the run off from removal of the natural drainage the fields create, flooding will increase along The Moors & Mill Street. Junction of Mill Street and Mill end has flooding issues for years, resulting in road being closed, no solution been found to ongoing problem (see Rep for example). Old Kidlington unique, provides area of green land to residents. Village lost much surrounding country side (see Rep for list), a point at which sprawl stops, perhaps a 'new' village created between Banbury & Kidlington to address housing needs. Why label land as 'Green Belt' when purpose is to protect areas from development, yet be ignored by planners. Fields in recovery zone of Oxfordshire Nature Recovery Network/lie adjacent to Lower Cherwell Valley Conservation Target Area and setting of River Cherwell Valley. An element of development will be labelled social/affordable housing but still be beyond budget of young people in Kidlington so is likely to serve Oxford residents than villagers. Much of approved development in Kidlington already allocated to Oxford.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-178
What is your name? - Name
Tom McCulloch
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Community First Oxfordshire
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

CFO has had regard to potential implications of policy proposals for communities across district and to where Neighbourhood Plans can add more detail (not exclusively, at level of smaller settlements/rural areas). Would emphasise, as cross-cutting themes

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP6 might encourage PV arrays/turbines in countryside (opposed to more desirable outcomes eg siting on buildings) should be recognition that over time technology may mean less land required. In these circumstances planning conditions should ensure sites restored to appropriate biodiversity-based uses. Development of energy projects should achieve BNG above 10% (especially where proximity with/supporting areas of Nature Core/Recovery Areas as identified in Local Plan). Re CP7, measures to achieve slow release of surface waters should include re-engineering watercourses away from canalized formats to provide meanders/associated areas such as former ox bow lakes/ponds/balancing ponds for water storage. Once land is restored to a naturalized environment, BNG benefits should accrue delivering key aspects of Local Plan vision. CP11 addresses balance between accommodating economic growth/enhancing biodiversity, important that infrastructure is planned not only to protect existing biodiversity hotspots but to not erode their capacities (eg isolating habitats via new roads). Where development imposes potential loads on important/designated sensitive areas (eg SACs), may be a case for developers to create SANG land to mitigate impacts. CP12 in rural locations/villages, urban extensions/new settlements development proposals should deliver significantly higher BNG enabling nature core/recovery zones to be positively assisted from earliest stages (such schemes not address issues at latter stages of development but in a phased way within schemes and up front where general public and BNG benefit advantages to be gained). CP18 text refers to Dark Sky places - should be noted that where Neighbourhood Plans define Dark Sky policies this should give effect to Local Plans/some NPs may even promote a vote on issue of keeping street lighting/external lighting such as on outbuildings out of a village.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Supports CP31 but notes where tourism hot spots emerge (eg Bicester Outlet Village) visitor numbers may put pressure on housing supply – consider policies to address second homes/serviced accommodation etc. Changes in Permitted Development Rights may weaken existing local centres due to need for planning permission for changes of use not being required. A sustainable tourism research study would be useful (perhaps commissioned county-wide via the Future Oxfordship Partnership).

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

CP35 opportunity to redesignate hierarchy taking into account sustainability; as it stands, the policy risks being undermined, large numbers of new homes overstretch infrastructure. Approach should examine scope for vacant buildings/conversions/second h

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

CP36 - where new affordable homes are provided these are often a small proportion of those sold off over the same period. Monitoring this is essential to test whether the supply is actually falling over time. Developers should agree wherever possible to provide affordable homes through community land trusts so the supply is not weakened overall (important as Registered Providers unlikely to come forward for schemes where under 20 affordable homes available as under pressure to repair existing retained stock/funding for new build is restricted as result).

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP19 former brownfield land may be contaminated/removal of material during development may require replacement for allotments/gardens/biodiversity. Soils taken from greenfield sites being development could be stored/reused on brownfield sites. CP21 Neighbourhood Plans should be able to elaborate Local Plan policy by scoping where EV points sited etc. CP24 Brownfield/PDL – minimum densities supported but where public transport corridors need to be viable the minimum should be 60 dph close to routes to encourage take up. CP43 essential that design incorporates natural landscape features where possible - veteran/ancient trees/hedges/woodlands/ponds be registered (natural assets register) and where there is a Neighbourhood Plan these need to be considered when an application came forward in NP area. CP45 very important policy to protect physical/visual separation between settlements. CP46 emphasis needs to include Design Codes in Neighbourhood Plans. Focus on 20-minute neighbourhoods/circular economy is supported, densities may be set in NPs that reflect character/identity of settlements. CP48 PROW essential large developments in particular provide easy access to countryside/encourage active travel/creating safe green corridors, good for biodiversity too. 5 minutes walking to countryside ideal, also in line with healthy Place Shaping principles (see CP50). CP54 valued community assets (including commercial) need to be considered under Asset of Community Value protection and prevented from being converted under new PDR rules (Article 4 Directions applied where at risk). CP55 no mention of stewardship arrangements being a requirement (refers to maintenance only, could be only management company in place/no funds, with residents being subject to service charge only). Where there are large assets (woodlands etc.) these should be covered by long-term endowment funding (see Rep for details). CP56 matters of concern in Local and Neighbourhood Plans, latter can elaborate on these to inform strategic/non strategic sites.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

CP66 states 'The Council will seek contributions towards the' the centre would benefit from further investment into its public realm/quality of environment to create a more attractive/distinctive space. A Public Realm Strategy would be recommende

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Major growth will occur in Bicester with c10,000 homes scheduled. Bicester Area Strategy proposals as set out in the plan will require substantial funding including under a stewardship scheme. Plan sets out a proposed distribution of new housing across t

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Significant growth area north of Oxford, more housing planned, Kidlington may become a congested suburb. Losses of character will need substantial investment in blue green infrastructure, the 20-minute neighbourhood and healthy placeshaping. There is limited comment on latter and a key component stewardship for long-term maintenance is not referenced (essential otherwise separate sub areas will set up own management companies/arrangements). Service charges not a recommended way forward when trying to integrate a place. Once plan for placemaking established the items can be costed and an endowment basis worked out. CIL and S106 will need to be applied.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

As a new settlement, Heyford Park already had substantial development/land allocated in current Local Plan - not sensible to allocate further land for development, no further need - self-contained settlement should be ring fenced to prevent sprawl/congestion.
If case for more housing new station at Ardley should be facilitated by developer through contributions ahead of development - if not feasible settlement should be limited in scale/substantial employment areas proposed excessive in terms of community most likely be commuters to Bicester/Oxford. Does not merit more housing to support more infrastructure as would be contrary to usual needs based approach to infrastructure provision. Car borne traffic restricted through measures such as safe walking routes, segregated cycleways both within the settlement/routes outside settlement, funded through developer contributions. 20-minute neighbourhood principles should apply in all placemaking here.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Provision of new housing across existing villages should highlight affordable housing. Cap on growth in larger villages be introduced where have delivered many units in recent past. Where new homes are proposed, there should be RES sites/higher level of

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-179
What is your name? - Name
James Hanks
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Concerns re: Harkwell development. Land is currently valuable farmland and green space. Bicester does not have the infrastructure to support a development of this size. Thames water cannot cope without regularly discharging untreated sewage into our loca

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-180
What is your name? - Name
Ursie Phayre
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Solar panels on rooftops of warehouses etc. before filling green spaces.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Objection to building north of The Moors.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Suggests reopening Kidlington railway station to ease congestion.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-181
What is your name? - Name
Mark Garrett
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports designating Nethercote a Green Space. The hillside between Nethercote and Overthorlpe provides some solace from the car congested town and a good habitat for wildlife.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-182
What is your name? - Name
Andrew Hallsworth
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects to Hawkwell development, it would create significant traffic problems for Bucknell which does not have pavements on half the roads, causing risks for pedestrians. The existing infrastructure would not be able to cope with this level of demand fro

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-183
What is your name? - Name
Jenny Leighton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objection to proposal to urbanise areas such as Caversfield with a proposal of 7000 new homes and a potential 14000 new cards with no road upgrades. How is this to be resolved?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-184
What is your name? - Name
David Beck
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Horley Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Horley PC has no strong feelings as long as the plan meets the vision.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
No
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
They are too high level rather than specific.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

There is no obvious support for small local businesses.
6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?
No
7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?
No
8: Should we identify further land for employment?
For local small businesses.
9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.
No
10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?
There should be more focus on local small business including active travel arrangements.
11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?
The Banbury site is too small and needs infrastructure to support it.
12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?
These sites should be used for local small businesses.
13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?
Comments in support
14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?
Comments in support
15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?
16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Concerned that it is larger than government recommendations.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Brownfield sites should be used and ensure rural areas remain rural.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

No, because there is a need for the relative size of the community to the size of the location area.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

General support.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, there is already enough.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

There is a real need for another M40 Junction South of J11 to support the proposed development.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Use infill development only, no new development sites.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Yes

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

No

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

No

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-185
What is your name? - Name
Gary Crone
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Strongly objects to proposal to develop land at bottom of Benmead Road and behind The Moors. Proposed area used for recreation/wellbeing/habitat for wildlife. Understands the need to provide housing but unsupportive of location. One of last accessible Green Spaces reached within walking distance of Kidlington (areas next to canal also to be built on). Will lead to increased road use - more houses more cars/delivery vans/local residents will need to travel to exercise/enjoy outdoors. Goes against policy to reduce use of vehicles/roads not designed to cope with this increase in traffic.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-186
What is your name? - Name
Stephen Barrington Harness
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Defence Infrastructure Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Secretary of State for Defence
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
If brought forward, it may have implications for MOD sites. Matters of National Security should be considered by recognising that MOD establishments are of strategic military importance. As part of the planning of those developments the MOD is consulted.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

There is considerable scope for development, including for services families housing on existing MOD sites around Bicester in particular. The provision of such key local working housing for military in close proximity to operational facilities represents

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

On suggested policy on MOD Establishments: New development at military establishments that helps enhance or sustain their operational capability (including military housing) will be supported. Redevelopment, conversion of change of use of redundant MOD sites and buildings will be supported. Non-military or non-defence related development within or in the areas around a MOD site will be supported where it would not adversely affect military operations or capability, or it can be demonstrated that there is no long a defence or military need for the site. (Reference to NPPF paragraph 97 and 187, MOD transport, Noise and Neighbourhood Plan requirements included in rep.)

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-187

What is your name? - Name

Nick Appleby

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concerns over proposed development on fields behind The Moors - understands Kidlington exceeded requirement of housing provision laid down by Government, but proposing further development on Green Belt land... As Council outlined in draft plan (pg 260), this development should not go ahead on green belt land. Fields used for walks/route to Hampton Poyle to avoid busy roads/valuable recreation area for walkers/access to local pubs/tea rooms. Fields full of wildlife/surrounded by hedgerows (proposed re-planting cannot compensate for that will be lost). Road capacity won't deal with volume of traffic. Re public transport - train station at opposite end of village, busses unreliable, so cars will still be used. Fields closer to the river will still be accessible by foot but children will have to cross busy/dangerous roads to access them. Increase in roadkill with wildlife being driven out of natural habitat. Traffic already an issue/additional cars will add to that, resulting poorer air quality for existing residents. End of Mill Street has a flooding problem, known to extend into Cherwell estate, with so much green space being built on this will only become more of a problem (puts local business at risk too). No proposals for additional schools/Doctors surgeries.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-188

What is your name? - Name

Kerry Walker

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concern over plans to build on St Mary's field and hopes permission won't be granted for this. Please protect this precious green space.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-189
What is your name? - Name
Pauline Wyman
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Regarding Land behind the Moors, this land should remain as green fields of the moors/church. Objects to further development negatively impacting countryside/air quality/habitats for wildlife and walks for locals/those from further afield. Need homes but plenty of brown sites to consider before building here.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-190

What is your name? - Name

Marg Thorne

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports the Local Green Space Designation of Banbury Lane, Nethercote. Valued for its variety of wildlife/ridge & furrow fields/ancient hedgerows/used by dog walkers/cyclists/birdwatchers. Helps with mental/social/physical wellbeing.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-191

What is your name? - Name

Deborah Cousins

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Echos Bucknell Parish Councils objections to North West Bicester development as wrong side of town (South West links to M40 and A34), a disaster unless roads upgraded to bypass town and Bucknell. Proposals will lead to more traffic on town end of Bucknel

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-192

What is your name? - Name

Stewart Longhurst

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Green belt land behind The Moors, Kidlington.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Concerned re parcel of land North of The Moors.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Too much greenbelt being sacrificed, in danger of losing the character and separate identity of the village. Impact to environment and wildlife habitats.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Development at The Moors would have a significant impact on already busy road. During periods of heavy rainfall, gardens backing onto the proposed development become waterlogged with surface water run off. Taking away cultivated land could see serious flooding.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

2021 consultation - land north of the Moors was discounted and CDC was not proposing any further residential development in the Green Belt around Kidlington. The village does not need any more houses built.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-193
What is your name? - Name
Clare and Mike Harris
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to development of land behind The Moors, and queries why the housing numbers have been increased.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-194

What is your name? - Name

Alison Scarlett

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to planning permission for houses on green fields between The Moors and the church. Brownfield sites can be regenerated. Green space around Oxford are disappearing; green spaces needed for residents to enjoy in their stressful lives. Heavy traffic.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-195
What is your name? - Name
Stella Job
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

CP2-4 It is great that core policies are very positive in addressing climate change but don't go far enough. New builds need to be net negative and generate more renewable energy. CP6 New industrial buildings and car parks should be fully covered with solar PV panels. Botley West PV proposal supported.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP21: Reduction in school bus provision to Marlborough School, Woodstock, leads to increased traffic as parents drive children to school. Would suggest free or low cost public transport for all school children.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objection to North of Moors development which seems at odds with the Kidlington area strategy CP76. The huge amount of building already proposed is enough.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-196

What is your name? - Name

Dee Bailey

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Eco-Town plans for "Hawkwell Village" 10,000 homes is madness, will totally destroy remaining countryside on this side of Bicester. Green spaces disappearing faster than recognized by CDC – trees being chopped down in anticipation of building applications

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Shortage of parking at busy times of day. Long-term parking too far from Sheep Street for elderly during day and along dark roads/alleys at night. Parking place behind what is now Poundland - if developed for housing mean even less parking at this end of

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Regarding former RAF Bicester Site - very little "Heritage" left on site/all becoming about "Motion" – increased noise (especially on Scrambles/Festival weekends). Questions whether planes/vehicles noise has been adequately tested in area they directly fl

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Consider issue to be that ideally should put roads in first and then houses, so roads connect and travel times/congestion avoided (ie Milton Keynes). New estates need to be planned as above and rest of Bicester needs proper connections. Eastern By-Pass

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-197
What is your name? - Name
Simon Dackombe
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Thames Valley Police
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Thames Valley Police
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Thames Valley Police
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
No
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
The Vision should include reference to the need to create safe communities.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Theme three amended to read; Building Healthy, Safe and Sustainable communities. Reflected in SO11.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

No

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Para.3.287 should be amended to: Our approach to infrastructure planning will seek to identify the infrastructure required to meet growth, and support site allocations. We will ensure delivery by: Working with partners, including central Government and other local authorities to provide physical, community and green infrastructure; Identifying infrastructure needs and costs phasing of infrastructure delivery, funding sources and responsibilities for delivery; Updating our Developer Contributions SPD, and Ensuring that development proposals demonstrate that infrastructure requirements can be met including the provision of transport, education, health, emergency services, social and community facilities.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Notes that comments from TVP relate solely to issues surrounding the provision of infrastructure to ensure delivery of safe communities, separate comment regarding issues around designing out crime and crime prevention design will be submitted also.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-198
What is your name? - Name
Ian Sloan
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Bankier Sloan Chartered Surveyors
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Re CP27 - delighted to see diversification of rural buildings to create employment, which are some of the finest developments within district found away from Banbury/Bicester, do not believe that wording of proposed CP 27 will allow for future expansion o

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-199

What is your name? - Name

Alan Dobson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Understands Cherwell revised Local Plan/consulting on proposal to build 300 houses behind St Mary's Church. Objects to decision, if proposal goes ahead will destroy view of Old Kidlington from open fields/reduce access to countryside. Kidlington suffered from urban sprawl for 30 years. Question Cherwell's building on prime agricultural land over brownfield sites available.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-200

What is your name? - Name

Kate Spencer

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports the Local Green Space Designation of Banbury Lane, Nethercote. Valued for dog walking/peace and quiet/looking out over fields. Ridge and furrow should be designated historic/rare now. Opposes to building on it, better to protect rural spaces t

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

CP66 - no new housing/commercial areas should be built without cycle paths/bus routes linking them safely to town centre. Town Centre - Free parking/improved bus services are essential to rejuvenate the town centre.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-201
What is your name? - Name
Steve Fisher
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

It's stated that some housing is to meet Oxford's unmet housing needs, yet considerable doubt about accuracy of Oxford's stated figures. The 4,000-plus houses in pipeline will put strain on local infrastructure. Three years ago Green Belt Boundaries wer

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to land behind The Moors being identified as suitable for housing development. Consider - access to new building sites via The Moors, will create more traffic problems on already congested route (traffic calming measures installed). If OUFC proposal approved it will leave this area as only large area of unspoilt green space around Kidlington. It is used regularly by people and has an abundance of wildlife. Area is in Recovery Zone of Oxfordshire Nature Recovery Network. In 2021 Council stated it was not proposing further residential development in Green Belt around Kidlington.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-202
What is your name? - Name
Bryant and Margaret Ambrose
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to proposal to build on The Moors - removes a significant amount of Green Belt land, in addition to that being lost by proposal to build stadium by OUFC. Green Belt as 'lungs' for urban areas/prevent sprawl. It would make more sense to review provision in existing areas earmarked for development/brownfield sites. Kidlington Development Watch's proposal for designation of the land as a 'Local Green Space' has clearly been ignored in the proposal. Kidlington lost green space to development previously and now more has been proposed. Increases in traffic using road as rat run and parking, particularly outside houses, are problems which would be exacerbated by development. The Moors has historical significance/natural habitat/haven for wildlife/agricultural in nature/provides 'lungs' for this part of Kidlington/uninterrupted routes via paths to River Cherwell/Hampton Poyle/Canal basin. Views across to St Mary's Church special/ appreciated. Principles Council propose in relation to Heyford Park development should be observed, key element of retaining 'green lungs' (strange principle not applied to The Moors). Aspiration to have traffic free areas/encourage cycling but reality is that this is not going to happen in significant way to make a difference (older people not possible). Bus service requires considerable investment to replace use of cars. A number of bungalows converted into flats provides additional housing but many older do not want to live in flats. Fees associated with moving into retirement homes a disincentive thereby increasing pressure on availability of bungalows. Planners approving their subdivision should be urgently reviewed.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-203
What is your name? - Name
Ron Turner
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Agrees in general with the Strategic Objectives/Core Policies which seem to aim in right direction/seek to protect the physical environment.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Excessive building is profit-driven/adds vulnerability/not creating green community homes. It is crucial to be able to grow our own food/get into nature for physical/mental health - farmers should be protected by rules/existing farmland retained wherever possible. Oppose removing mature trees/ancient woodlands/increasing carbon in air. Wildlife fading - nowhere really protected. Over-development creating community/resource over-whelm yet ever higher housing quotas/new planning applications for executive houses in one location. Would like Government to make new Environmental Planning law and update National Planning Policy Framework to make Planning Law fit for a climate crises/close environmental loopholes that allow system to be developer-led. Should look at Brownfield sites and "real" affordable starter homes. All planning applications should be subject to environmental policies re environmental housing/protecting farmland/VAT. Need a policy that rejects applications that adversely affect existing community services/facilities.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-204

What is your name? - Name

Victoria Campbell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land North of The Moors should be a designated green space, as supported by Kidlington Parish Council.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

The 4400 houses already approved will put pressure on already fragile road network, infrastructure and facilities. Question why additional housing is now required and how further revision of the green belt boundaries is justified.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

A preferable site for residential development would be the Fire Station/Sorting Office, both of which could potential be relocated to sites such as Langford Lane, Glebe House on Mill Street.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Exeter Close is a vital community leisure space, particularly for younger generations. The GP surgery and Exeter Hall areas could be improved but any loss of green space should be avoided. Hedgerows along Crown Road should be protected and enhanced. Par

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-205

What is your name? - Name

Steven Daggitt

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to proposed designation for housing/associated development of Green Belt land behind The Moors. Land has status of Green Belt for good reasons (area for walking/enjoyment of countryside/part of setting of grade-1-listed St Mary's church). Large parts of Green Belt around Kidlington already designated for development. Green Belt loss only to be considered in exceptional circumstances - doesn't feel there are any. Targets for housing already exceed national Government targets. Development would create increased traffic congestion in area, does not have road/junction capacity to bear it.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-206

What is your name? - Name

Patricia Clissold

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Re CP11 - concerned about declining local population of swifts. Welcomes reference to "nest boxes" on page 35 but important there be long term provision for endangered species (swift/house sparrows). Swift bricks universal nest brick for small bird species, therefore request that swift bricks installed in all new developments (including extensions) in accordance with best-practice guidance.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-207

What is your name? - Name

Ron Turner

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Deddington Development Watch

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

There should be a policy to reject applications which affect existing services or facilities for the existing community where there is little or no prospect of those facilities being improved.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-208
What is your name? - Name
Neville Royce
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Regarding plans for future development of NW Bicester. Concern over housing scheme not bringing facilities, no time to guarantee schools/medical centres/roads/shops. Before consent given to Hawkwell etc. fulfil promises/make others do likewise otherwise

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-209
What is your name? - Name
Deborah Critchley
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Somerton Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Plan rightly looks to future however to what extent does it acknowledge how society is likely to change in this period. Questions how robust data sources underpinning the plan are (eg HENA data/government recommended standard methodology). Questions what
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The plan is long/text rich/not inviting to read. Questions how accessible the plan/consultation process is to those unable to read/write/whose first language is not English/learning disabilities. Use of pictures/summary bullet points would be helpful.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Proposal is to replace most plans/no rationale for this other than to be able to respond to challenges associated with climate change/enhance biodiversity, therefore difficult to comment on issue. Up to date evidenced based policies will need to support
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Question/answer format is helpful.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Overall the vision covers key issues. Could be more embracing of diversity.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
It would be more reassuring to see an objective specifically relating to maintain/support rural villages.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Cherwell should not take Oxford overspill of housing needs until all vacant dwellings/possible development opportunities in Oxford been exploited. There needs to be sufficient affordable housing/social rents so people do not have to move out of familiar areas to afford properties. New builds should be built fit for future/support climate change. Developers should be incentivised so costs are not passed onto buyers. Where possible, energy developing sources to be installed on roads/pavements/signage etc. Safe cycle paths/walkways/bridle paths etc. created and maintained. Although flooding has been addressed, new dwellings could create flood risks in new areas if drainage systems are not robust - this needs to be considered/avoided.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes, a minimum of 20% with the implications made explicit.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Proposed policy is weaker than the existing Local Plan policy and should be revisited. Will this result in more warehouses that are not needed?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.**10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?**

Employment opportunities need to be created close to where majority live, and preferably existing builds to be considered for use rather than creating sites currently open countryside.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Proposed approach appears reasonable.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

There needs to be a compelling need for development on unallocated sites.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

If this prevents building on unoccupied land it would seem reasonable/hopefully make best use of potential space.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

The proposal for permitting rural diversification seems reasonable, however consideration needs to be given to consequences of additional traffic to these areas/accessibility for those who work in areas where transport links are poor/non-existent.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Whilst tourist development brings opportunities it is not all welcome due to impact on immediate/surrounding areas mainly due to traffic flow.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Proposed approach seems reasonable, retail development needs to be close to where people live to prevent need for cars.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes, there is also a need to avoid unnecessary light pollution.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

HENA assessment appears to over inflate housing needs. Requirements for Oxford should be met within Oxford/not overspill into other areas. Heyford and Bicester developments a concern/could result in loss of demarcations with some villages. Bicester's gr

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Comments see above.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The plan to limit development in rural areas is welcome as is re-categorisation of some villages.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Concerns that suggested policy does not go far enough to deliver sufficient affordable housing. Affordable needs to truly mean affordable to those on low incomes. Need to ensure people can remain in own home as long as possible and not forced into residential/nursing home prematurely. Need sufficient provision to ensure those with learning disabilities/other needs are able to live independently.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Agrees, sacrifices need to be explicit with a clear rationale.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Agrees, yes.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

3 sites at Bicester supported.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Concern that brownfield sites do not appear to have been considered for development, rural villages appear to have been considered in preference (they do not have infrastructure to cope with developments).

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Needs to be a support infrastructure that supports the aspirations. Traffic flow is likely to be big issue, so affordable public transport is required.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Housing development within town centre is most beneficial. Questions how much consideration been given to development of brownfield sites.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Aspirations are good, providing they accommodate local people's needs/do not encourage people to gravitate out of larger cities due to cheaper costs of living.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opportunities within existing town centre should be exploited before exploring further sites.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Questions to what extent brownfield sites have been considered.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Transport schemes need to be affordable to everyone/reliable/available at times of the day/week when most people use public transport as alternative to own transport. Asks if a tram system has been considered.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Proposal for a Bicester green belt needs to be reviewed/assessed.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Promotion of disabled friendly areas.

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

There is future risk that there will be no demarcations between towns and surrounding villages. Increased traffic in rural villages will become dangerous/costly on road/bridge repairs.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Proposed removal of land from Green Belt at Kidlington is unjustified/should be dropped from Plan.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Only if there is a convincing need and a cost/benefit realisation undertaken to support the need/benefits.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Unclear why an amendment to the village boundary is required.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Impact on nearby villages is of concern. Infrastructure required to accommodate additional properties is not there/developers plan for the support required but then it is not delivered - health/education/recreational/transport facilities. Questions to what extent consideration has been given to brownfield site developments.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Objects - further work is required before it is possible to agree/comment in an informed way.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Not without further work/evidence for the need.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

Concern over lack of infrastructure. Current lack of facilities (health/school/recreational/transport). Any phased development should be comprehensively reviewed prior to further development being agreed.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Rural sites/benefits of rural spaces to be protected as much as possible. Number of houses proposed in rural areas is a concern. Development in rural areas may be attractive to developers but doesn't necessarily provide affordable housing for those wishing to live a rural life.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Would be more palatable if Cherwell was not required to take Oxford overspill/a needs assessment review be undertaken. Rural areas may not need to take number of houses proposed/would help environmental issues.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Impact on rural roads/ bridges etc is of concern when surrounding areas are developing/when traffic etc is diverted due to accidents etc.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Measures need to include local input/feedback.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Implementation/effectiveness should be communicated along with being explicit how this is being measured/judged.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Inevitable that plan is big/bulky however this turns people off getting involved. Would be helpful if a summary of key points was available to encourage wider engagement. Unclear how younger people/future generation get their voice heard.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-210
What is your name? - Name
Alan and Cristina Chapman
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Concerns regarding the Grade II listed building, Windmill at Blackthorn Hill and proposed development which sits on its curtilage. On effects of nearby construction to its retaining walls, Conservational perspective, access from A41 and light pollution.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-211
What is your name? - Name
Christopher Stevens
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The Area North of The Moors, Kidlington as supported by Kidlington Development Watch.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Objects to development in Kidlington and further loss of green belt. Further revision of Green Belt boundaries not justified.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-212
What is your name? - Name
Clive Adkins
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Banbury Lane, Overthorpe. It has the last remaining Fudge and Furrow fields in the area which should be preserved. It is an area of considerable beauty and regularly used by locals for rest and recuperation.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-213
What is your name? - Name
Antony & Georgina Douglas
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Objects to proposal of 3600 homes on the outskirts of Bucknell. Green area of outstanding beauty. Loss of much needed farmland. Suggests Heyford Park brownfield site as alternative.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-214

What is your name? - Name

Gaynor Barnes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to proposed Hawkwell development. Infrastructure already compromised. Concerns that affordable housing at Firethorn was reduced from 30 to 10% and plans may change after approval.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-215
What is your name? - Name
Katie Holt
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Objects to building 300 houses on green belt in Kidlington. Well used fields by residents for exercise and enjoyment of nature which is good for physical and mental health. Refuse proposal on behalf of resident of Kidlington.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-216
What is your name? - Name
Chrissy Dove
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to proposed construction on green belt/agricultural land north of The Moors. Detrimental to local area because of increase in traffic, and concern for road safety of cyclists. Probability of flooding and loss of valuable recreational resource.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-217
What is your name? - Name
Rich Tolde
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Regarding proposed warehouse development within Nethercote hamlet's area. Plan risks taking away rural nature of Nethercote/citizens. They regularly walk in area as nearest walking access to countryside. With increasing encouragement to use public tra

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-218

What is your name? - Name

Dr Julia Gasper

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports green space designation at Banbury Lane Nethercote. Nethercote would be poorer for the loss of Banbury Lane.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-219
What is your name? - Name
Dr Adrienne Evans
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Growth needs to be limited and expansion to subsume villages to stop. Many villages have become 'rat runs' because of poor road systems.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, brownfield sites like Heyford Park should be considered.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Concerns about non completion of Howes Lane bypass/underpass. Affordable housing being reduced from 30% to 10%.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-220
What is your name? - Name
Helen Longhurst
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to proposal for building on green belt land north of The Moors. Too much green belt surrounding Kidlington already taken for development of 4,400 houses. Council is providing more houses than necessary/should revise number in line with Governments standards figures. The Moors is a busy road/traffic calming measures already in place. 300 houses will increase traffic with another 600 cars. Significant flooding in fields/gardens, building 300 houses will exacerbate this issue. Cherwell should preserve countryside around Kidlington, as a vital amenity for residents/wildlife. Council should stand by statement made in 2021 consultation - not proposing further residential development in Green belt. Green Belt boundaries should endure beyond lifetime of local plan/major revision made to Green Belt three years ago, further revision not justified.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-221
What is your name? - Name
Maggi Harvey
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposed to proposed development in NE Bicester, due to negative impacts to green land/wildlife. Questions need for schools/roads/increased traffic/local amenities/medical facilities? Many people/cars in area, and only a few of proposed houses will be 'aff

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-222

What is your name? - Name

Frederick Dove

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Objects to construction on land North of The Moors. States that in 2021 CDC it would not propose further house building in Green Belt around Kidlington. There would be a significant increase in traffic. Huge increase in run-off water to back gardens

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-223
What is your name? - Name
Stevie Rudd
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to plans to develop farmland between The Moors and River Cherwell in Kidlington. Residents have little recreation facilities and plans to develop land that provide public footpaths to Thrupp woods will have a negative effect on residents/visitors who use the area for walks. Land also floods regularly and building more houses will have aggravate the flood problems.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-224
What is your name? - Name
Mick Wilton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to 600 houses being built between the Moors and river - would change nature of oldest/most iconic street in village. If new housing is needed, it should be in areas of village which already have that type of housing (Western side) so it is architecturally in keeping. Access to development would overload road capacity of The Moors. To use Green Belt land for such purposes goes against purpose of designating land as Green belt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-225
What is your name? - Name
Stewart Shirvell
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Concern over proposed further urban growth of Bicester which will impact clearly defined historical villages that border Bicester.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Objection to LP that will affect Bucknell. Cut through traffice will be substantially increased with worsening of air quality given already border the M40 and Ardley incinerator.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-226

What is your name? - Name

Maureen Merry

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to The Moors

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-227
What is your name? - Name
Philip Kemp
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Regarding proposed building on green belt land north of The Moors Kidlington - land is part agricultural/part community both well used. To take this away would be a mistake - land floods most winters/building on it will move water table elsewhere (The Moors?). Plans to build both sides of Oxford Road (North Oxford to Sainsburys roundabout), FC Stadium and The Moors will have adverse effect on wildlife in area. Appreciate need for more housing but consider better sites/brownfield. No additional doctors/dentists/schools/shops or will these be included in plans for site? Request to reconsider plans/leave the area for residents to enjoy.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-228

What is your name? - Name

Richard Lodge

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Providing better cycling and walking routes will not make much difference to the traffic congestion that will result from all the new development proposed in the area.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Suggests a policy to limit conversion of existing houses to apartments and re-development of properties such as bungalows to apartment blocks. Such developments lead to parking and traffic problems for neighbours.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to development on green belt. Major revision was made to Cherwell's green belt boundaries only 3 years ago, it is hard to see how further revision can happen now. The fields behind The Moors are one of the last areas of unspoilt, tranquil open countryside surrounding Kidlington which provide a setting St Mary's Church and have archaeological interest. There is a potential to create flooding problems with runoff from new hard surfaces. Brownfield sites such as the one proposed in Heyford by Dorchester Living should be considered.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-229
What is your name? - Name
Ang Turner
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Ensure the protection of villages/surrounding areas. Brownfield sites should be priority (eg Heyford Park). Building £1m executive homes is not solving the housing crisis.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-230

What is your name? - Name

Sophie Roberts

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land behind The Moors, Kidlington

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Regarding proposed development at The Moors. Location is unsuitable - Substantial increase in traffic will create hazardous situation for drivers trying to access/exit area, additional houses will worsen problems (concern re potential accidents/deterioration of quality of life). Crossing A4260 challenging/particularly at rush hours. No safe place to cross between the Moors turning and Langford Lane traffic lights, development will exacerbate problem posing safety risk. Safety of community members a priority. Loss of recreational opportunities: physical/mental health benefits/space for recreation/relaxation/views. Construction period several years, not able to access remaining fields due to construction site. Valuable Community Amenity: one of few unspoiled areas of countryside for residents to enjoy on foot. Protection of Wildlife: home to diverse range of wildlife/vital we protect natural habitats. The field in the 'Recovery Zone' of Oxfordshire Nature Recovery Network. Construction traffic will impact on The Moors, with no alternative access routes. Concern over implications of new bus routes/increasing traffic along North end of Moors with growing number of vehicles/parked cars. A4260 already struggling to cope with existing traffic. Strain on local services (GPs/public transport)/cannot withstand further burdens. Kidlington has significant numbers of planned building works (exceeds Government's standard requirement for housing?). Essential to balance need for new housing with preserving existing communities/amenities. Protection of Green Spaces - need to do more not less to safeguard green ring around Kidlington.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-231

What is your name? - Name

Delphine Penfold

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Concerns re development behind the Moors, North Oxford golf club, opposite Sainsbury's, Oxford United football stadium and Yarnton/Begbroke.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Questions where people are supposed to walk if all the greenbelt disappears. Concerns regarding flooding with climate change. Makes sense to use brownfield sites in the first instance.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-232

What is your name? - Name

Alana Davies

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Concerns regarding development at The Moors, access inadequate, this is recreational area heavily used for walking, exercise and enjoying nature which becomes soggy, muddy and inaccessible during the winter. Insufficient details of improved infrastructure. Plans for enhancing the centre of Kidlington do not embrace the likely needs for shops, restaurants etc. If people only spend their money in Oxford or other large centres, it would result in a dormitory or ghost town not a thriving community. Concern over increase in traffic especially in the light of the proposed football stadium. The bus service has declined, it is less frequent and less reliable.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-233
What is your name? - Name
Mark Harris
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to further development in Nethercote.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-234
What is your name? - Name
Roger Prince
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Questions proposal for more houses than would be case if used "Standard Method" which Government uses, and to provide too many houses on behalf of Oxford City. Development taking place following last review will place strain on transport/infrastructure/lo

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The land behind The Moors, Kidlington should be designated as a Local Green Space following the submission made at the time of the 2021 consultation, which was supported by Kidlington Parish Council.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

In the 2021 consultation, Cherwell Council stated it was not proposing further residential development in Green Belt around Kidlington. Given the amount of Green Belt lost to previous review, Council should stick to that position/remove land behind The Moors as possible site for development - one of the last unsplit sites around Kidlington with footpaths/open views/wildlife. Part of land susceptible to flooding - development would increase risk. The Moors unsuitable for large volume of traffic/used as "rat run", already traffic calming measures in place, not suitable for increase in traffic. Should look at potential to develop brownfield sites (eg Dorchester Living at Upper Heyford) prioritise brownfield sites over Green Belt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-235

What is your name? - Name

Jasper van Thor

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Objects to overdevelopment proposed which will impact Bucknell in dramatic ways. CDC have copied boundaries from the Hawkwell development. This seems to have influence of the developers. There are no proposed road upgrades or sufficient infrastructure.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-236

What is your name? - Name

Tamara Lucatz

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Comments strongly object to exaggerated need for housing and irreversible use of precious green belt protected land, for no real use or need.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Fields being developed on are occupied by countless species of animals/birds - this biodiversity should be valued. An opportunity to lead country in setting an example of respect for diminishing wildlife/biodiversity. Uninterested in benefits of green space to humans/other species and appears to be limited interest in protecting environment for current/future generations.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-237
What is your name? - Name
Paul Cox
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Nethercote Lane/Banbury Lane in Nethercote - would be shame for it to change in any way - habitat for wildlife/used by walkers/joggers/cyclists/close to town used by people on lunch break.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-238

What is your name? - Name

Chris Seal

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

The proposal of the future 'eco' town planning has raised some concerns. Doesn't understand the addition of 1000 more houses to the original plan where Hawkwell proposing 3100. Questions Bicester population increase by 50% on the NW and W sides of town wi

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-239
What is your name? - Name
James Hatherell
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Howkins and Harrison
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Howkins and Harrison
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Writing on behalf of a client who owns a parcel of land north of Hennef Way in Banbury (see Rep for plan) and would like council to consider inclusion of land in Local Plan for employment generating uses. Advise appropriate way to request land to be cons

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-240
What is your name? - Name
David and Louise Walker
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concerned about development of land behind The Moors on greenbelt. Importance of greenbelt cannot be underestimated, lungs of country to help mitigate pollution that additional housing/vehicle access cause. Understand the need for housing but this type is not going to help with need for Affordable homes. With the proposal of football stadium/associated road closures when events are on, the amount of additional housing in area and movement of traffic in/out of Oxford will make Kidlington as a commuter village unsustainable. Area close to Saint Mary's Church is area of outstanding natural beauty/architectural/historic importance. Proximity to Cherwell river/watermeadows adjacent should be protected. Amenity area/valuable green area for wildlife/plants. Previously area discussed/protected by council, should continue with that status for sake of health of community/future generations. Greenbelt boundaries enjoyed beyond lifetime of local plan, revision was made three years ago/further revision cannot be justified.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-241

What is your name? - Name

Fiona Gibson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land North of The Moors Kidlington.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Concern over proposals to build on green belt surrounding Kidlington. Kidlington is a village and not a suburb of Oxford. Concerns that housing has been overestimated. Building proposed on one of the last areas of unspoilt, tranquil open countryside su

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-242
What is your name? - Name
Monica McCrae
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

The Hawkwell development now seems to merge with the NW Bicester development of 7600 homes and no road upgrades.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects to Hawkwell development on the edge of Bucknell. Proposals have now been increased from 2600 to 3600 dwellings. With an average of 2 vehicles per dwelling this will amount to over 7000 vehicles added to already congested roads and no road upgrad

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-243
What is your name? - Name
Cllr George Reynolds
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Cherwell District Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Cherwell District Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Time the Plan covers is not a problem provided it is adopted as soon as possible' if a longer period means a later adoption date then against extending the plan period.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Policies that have served the test of time and are understandable need to be retained.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
As Councillor, represents over 20 villages/hamlets and so the main concern will be to ensure policies in the plan protect/preserve integrity of these villages especially preventing them being joined up to Banbury. Hope plan is easy to understand so Parish
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports Plan's strategy concerning Banbury and Rural Areas. Re renewable energy will support solar panels on buildings (especially industrial) as well as on car parks, etc. Can support small solar arrays in countryside but not large solar farms. Does not support wind turbines.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Any development in rural areas must take account of local concerns on flooding. Supports use of brownfield sites and rural diversification but all developments must take account of local environmental conditions. Opposes 30 houses per hectare in villages (may turn them into mini urban areas) suggests 20-25 more appropriate.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Supports policy on rural tourism.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Supports housing sites proposed for Banbury but would resist further ones especially if they compromised gap between town and villages of Drayton and Hanwell. Not convinced an extra 500 houses are needed in rural areas as many dwellings recently built and larger villages need time to settle. Suggests 400 houses is sufficient.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supports settlement classification although there is a need to clarify villages, hamlets, and parts of village in civil parishes. Supports policy that any development in smaller villages must be modest/proportionate in scale. Re Housing Mix -disappointed

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Re CP45 - most important policy in plan/needs to be rigidly enforced - gaps between Drayton village Hanwell village and Banbury must be protected. Play areas/any recreation provision must involve local Parish Councils, urban provision should concentrate on artificial sports pitches as statutory. Many villages in ward have historic cores, these must be protected from modern development that detract from conservation areas/listed buildings. Oxford canal winds through the ward from Banbury to north of district - fully support CP60.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Supports Banbury area strategy but believes no further allocations needed. Infrastructure, especially highways, must be improved if housing allocated now and in plan are to be built without all services being compromised. Idea that cars will disappear is

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Supports rural area strategy with following reservations - believe 500 extra houses is too many, 400 would be better. Rural exception sites should always be within the village as those outside often lead to unwanted commercial development. Small isolate

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-244

What is your name? - Name

Michael Hennings

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

The NW Bicester Housing plan should not be approved without sufficient affordable housing at 30% nor the realignment of Howes Lane being constructed. Whilst Bicester has expanded beyond recognition over the past 20 years, the main legacy has been insuffi

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-245

What is your name? - Name

Edward Bradley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

On development on The Moors: SO2 The run off rain water would be much increased and the risk of flooding at the eastern end of the Moors would be intensified. SO3 All be endangered and an asset much valued by residents would be lost. SO4 An archaeological

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to development behind The Moors.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-246

What is your name? - Name

Vanessa Johnson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to Hawkwell Village proposal. It is far from a village with 3600 houses planned. The green buffer area has been considerably reduced. The extra land indicated on the 2040 plan must stay as unmanaged space and be protected from any future develop

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-247
What is your name? - Name
Sam Phipps
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports designation of Banbury Lane, Nethercote. Area relaxing, used for walking and home to wildlife.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-248

What is your name? - Name

James Lawson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Concern over plan to build on Green Belt land near the Moors, Kidlington and loss of habitat for wildlife. If more housing is needed, we should be looking at more in brownfield areas .

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-249
What is your name? - Name
Neil Rowntree
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
BBOWT
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
BBOWT
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP1, 2, 3 & 4 welcome inclusion/broadly welcomes content. CP6 - considers policy needs to include content to ensure renewable energy not at expense of biodiversity and projects maximise biodiversity outcomes. CP 10 - welcomes inclusion of this policy. CP11 - broadly welcomes policy/content but has two significant concerns; 'Priority Habitats and Priority Species': unable to find policy relating to subject which consider a concerning matter (see Rep for NPPF quotes), policy required to achieve requirements stated in NPPF. Wording in CP11 insufficient. 'Irreplacement Habitats': considers policy less strong than that NPPF requires. Nature Recovery Network - consider additional policy is needed on this which alongside CTAs represent main ecological networks. Biodiversity in Built Development - important aspect for many reasons. Welcomes content in CP11 however considers it needs greater content to ensure all new development is exemplary in terms of integrating biodiversity features. Would welcome policy on Canopy Cover and Street Trees - to ensure new developments provide high level of street trees/trees in open spaces (see Rep for ref to Wycombe LP and NPPF paragraph 131). CP 12 - requests a minimum of 20% or more net gain across the board to be included in policy inline with Oxfordshires Biodiversity Advisory Group. Paragraph 3.58 - support intention but considers should be backed up in planning policy, at present cant see policy that achieves this. CP13 - welcomes inclusion and content of policy. CP14 - cautiously welcomes policy if it does not in any way undermine policies for biodiversity. Any natural capital approach must appreciate nature has inherent value not always be quantified/scenarios where biodiversity conservation should be pursued even where a natural capital approach would not suggest its prioritisation. CP15 - welcomes inclusion of policy however emphasise that quality of that greenspace is important in benefits it can provide for mental/physical health (see Rep for examples and suggested wording to include). Recommends the policy makes specific, measurable requirements of amount of green space provided in/around new development and quality and wildlife richness of that green space. Specific measurable policies need to be set out that relate to increasing opportunities for exercise in green open spaces/increasing wildlife richness of areas. CP9, 16 & 17 have considerable impact on wildlife/people, planning policy should be provided with clear requirements on developers to ensure that impacts on wildlife from both air/water/noise pollution are avoided wherever possible/minimised. CP18 concerned by implications for wildlife from introduction of lighting through developments, would recommend wording on lighting and wildlife to set out expectations to minimise impact of lighting on wildlife.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Very limited capacity to assess proposed site allocations, not a full response just headline points where aware of particular issues. Raised concerns about a number of sites and may on receipt of further information object to additional site allocations

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

CP 66 welcomes statement: "Deliver an improved and enhanced green infrastructure network across the town, including access to green spaces, the Oxford Canal and River Cherwell corridor, and children's play space, allotments and community gardens;" and CP6

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Had very limited capacity to assess proposed site allocations, not a full response just headline points where aware of particular issues. Raised concerns about a number of sites and may on receipt of further information object to additional site allocat

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

CP73: welcomes reference to green infrastructure in Bicester Area Strategy but considers reference should be made to maximising wildlife value of these green spaces where possible for biodiversity reasons and positive role in mental/physical health. Cons

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Had limited capacity to assess proposed site allocations, not a full response just headline points where aware of particular issues. Raised aised concerns about a number of sites and may on receipt of further information object to additional site allocations over/above those objected to at present. South-East of Woodstock - concerned by allocation due to value of some of the on-site habitat and proximity to nearby SSSI. North of the Moors - concerned by allocation and object to it being taken forward. Takes Kidlington further towards Lower Cherwell Valley CTA and directly into NRN Recovery Zone. Consider this extension to Kidlington presents a considerable risk to wildlife of CTA, the river valley/protected sites through increased recreational impact, hydrological impact, air pollution, ecological isolation and from impacts of urbanisation on species that are not adapted to tolerate such urbanisation. Potential expansion of Begbroke Science Park - concerned by the potential for impact on Rushy Meadows SSSI amongst other sites, impact must be avoided.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

CP80 welcomed but consider reference should be made to maximising wildlife value of these green spaces wherever possible, both for biodiversity reasons and positive role to play in mental and physical health.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Had very limited capacity to assess proposed site allocations, not a full response just headline points where aware of particular issues. Raised concerns about a number of sites and may on receipt of further information object to additional site allocat

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?
65: Do you have any comments on these measures?
Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?
66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?
Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?
Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?
Regarding Site Allocations - only have limited capacity to assess individual sites - not clear what level of ecological assessment has so far been carried out with respect to proposed site allocations. Prior to adoption of any site a full initial assessment of the ecological value should be undertaken to inform allocation of sites to determine whether appropriate in terms of biodiversity impacts. Would expect planning applications for sites to be judged robustly against policies of Local Plan and National Planning Policy Framework. Impact on protected species, designated sites and any Species and Habitats of Principal Importance for Conservation in England (listed under Section 41 of NERC Act (2006) that may be affected will need to be assessed in relation to planning applications on these sites, will require a full suite of surveys/assessment to be submitted with any actual development planning applications. Some sites wintering bird surveys will be needed in addition to breeding bird surveys. Most recent ecological records should be sought from TVERC in addition to ecological surveys being carried out in the right survey season. Priority habitats: some of sites proposed for allocation include priority habitats/have priority habitats nearby, where site can be taken forward priority habitats must be retained in full/managed in perpetuity for their wildlife and impacts must be avoided for those on/off-site. They should also be buffered with seminatural habitat (suggests minimum buffer of 30m for irreplaceable habitats and 50m where habitat is within a designated site such as SAC, SSSI, LWS and BNSO. Other priority habitats except for hedgerows should have a minimum buffer of 15m. Hedgerows - large proportion of proposed sites include hedgerows, vast majority will be priority habitats (or habitats of principal importance under the NERC Act (2006). Hedgerows should be retained/developments placed between hedgerows rather than removing (see Rep for detailed management).
Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-250
What is your name? - Name
David & Jenny Yates
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
The proposed developments in rural areas would alter the landscape of the environment, all proposed rural development should be confined to Heyford Park brownfield site.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO3 is not achieved by allocating development on greenfields rather than brownfield furst. SO4 - CDC lacking sound record of such housing provision. SO7 - CDC should be proactive in reducing class sizes to encourage and facilitate learning.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

On rural areas p13, wording should be 'an availability of wider services' as there is a difference between services being present and being available if all capacity is taken.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

There is no mention of Hydrogen as a form of heating, alternative fuel or for vehicles. As CP22 focuses on EV infrastructure it misses an opportunity for alternative fuel. On CP24, many larger villages do not have brownfield sites so the brownfield register should be used for new development and only when this is exhausted should greenfield be considered. The mention of nest boxes being used is welcomed but is overlooked by the biodiversity net gain calculations, and the policy would be effective if it was specific. More details re swifts in the rep.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

It should not mean the proliferation of farm buildings being converted for residential use with access off country lanes then followed by requested for additional farm buildings on the same site. The expansion of rural garden centres with large poly tunnels should not be encouraged as they do not provide employment/ training for local people.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The assessment of larger villages is not shown and that there is a difference between a facility being present and having the capacity for increased use.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Allocation for rural areas could be accommodated at Heyford park. Questions if part could include a self-sustainable development with little impact on wastewater infrastructure.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

In support of these views.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

It is fine but notes that it is the detail and the planning decision where policies appear to diverge.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-251

What is your name? - Name

Serena Mason

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land North of The Moors, Kidlington.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to The Moors as it is in the green belt, a flood plain and home to wildlife. The Moors is not suitable for any more traffic and local services are stretched.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-252
What is your name? - Name
Gordon Tasker
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concerned over proposed plan for the Moors in Kidlington - used for recreational purposes/important area for enjoying outdoor environment. Number of times in last few years the fields flood/water stretches from river Cherwell almost to church.
Kidlington would be much poorer place if this land was taken away.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-253
What is your name? - Name
Jill and Alan Peck
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Contest Chesterton meeting the criteria of a more sustainable village. It is a small village with no public transport, no shop and no pedestrian/cycle links to Bicester and cannot be described as a sustainable location.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-254

What is your name? - Name

David Surman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Plan for land at The Moors must not happen. Green spaces where people walk to river/children play disappearing. Priority should be brownfield sites like Heyford. Natural areas valuable for all/suburban sprawl is not what most people want.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-255
What is your name? - Name
Michaela Rees Jones
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Concern about increase of traffic on narrow roads from Heyford-Somerton-North Aston as it is too dangerous and there are fears re the weak bridge.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-256
What is your name? - Name
Sir Frank Davies
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Most immediate/serious social problem is inability to find houses affordable to buy/rent, will affect society for years to come. Problem not tackled in this plan. Solution is for limited period. Majority of new houses should be cheapest possible accommodation built on brown field sites in Banbury/Bicester where work/basic facilities available/does not add polluting car miles to road system. Flats obvious but consideration be given to homes to let as well as buy (possibly a time limit on occupation with those renting moved out to the top of housing list). Current system results in developers controlling prices. Government to make special grants to well- presented projects.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-257

What is your name? - Name

Giles Woodforde

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to The Moors due to it being green belt land used for recreation. The development would increase traffic levels and there is not a need for more housing in Kidlington.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-258
What is your name? - Name
Jo Charrington
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors and notes there are many plans for Kidlington meaning it wil lose its character.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-259
What is your name? - Name
Jill Bailey
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Hanwell village playing field, used for HanFEST

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Objects to the idea of improving access to M40 with new junction on Southam Road. A new junction south of Banbury would remove traffic into Banbury from south of the town and improve air quality on Hennef Way.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Development of brownfield sites in Banbury should be a priority and the first approach for renewable energy. The housing number is inflated and should be calculated using the standard method as well as resisting taking Oxford's unmet need.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

The policy should be strengthened around a sense of separation between settlements as villages are being swallowed up by extensions to Banbury, the identity of villages should be protected.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-260

What is your name? - Name

Tony Churchill

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors as it is green belt land and used for nature and recreational purposes. Kidlington does not need more housing.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-261

What is your name? - Name

Linda Cooper

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the wildlife present, poor access, traffic issues and the visual impact as well as the loss of recreational space.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-262
What is your name? - Name
Deborah Davies
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Objects to The Moors due to it flooding, traffic issues and wildlife present.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-263
What is your name? - Name
Guy Wakefield
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Ridge and Partners LLP
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
M&G Real Estate
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Para 22 of NPPF states Strategic policies should look ahead minimum 15 year period from adoption, based on current Local Development Scheme it is anticipated that new Local Plan will be adopted by December 2025, which means that 15 year period provided fo
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Supports vision to make sure rural communities “are realising their potential by making the most of”. For additional infrastructure to be provided will be a need for additional residential development in rural areas. NPPF para 79 is clear that “To promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities” - should be focus in Local Plan Review to allocate some land for development in rural areas particularly larger villages (eg Deddington) to ensure vision can be realised.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Strategy to “Direct the development of new housing to the larger and more sustainable villages” is supported. To enhance vitality/viability of rural settlements housing sites should be allocated (ie Deddington/Hempton - present opportunity for additional residential development in sustainable rural settlements/help meet overall vision within emerging Local Plan).

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

New applications should be required to provide 10% Biodiversity Net Gain as required by national policy - could be added into supporting text that Council would look to exceed this where possible.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Supports proposals that allow new employment development on unallocated sites and acknowledge that proposals will also be considered in small villages. As para 79 of NPPF sets out “Where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Para 3.184 identifies issues to consider "Is there sufficient supply in the longer term to meet housing need arising from our rural areas?" emerging housing distribution only indicatively allocates 500 houses within rural areas within plan period 2020-2040/comparatively modest allocation. Para 3.187 states Council "have more work to do to assess housing land availability and" insufficient work undertaken to justify 500-homes figure. Based on previous delivery rates rural areas provide significant contribution to housing delivery in district/have key role to play in terms of viability and vitality as acknowledged in proposed vision and should be attributed a greater proportion of housing allocation. Would recommend 500 dwellings proposed is significantly increased. That rural villages can be sustainable locations which support existing/new rural employment opportunities/services/facilities. Proportionate/well planned/designed growth should be accommodated to ensure all rural settlements can grow sustainably. To deprive rural communities of such growth a number of settlements across district will become more deprived, resulting in less sustainable locations/imbanced communities/reliance on private vehicles (conflicting with Para 80 of NPPF).

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Proposed settlement hierarchy is logical - majority of development should be focused on Main Towns, Local Service Centres and Larger Villages. Important not to neglect importance of small-scale development in 'Smaller Villages', mutually beneficial situ

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supported a need for some housing development in rural areas, however, concerns over Rural Areas Strategy/bullet point "tight management of speculative development and" - agree speculative development should be thoroughly assessed, but statement leaves little room for innovation; development which is sustainable should be supported.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Part of vision for rural areas is to make villages/rural communities thrive, as part of this there will be a need for additional housing in these settlements. Needs to be made clear that proposed 500 dwellings are a minimum/number should be increased. Wh

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Wishes to suggest a number of potential rural housing sites/previously submitted to Cherwell Council - Land north of Wimborn Close, Deddington, Grove Fields, Deddington, Land off Duns Tew Road (Radwell Hill), Hempton, Land south of The Lane, and Hempton.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-264

What is your name? - Name

Alexander Bowden

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Objects to further development at NW Bicester due to it encroaching into Bucknell.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-265
What is your name? - Name
Marshall Woodworth
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP11 concern about the declining population and long term provision for endangered species, requests that swift bricks are installed in all new developments including extensions.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-266
What is your name? - Name
Catherine Grebenik
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to The Moors due to it being green belt land with a value for wildlife and recreation. Comments traffic congestion would worsen.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-267

What is your name? - Name

Caroline Brain

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to Hawkwell proposal for 3600 homes NE Bicester due to traffic congestion, loss of wildlife and lack of GP's.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-268

What is your name? - Name

Bryony Afferson Day

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

More support is required for communities to bring forward local green spaces.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

No further allocation at Heyford park and remove reallocate or reduce the 1235 draft target.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

In support.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

The focus should be on employment not more houses.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

Strong support for core policies 83 and 84. Should be as ambitious as possible.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

A new station should be integrated properly and sensitively with the surrounding area and villages to include cycling and pedestrian access.

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

There should be improvements to pedestrian access to protect from traffic.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

The housing targets are more than required and unsubstantiated. Notes pedestrians require protection from traffic which is a major issue in Somerton, the Astons, the Heyfords and Ardley.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-269
What is your name? - Name
Jo Rushton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing targets are exaggerated – housing numbers well above Government’s agreed housing methodology pushing up housing figures from 14,840 to 25,860 (increase almost 75%). Housing targets should reflect the people within the local area.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Need a clear brownfield first approach to all developments/renewable energy projects – all Oxfordshire’s solar energy needs could be met on rooftops or brownfield sites. Land is precious - not only for agriculture but for mental health benefits. Green belt land should be protected/not be built on.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-270

What is your name? - Name

Joe Warren

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

New developments should only be allowed with proper infrastructure e.g. utilities and roads. Notes Ardley Road, Bucknell is likely to become busier with new development.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-271

What is your name? - Name

Dr Katrin Magorrian

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors as there is no justification for taking further green belt as well as the area being rich in biodiversity and regularly floods. Oxford's housing need should be covered by Oxford. Notes there are no realistic proposals to address traffic needs or to improve water supply and waste water drainage.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-272
What is your name? - Name
Kirsty Buttle
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Sibford Ferris Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Andrew Meyler Parish Councillor
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The plan period is reasonable but the consultation being over 3yrs into the timeframe of the plan does not make sense.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Improvements to navigation would be welcome.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
It is comprehensive and desirable.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Requests: Add text to SO5; Reduce dependency on the private car as a mode of travel, facilitating the creation of a net zero carbon transport network, whilst recognising the continuing necessity of the private car in rural areas and therefore limiteing de
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Content with strategy particularly on Rural Areas and categorization of Sibford Gower and Sibford Ferris as smaller villages.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

It is appropriate.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

It is appropriate.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

It is appropriate. Add third criterion to be met by proposals in small vilages, namely that any negative impacts from the proposed development on the local environment/infrastructure are explicity mitigated.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

It is appropriate. Add 5th and 6th criterion to be met by proposals for new tourism and visitor facilities in villages and the open countryside; provide significant numbers of job opportunities suitable for local people and; that any negative impacts from the proposed development on the local environment/infrastructure are explicitly mitigated.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

It is appropriate.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

It is appropriate.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

It is appropriate.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

It is appropriate.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The total for rural areas should be an upper limit to be exceeded in certain explicitly defined circumstances only. Requests the plan explicitly acknowledges the rural areas should originate from allocated sites in the most sustainable locations and development in smaller villages should be limited to infill only. Requests a senior CDC officer is a designated consultee within the planning process on the subject of harms arising from proposed developments.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The designation of Sibford Ferris and Sibford Gower as smaller villages welcomed.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

It is appropriate. Include wording designed to preclude situations where, planning permission having been granted by CDC for a development which includes provision for affordable housing, the developer subsequently amends the plans to remove, diminish or otherwise dilute the affordable housing provision. Parishioners feel strongly that this effective amounts to deceptive practice which should not be tolerated.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Supports maximising the delivery of affordable housing and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing which required that sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Add; The proximity of potential sources of employment.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The valley of the river Sib between Sibford Ferris and Sibford Gower be designated both a Local Green Space and a Settlement Gap. The land south of Faraday House, to preserve the rural nature and character of this part of the village.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

It is appropriate and welcome.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Sites in the area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

The approach appropriate and welcome.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Sites in the area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

It is appropriate.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

It is appropriate.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

The area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

It is appropriate and welcome.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

It is appropriate and welcome.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

In agreement with local service role for Heyford Park proposed in CP35.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Employment uses alongside potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park should be considered.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Supports the proposals for housing in rural areas.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

App.8. inclusion of Sibford Ferris within the Wider Landscape Zone of the Draft Oxfordshire Nature Recovery Network welcomed, and supported being included either in a Recovery Zone or Core Zone, subject to a better understanding of the precise implicatio

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-273
What is your name? - Name
Katrina Robinson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Renewable Energy - evidence compelling that Oxfordshire's solar energy needs can be met on rooftops/brownfield sites. Develop clear brownfield approach to sustainable energy provision/policies to support households achieve cheaper/more environmentally re
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Concern over local protections and impacts on the planet - the consequences of these Plans for the fractured network that supports basic planetary biosphere functions - currently down to just 1% of optimum.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Revisit proposed policy on land for employment - it is weaker than in existing plan/needs to be strengthened.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Concerns over inflated housing targets impacts to climate resilience/locality. Sustainable/future oriented/responsible to adopt supportive policies to limit population growth /press government to do the same.
Recalculate housing need according to Governm

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Request removing proposed allocation for housing in Kidlington's Green Belt. Avoid damages to green belt and climate. Low numbers of Green Spaces identified suggest current system not working well/more support for communities involved should be in place to help bring vital element forward.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-274

What is your name? - Name

Dr Avril Anson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Plan not strong enough to protect natural green spaces.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing targets exaggerated - above Government's agreed method for calculating housing targets.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Strongly objects to plan includes housing allocation in Green Belt. Scientific evidence rural green spaces essential to mental/physical wellbeing/critical for plant and animal survival.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-275
What is your name? - Name
Natasha Styles
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
McCarthy Stone
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Natasha Styles
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
CP2/3/4 & 5 - policies overly complex, attempt to address same area of operational carbon and net zero but not clear what is required/ambiguous as to what is trying to be achieved (See Rep for NPPF quote Para 16 d). Commitment to meeting net zero carbon e
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Should not seek a higher BNG requirement than 10%/maintain requirement set out in Environment Act 2021 - above 10% does not meet tests set out in para 57 of NPPF/is not necessary to make development acceptable in planning terms. CP12 attempts to introduce a mitigation hierarchy and sequential preference for off-site BNG delivery, Council should note that section 7.4 of Natural England Biodiversity Metric 4.0 user guide, The Metric, March 2023 identifies a spatial risk multiplier that 'reflects the relationship between the location of on-site biodiversity loss and the location of off-site habitat compensation'; mitigation is therefore already incorporated into the metric/is not necessary to be included within policy, by introducing more onerous sequential test in effect introduces new planning policy/add unnecessarily to financial burden to development/contrary to PPG Paragraph: 008 Reference ID: 61-008-20190315. This element should therefore be removed from policy. Remove the last two paragraphs from Policy 12 and delete corresponding paragraphs 3.65 to 3.68.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

CP36 confirms will seek 30% affordable housing from housing developments over 10 units, this would include proposals for specialist housing to meet needs of older people (sheltered/Extra-Care homes) then little flexibility in policy that continues 'Where this policy would result in a requirement ...'. The PPG on Viability (SD76) confirms 'Paragraph: 002 Reference ID: 10-002-20190509 that 'The role for viability assessment is primarily at the plan making stage. Viability assessment... Para 001 Reference ID: 10-001-20190509 'policy requirements should be informed by evidence of infrastructure and affordable housing need... Different requirements may be set for different types or location of site or types of development.'

A 'Whole Plan Viability Assessment' has been undertaken to support the plan undertaken by HDH, December 2022. Has tested both sheltered/extra care housing on brownfield and greenfield sites with 30% affordable housing in line with the requirements of PPG. Para 10.17 of the Viability Study confirms that 'The typologies include both Sheltered housing and Extra-care housing. These generate Residual Values that are well in excess of the BLV...' and concludes at para 12.89.

Housing for older people often delivered on small brownfield sites separate to housing allocations/other development sites of around 0.5 hectares, tend to be high-density flatted developments located near town centres/around 35 to 40 units/delivered as single phase. Sheltered housing/extra care development differ from mainstream housing (see Rep for examples) requiring such sites to deliver affordable housing/requiring a viability study through application stage is contrary to PPG. Affordable housing requirement creates over aspirational policy requirement but questions deliverability; further viability assessment needed. CP36/supporting paragraphs should be amended -

recommendation add a paragraph to CP36 that reads as follows: Housing that meets the needs of older people in the North and West of the district are exempt from providing affordable housing. In the South and East of the district a viability assessment should be submitted alongside any planning application to assess if schemes are viable to deliver affordable housing given the higher build cost requirements required by this Local Plan.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP38 - draft Local Plan supported by Cherwell District and Oxford City Council's HENA, Final Report. HENA confirms it has based its modelling for specialist accommodation for older people on definitions used within the PPG on 'Housing for older and disabled people' - given that there is a greater need for Housing with Support (Sheltered or Retirement living) than Housing with Care (Extra Care) evidenced by HENA, CP38 should be amended to remove the emphasis on Extra-Care housing. Policy should support/promote all types of specialist housing for older people. Developers of older person's housing schemes should not be required to demonstrate need as need identified/benefits that developments bring and if a quantum is specified this should be regarded as a target and not a ceiling. Recommends CP38 wording should be amended to read as follows: "Housing sites will be expected to provide specialist housing to meet the needs of older people (C3 use class) as part of the overall mix. The proportion of specialist housing to meet the needs of older people is to be agreed with the Council based on the nature of the site and proposals in question. Elsewhere, opportunities for the provision of specialist housing for older people or other supported housing for those with specific living needs will be supported in suitable locations close to services and facilities. Proposals for residential care homes (C2 uses classes) and developments which provide for a mix of use classes C3 and C2 where the appropriate infrastructure is provided will be supported (see Rep for highlighted amendments made).

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-276
What is your name? - Name
Andrea Keeping & Daniel Hill
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Banbury Lane, Nethercote to protect from speculative and unsustainable development.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-277
What is your name? - Name
Kay Bishop
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
It makes sense to have a long-term view but it's hard to plan that far ahead and envisage what these ideas might look like when only aware of the infrastructure of today.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
There should be more visual meaning, summary documents very good but maps limited. The consultation event was very helpful but need more visuals to really help consider their impact.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

If they are accessible, yes. Need to ensure good train connections and bus services to these places to ensure that congestion is eased if they become a focus for employment development. Kidlington in rush hour is a challenge. Bicester has good travel con

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

It is hard to gauge what impact might be by 2040. A town with no open shops is a depressing place. Bicester makes use of decals in closed shops which brighten it up. Requests more cheap space for small independent businesses.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Concern over overdistribution to Heyford. Unhappy with proposed houses on natural sites with wildlife and distinctive landscapes. Would lead to loss of wildlife in this area. Impact on countryside and small country villages will be great and will impact on the narrow country lanes, where there is no room for cycle paths or pavements in many of these areas. No adequate gap between communities.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Heyford is a rural area with a distinctive landscape which development would adversely affect and the highways cannot support this. Suggests housing closer to the junction of the A34 near Weston on the Green.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

It makes sense.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes, their understanding is that the point of developing houses is so that more people can have a roof over their head.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The space around the existing plans for Heyford.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

The housing numbers are not fit for purpose, and requests a land use strategy including renewables should be developed to underpin the plan. Without this, the priority should be brownfield first/ rooftop first for renewable energy. Notes the low number of local green spaces identified suggests the system is not working well and communities need more support to help bring this forward. The policy on employment land is weaker than the existing policy so should be revisited.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Objects to green belt removal in Kidlington and that its justification is not clear.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Objection to the plan and Heyford Parks proposed increase in size when there are other areas which could take some of the housing burden. Notes there is no current cycle paths, implementation of solar panels and developers are not making good use of technology.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

It is a rural area, I don't want it to be a local service area. This is countryside not a suburb nor a town.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

With the proposed number of homes, thought should be given to employment.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Local roads and infrastructure cannot support the intended volume of traffic. No additional houses should be build without solid plans for improving the transport infrastructure but any large scale change would mean that we no longer lived in the country

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

Too many homes in one concentrated space.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

It feels convenient to extend next to homes that are not yet built and occupied, and are concerned over this. With no guardians for that piece of beautiful landscape, home to wildlife, and the rolling hills and most magnificent wide-sky sunsets that it offers to us each day.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Maps not detailed enough. Too few road names so hard to be clear on the detail.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-278
What is your name? - Name
Kevin Cox
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Thames Valley Police
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Thames Valley Police
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Theme Three, point one reword to "Achieving Well Designed, Safe and Healthy Places.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Chapter 2, point 2 - suggests additional point "...Neighbourhoods are sustainable, safe, healthy, vibrant..."
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

CP30 point III - existing buildings being diversified welcomed but there are challenges (particularly rural farm buildings) in lack of security/easy targets for criminality/may not be most sustainable approach. Rural population may be miles from nearest population/police station, risk of being a target for crime may be increased. Recommend a requirement for safety/security within developments is a requirement for this policy (Secured by Design Commercial 2023 guide document would recommend is required to be consulted).

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Development Policy 2 point 3.155 - Outdoor markets vulnerable target for crime/terrorism, deemed crowded places/publically accessible locations, they must be sufficiently protected from terrorist threats (ie Vehicle as a Weapon attacks). Very important r

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP18 point III is rephrased with regard to safety. Highway safety paramount importance but footpaths/areas such as where dwellings face onto development also need to be lit to reduce opportunities for crime/antisocial behaviour. Point should reference "public safety" in general, not narrow the requirement to highways only. CP21 - requests additional point added to the last paragraph on page 52 requiring cycle, motorcycle and car parking provision to be made in line with the standards of Secured by Design, which will have a significant positive impact in reducing crime in residential/commercial developments. CP22 point III - unclear what is meant by "limit motor vehicle trips" and by what means, such as physical barriers or other? Requests rewording or expanding upon to illustrate what this means. CP22 point IV - as above, add an additional point requiring cycle, motorcycle and car parking provision to be made in line with the standards of Secured by Design. CP23 - Crime within freight depots/truck stops has risen exponentially and is extremely important that all new freight developments are designed with crime prevention at the forefront of considerations. Request a further point is added requiring them to be designed to be safe/secure (British Parking Association has launched a Freight Park Mark award in response to increases in crime relating to freight, would encourage developers to consult guidance by the BPA regarding freight facilities/truck stops). CP24 Density requirements can cause issues in terms of potential for crime/anti-social behaviour where developers mandated to fit a certain number of dwellings into a space (leading to compromises in good design where dwellings may be left exposed/vulnerable to high impact crime). Requests an additional point added in paragraph 2 "On all new housing... highway safety, or the 'security or' amenity of neighbours. Page 89 point 3.227 - questions why specifically scooter storage. Electric bicycle storage within a secure space very important (EV Bikes high value/desirable/targeted item for theft). Requests words added to "Suitable arrangements for 'secure' access to refuse ... and for scooter/'cycle' storage and charging facilities. Page 90 Point 3.229 - shared amenity space can be problematic (disturbance/tension between neighbours in flatted buildings). Recommends developers to provide separated private amenity space for flatted developments wherever possible. CP39 - Re Rooftop gardens - no guidance provided within earlier pages - these can be problematic (noise/ disturbance/privacy/overlooking issues) particularly if adjoin sensitive noise receptors (ie neighbouring residential development). Recommends removing rooftop gardens from policy or additional guidance as to what would be considered good design for rooftop gardens should be provided (would be happy to provide further guidance on this from a crime perspective). Would ask that a word is added to following sentence: "New residential dwellings will be expected to have direct access to a 'secure' area of private and/or communal amenity space". CP41 - comments HMO's often poorly designed re security (residents at higher risk of crime) requests a point is added to policy requiring all HMO's to be designed/specified to meet Secured by Design standards. Page 102 Point 3.266 Comments please amend all references to add a "d" to Secure "Secured by Design". Recommends mentioning that new developments built to Secured By Design Standards see up to 87% less crime than developments without (illustrating vital importance of this police supported initiative). CP46 point IX - comments permeability can be problematic in developments where deemed excessive (introduces anonymity, difficult for residents to identify/challenge who should or shouldn't be there). Residential areas should primarily be formed of secure perimeter blocks, protects vulnerable side/rear boundaries of properties. Recommends refining what acceptable permeability is, and highlight that excessive permeability must be avoided. CP46 Point XI - recommends adding following – "...including matters of privacy, security, outlook...". CP46 point XIII - comments punctuation missing from this point. Would recommend Secured by Design accreditation has own policy point to differentiate it from ecological requirements it has been combined with in this section. Recommends following wording "Ensure crime has been designed out using the principles of Crime Prevention through Environmental Design, and achieve Secured by Design Accreditation". CP47 - to make active travel routes successful/well used is to ensure people feel safe when using them. Surveillance/lighting very important (routes not well overlooked/lit not used in favour of those that are) - recommends additional point added "Ensure pedestrian and cycling routes are safe and do not increase the fear of crime".

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

CP76 - re planned football stadium development for Oxford United, it is important that development is individually considered/acknowledged within local plan for Kidlington (risk for local residential areas/inappropriate parking/traffic disruption). Recommends local plan provides requirements for new residential developments to take into consideration this new development/how such risks will be mitigated - in particular should prescribe requirements for parking/required CPZ's/travel/transport plans which consider impact match days will have.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

CP - impact of football traffic/new required pedestrian crossing facilities/risk that roads may close during entry and egress phase of football matches will have on road infrastructure (A4165 and A4260/Park and Ride) should be explored further.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-279

What is your name? - Name

Elaine and Terry Smith

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

More affordable housing required. The plan must reflect the need for local people to find affordable housing in Cherwell.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

There is already a huge increase in housing taking up land between town and villages and that it is vital to retain a green buffer. No plans to provide education and healthcare facilities or increase recreational opportunities.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-280

What is your name? - Name

Patrick Woodrow

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Development at NW Bicester is contradictory to national planning law due to its proposed coalescence of Bicester with Bucknell and lack of roads to mitigate against the increase of traffic. Notes there are no plans to realign the junction between Howes La

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-281

What is your name? - Name

Richard Johnson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The housing number is overcalculated by 57%.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Infrastructure difficulties mean the Hawkwell development should be scaled back and the boundaries should be moved further away from Bucknell to prevent coalescence. Notes brownfield sites should be re-assessed to meet development needs.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Land at SE Bicester due to it being close to the motorway with water and sewage utilities.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

the NW Bicester site cannot be sustained without investment in traffic and other infrastructure. Howes Lane re-alignment has no target date for funding or completion and if it occurred it would not be adequate for the increase in traffic levels at Bicester

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Existing commitments within the LP should be robustly reworded to ensure compliance with key principles e.g. around affordable housing. Developers should strictly comply with the requirement of true carbon zero housing, not just carbon neutral. The plan needs to re-word and re-map the plans for Bicester to ensure future development includes provision of improved roads in advance of planning permission being granted including improvements at Howes Lane and ring road.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-282

What is your name? - Name

David Pheasey

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Chesterton should be limited to small number of houses filling in remaining spaces (eg fallow field along 'The Hale' past Audley Gardens) does not meet criteria of a sustainable village should not be used as such to meet housing targets (see Rep for detai

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

The belt of industrial development along A41 from M40 into Bicester will bring benefit of high quality employment in area without creating further congestion in Bicester/Chesterton/surrounding villages. Siemens development will bring benefit of a traffic

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

M40 junction 9 heavily congested at times - Siemens informed at their public meeting that an upgrade to J9 is planned - this needs to be prioritised. The A4095 through Chesterton (narrow/houses with front doors directly onto pavement) is not suitable fo

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-283
What is your name? - Name
Jack Day
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing targets seem excessive – reduce or offer stronger evidence for targets.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

More support is required for communities to bring forward local green spaces/they make a huge difference.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Supports insistence on infrastructure improvements and sustainable transport.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Plan should focus on employment, not additional homes at Heyford Park.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Provide no further housing allocation for Heyford Park (relocate LPR42a to LPR33 or remove allocation if housing targets can be reduced). Agrees existing masterplan/strategic/infrastructure and sustainable transport aspirations should be delivered.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

Implement approved masterplan/deliver transport infrastructure, but no further housing allocation. Remove/relocate/reduce planned allocation for 1,235 new dwellings. Point 7.19 page 203, would like additional point identified: Improve pedestrian access

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Supports CP 83 & 84 - encourage to be as ambitious as possible in this respect. CP85 - provisionally supports as long as scheme could be designed to integrate a new station properly/sensitively with surrounding area/villages (eg cycling routes/ pedestrian

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Suggests greater focus in Plan on protecting pedestrians from traffic in villages (major issue in Somerton/Astons/Heyfords/Ardley)

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-284

What is your name? - Name

David Betts

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

In Partial Review of Local Plan for Oxfords Unmet Need a figure of 50% affordable housing was agreed, proposed plan is proposing 30%. Suggests 50% be adopted to address the need. Not logical to state no land allocated for Kidlington for residents. The 4400 allocation in/around Kidlington is predominately for houses to sell and is available for Cherwell/Oxford/other residents but the nomination rights for social housing are with OCC and should be made available to Cherwell residents.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

See comments in question 23

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land at The Moors, Kidlington should be designated as a Local Green Space (haven for wildlife in the fields/trees and pond near footpath in the Moors supports great crested nests).

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

4400 houses allocated around Kidlington will have significant impact/developers already making applications for more than allocated in local plan (exceeded by 10%) - due consideration should be given to this in arriving at allocation figure. Plan uses inflated figures in excess of government guidelines, questions justification for this. Activity in conversion of existing large accommodation to smaller units, if continues will provide further units for Kidlington. Brownfields sites could be considered before release of greenbelt. Incursions into greenbelt in 2031 local plan was supposed to endure beyond end of plan, no proven exceptional circumstances to justify allocating further land in greenbelt for development/premature to review this (see Rep for quote from consultation 2021 re growth/further loss if OUFC stadium goes ahead). Important to retain green belt - use land already released/brownfield. Allocation for The Moors - no justification for site to be released from greenbelt, last remaining unspoilt area of land around Kidlington, should be preserved. Area used via public footpath for recreation/no direct vehicular access to site other than on to The Moors. Supports KPC proposal for green ring around Kidlington of which this area is key.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-285
What is your name? - Name
Laura Warden
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Oxford Preservation Trust
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Oxford Preservation Trust
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

OPT note that no reference is made to the Oxford Green Belt in either the proposed plan objectives or overall plan vision. The Oxford Green Belt has been a key feature of planning in Oxfordshire for well over 50 years. In 1987 the Secretary of State sta

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

OPT consider it would be prudent to include an objective which echoes the Governments continuing commitment to Green Belt protection. SO10 should not come at the expense of releasing Green Belt land. The emphasis being on redevelopment or use of brownfield and previously developed land in the first instance.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

The LP should seek to resist any further releases of Green Belt land over the next plan period.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

The Oxford North development is located immediately adjacent to the southern boundary of the district. With such a large employment and housing site close to Oxford Parkway station, the Council should consider including potential pedestrian/cycle routes from the edge of this site, northwards, towards Oxford Parkway and onwards towards Kidlington.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

The LP should resist any further release of the Green Belt and that exceptional circumstances need to exist to justify any changes.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-286

What is your name? - Name

Jane Rendle

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Objects to The Moors and It must be preserved as a local green space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to the loss of green belt land at The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-287

What is your name? - Name

Adrian Langdale KC

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Draft local plan fails to take into consideration developments already occurred in/around Bicester/other developments which now have planning permission/in process of being developed since 2013 plan. Original housing requirements based on a formula which

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Local Plan should recognise infrastructure difficulties developing North-West Bicester and scale back extent of development allowed on this site (including moving boundaries further away from Bucknell which risks coalescence with Bicester). Proper consideration to be given to sharing increase in housing development more evenly/equitably across Cherwell region and not propose vast development in one area of Bicester which has no infrastructure to be able to cope/involve wholesale destruction of farm land/wildlife.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

The policy suggested by the oversight committee requires Local Plan to give proper consideration/re-evaluation of Brownfield sites to be undertaken as part of Local Plan in order to ensure such land is being properly developed/maximised to meet the future housing needs before Greenfield sites/Farmland. Further consideration/efforts should be made/reflected in Local Plan policy to encourage development of identified Brownfield sites.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Further development in North-West Bicester site cannot be sustained without investment in traffic infrastructure/other infrastructure, which would in any event be incapable of dealing with increase in traffic from surrounding projects which were not envis

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Ensuring existing commitments within Local Plan are robustly re-worded to ensure compliance with key principles of Cherwell Planning, specifically re provision of affordable housing, ensure developers comply with requirement of true carbon zero housing and development, re-word/re-map plans for North-West Bicester to ensure plans for further development involves provision of improved roads infrastructure in advance of planning permission being granted.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-288

What is your name? - Name

Neil and Pauline Wainman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Considers figures in plan over state housing requirement (particularly in Bicester) and should be revisited and revised downwards.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Regarding development at northwest Bicester - no justification for increase of 1000 houses/extension of area to be developed above that local plan 2012. In Cherwell employment rates/house prices are above national average, overdevelopment in contrary to the policy of levelling up/will lead to further house prices rises/over stretch infrastructure. More use should be made of brown field sites (eg Upper Heyford) before greenfield sites considered.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Re Bicester Garden and Healthy New town - not clear how this is to be achieved. Expects significant open green areas to be incorporated but no indication how/what extent this is to be done. Garth Park inadequate even for current needs. Green Belt shoul

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Re Bucknell - notes that village has been classified as "open countryside " but because of extension of developments it will only be a few hundred metres from the nearest houses in the village. To avoid coalescence/protect identity and character of vill

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-289

What is your name? - Name

Dennis Long

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Removal of green belt - Reason they stayed in Kidlington has been the open spaces but gradually walks areas around canal/railway have been eroded/built on and now proposed housing by St Mary's being considered. Housing behind The Moors is unacceptable.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Concerns re proposed housing. With housing on-going on Jordan Hill/further proposed by Gosford cemetery the infrastructure (Doctors, Dentists, Chemists, schools) well over-subscribed now. With reduced speed limits the through traffic has ground to a halt (car transporters, HGVs, buses ticking over polluting Oxford/Banbury road), causing the rat runs. Garden city & grovelands now dangerous especially during school access period. Sensible decision would be put a weight limit (4 ton?) at both ends of Kidlington (except for access/deliveries) and 20mph outside schools (0800 to 0915 & 1500 to 1600) rather than blanket speed control programme. Future infrastructure should be in place before increasing the head count.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-290

What is your name? - Name

Luke Penney

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to further expansion of the Hawkwell development due to environmental concerns, the recreational value, road infrastructure.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-291
What is your name? - Name
Ellen Moore
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
NHS Property Services Limited
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Ellen Moore MRTPI
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

CP51 supporting text should be amended to explicitly require consideration and mitigation of the impacts of development on healthcare requirements and engagement with the NHS for schemes of strategic scale should be required.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

CP54 NHSPS supports the provision of sufficient, quality community facilities, but objects to specific wording within this policy. Requests that policy wording amendments are made to support the principle that where the NHS can demonstrate a health facility will be changed as part of NHS estate reorganisation programmes, this will be sufficient for the local planning authority to accept that a facility is neither needed nor viable for its current use, and therefore that the principle of alternative uses for NHS land and property will be fully supported and no further assessment under part iv is required. The following should be added to the policy: 'Where the loss of community facilities or services is part of a wider public service estate reorganisation, the requirements listed under Part iv and v do not apply.'

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Within the NHS property portfolio, some sites are, or may become outdated and no longer suitable for modern healthcare without significant investment. In those cases, and where NHS commissioners can demonstrate that healthcare facilities are no longer required for the provision of services in that location, a more flexible approach for public service providers should be applied when considering a change of use to non-community uses.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-292
What is your name? - Name
Need not Greed Oxon
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Need not Greed Oxon
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
(none)
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The housing numbers are exaggerated and questions evidence to support. This will result in pressure on the countryside, green belt and rural communities. Notes that issues around the environment and carbon zero should be the focus of determining the sustain

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Where new builds are required they should be genuinely affordable, well-designed, high-density developments to reduce the carbon impact and that GDP driven scenarios to maximise the number of larger more expensive market homes is no longer a viable option

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-293

What is your name? - Name

Kerry and Ian Mills

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to use of green belt land at Kidlington. No justification for the change of position. Limited public transport and amenities in the north of the village and further development will exacerbate the damage already done to the local environment.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-294

What is your name? - Name

Sharon Cure

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

The Consultation Period is too short.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

There should be a summary booklet for each area. The current format is too long and complicated and the consultation was not well publicised.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Climate change mitigation is fine but is concerned that there is no real detail on how this can be achieved. The aspiration of 30% affordable housing is admirable but there is poor enforcement.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

SO1 and 2 Enforcing/solar panel installation on new developments and retrofit on council properties would be better than building solar farms. New developments should install rainwater capture tanks, maintain road drains and water courses to prevent flood

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

The Plan should look to improving town centres and retail and cultural facilities which will provide employment and should encourage employment that is 'people heavy' - i.e. not warehouses etc which need low levels of staffing.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Disagrees with towns being made car free and notes those with free parking do well. Accessibility and having a safe town centre is very important.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Bicester could spread into near-by areas. Could be base for a cultural quarter.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

If people are coming to area to work for limited time may well be a need for rented accommodation rather than houses to buy. People want to live convenient to work.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Would be better to build local authority housing as even affordable housing it often too expensive for many people.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

There should be no need to make sacrifices. These houses should be as well designed and situated as any other.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

No detail on cultural aspirations except for the planned Market Square development, which will only provide a fair-weather venue and preventing traffic and parking will be detrimental. Outdoor space for events is already very well provided. Cafe culture

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Agrees with encouraging residential use of upper storeys in town centre but council should be encouraging buildings to be used for retail, cultural or leisure facilities.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Disagrees with increasing provision of warehouses which employ few people. It would be good to see Bicester Business Park development moving forward especially if it included attractive landscapes access to Bicester avenue.
Objects to the expansion of Bic

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Questions why Colaremont car park is being closed when it is well used and convenient for shoppers. It would be better to close and build on the cattle market car park.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Details of bus use and congestion in the rep. Car clubs with electric vehicles should be encouraged but cars should be changed and in fit condition. Notes the level crossing in London Road is a majority priority for rethinking so the east of the town is

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Better footpaths and cycleways to nearby villages and improved footpaths to the Bicester Heritage site. Requests a proper footpath between Bicester centre and retail park as well as market square being improved. Notes there should be an increase of street

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

There are plenty of restaurants and cafes in Bicester but proper shops should be encouraged. Notes retail spaces are too small to attract shops which would be a real destination, and queries if units could be joined together to be more attractive option.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Requests free access to part of the site e.g. any nature reserve for Bicester Residents and improved footpath access to the site.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-295

What is your name? - Name

Bernard Braley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objection to development on The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-296
What is your name? - Name
Cherry Jaquet
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Questions why CDC is building nearly double the houses in government guidance.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the impact on traffic and loss of green space.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-297

What is your name? - Name

Stephen Freestone

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to Hawkwell development due to lack of infrastructure, loss of green space, reduction of affordable housing and that the aim is no longer a net zero eco town. There are too many houses concentrated in the Bicester area without consideration to inf

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-298
What is your name? - Name
Pete Chambers
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
David Lock Associates Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Richard Edwards L&Q Estates Ltd
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

CP56 Local Green Space designation of Gavray Meadows supported. Boundary included in appendix 7 should be amended to reflect proposed boundary identified in 'Cherwell Local Green Space Designations Study' (Jan '23). This proposed a boundary which include

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Retention of saved Policy Bicester 13 - Gavray Drive from the 2015 Local Plan is supported. It should be noted that the quantum of development that can be accommodated within the allocation is constrained in part by the agreed retention of the Gavray Mead

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

CP73 Gavray Drive allocation includes a Local Wildlife Site and Conservation Target Area within the private land holding along with the emerging Local Green Space designation. It is also a strategic allocation for housing, the majority of which will lie w

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-299

What is your name? - Name

John Pilgrim

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

no

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the setting of St Mary's church, impact on wildlife as it is in the Oxfordshire Nature recovery network recovery zone and adjacent to lower Cherwell valley CTA.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-300
What is your name? - Name
Nick Burchell
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
no
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Smaller villages e.g. Piddington with virtually no development should not be forgotten as it leads to an ageing population and a loss of facilities. A larger population would make services more cost effective and wake up the village.
Requests Piddington i

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-301

What is your name? - Name

Juliet Thompson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Oxford Parkway promised faster trains to London which is not being delivered and as a result fewer people are using the service.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C 302
What is your name? - Name
James Hamilton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to its recreational use and it being an area of productive farmland with historical value.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-303

What is your name? - Name

Charles Wilford

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The housing numbers are inflated above the standard method which should be used. CP45 - the following criteria should be added to make clear development will only be permitted where- a: the physical and visual separation and sense of separation between th

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

This should recognise not just physical characteristics but also the use and amenity of land within a community. Draws attention to village playing field in Hanwell for Hanfest and the paddock attached to the castle which both form important areas for the

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-304

What is your name? - Name

Christine Lodge

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The provision of housing seems to be in excess of the Government's figures.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors and changes to the green belt not justified. Brownfield sites should take precedent over green belt sites.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-305
What is your name? - Name
Katie Parsons
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
RCA Regenerations Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Deeley Homes
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Given the uncertainty on when the Plan will be adopted, it would seem sensible to plan beyond the currently proposed plan period to 2040.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
It would be preferable to incorporate all required and justified policies into the new plan rather than continuing to rely piecemeal on policies spread across several older documents.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports the spatial strategy to direct new housing to the larger and more sustainable villages.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Routinely seeking more than 10% biodiversity net gain not supported, as this may be unachievable on some sites.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Supported.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Given the findings of the HENA and the Oxford housing need assessment, and the uncertainty of the current trajectory, consider there to be a strong need to keep housing land supply under constant review to ensure that need is being met on a rolling annual basis, and allocate further, smaller sites that can be delivered quickly to improve the annual trajectory.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

CP35 Larger Villages can support more development than currently proposed, and there is insufficient differentiation between what development will be permitted in larger and smaller villages.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

CP36 would benefit from redrafting as it currently suggests that 25% of all homes on site should be First Homes, whereas the national policy requirement is for 25% of the affordable homes on site to meet this definition. There should be a minimum site size threshold for CP38 as many smaller schemes will not be suitable for provision of Extra Care or residential care housing. CP39 required additional wording to allow for some location provision of NDSS sized homes, particularly for affordable homes would lead to property values in excess of local housing allowance rate limits. Additional clarity required on what is appropriate external residential space for different dwelling types.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

CP86 should set out additional criteria for considering windfall proposals and should not rely only on allocations to deliver sustainable housing growth in villages. Greater clarity required on what is allowed in larger and smaller villages.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

DP7 is not supported.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Ell's Lane Bloxham for allocation in the emerging plan.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-306
What is your name? - Name
Rebecca Bacon
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Savills
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Trinity College Oxford
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Trinity College, Oxford has successfully delivered housing in the district (resolution to grant outline planning permission achieved at Withycombe Farm on College's land under application 22/02101/OUT - site identified as preferred site allocation in Loca

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-307
What is your name? - Name
David Bainbridge
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Savills
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Lone Star Limited
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
On the current LDS timetable of adoption at the end of 2025, a plan to 2040 gives no margin for slippage. Consideration should be given of a period to at least 2042 and would welcome assessment of CDC as to how this could be delivered including gathering
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
There could be a better use of Digital Tools. Planning policies could be listed after the contents with hyperlinks provided to each policy to allow ease of access. It would benefit from a reduction in size.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Concerns that DLP does not make sufficient provision for SME developers which as a result will have a negative impact on delivery of new homes in the District and this goes against Government advice.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Requests consideration that the LDS timetable for the emerging new local plan by CDC to see whether timesaving can be identified for example binging forward the regulation 19 consultation which is currently identified for September-October 2024. Earlier p
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Comments in support.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Consideration of the implications of the timetable for CIL and the draft local plan requested, to try to ensure that the spatial strategy and growth distribution within Regulation 19 of the draft local plan is fully considered in preparation of the CIL ch

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Consideration and publication now requested of a comprehensive proposal to replace all existing LP policy with this new LP. It is possible for existing site-specific policies to be included within the new LP where relevant such that allocated sites which

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The summary of the draft spatial strategy is not considered appropriate because it The focus for new development is at Bicester and to a lesser extent at Banbury. This fails to properly account for Banbury which should be seen on an equal footing with Bicester. Not convinced CP24 is justified under national planning policy.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Distribution does not comply with NPPF requirement for identification of land for at least 10% of the housing requirement on sites no larger than one hectare and should be addressed in LP. CP34 Objection, 25,860 should be stated as minimum. Acknowledgement that unmet need of Oxford City is unconfirmed. Plan period likely to exceed 2040 and insufficient contingency in projected provision and poorly distributed for serving the desired purpose.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Doubtful that all supply will be delivered and hence future supply requirement should be increased to reflect and include appropriate buffer.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

CP36 not clearly written and unambiguous.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

CP37 and CP38 not clearly written and unambiguous. Paragraph 3.244 states that further landscape character assessments will also be prepared to inform the final plan. Explanation on the process requested and timing for this additional assessment work and to understand whether this might impact on this draft policy. There appears to be a lack of published site assessments informing the options. CP46 is a very lengthy policy which in parts is unclear and is ambiguous without explanation as to how to make decisions based on the policy.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Objects to CP2,3,4,5 which are not clearly written and unambiguous. Supportive of SO but policy wording untested and not clear how 'wherever possible' should be approached.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

CP62: Requests that the Banbury Area Strategy Map identifies the Site as a proposed site for housing. This map doesn't identify the recently consented land under planning permission references: 21/03644/OUT and 20/01643/OUT.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

CP87 The non-delivery of identified sites is an ongoing problem in CD which this LP needs to address. There is no explicit mention of housing land supply and what specific, measurable and targeted measures will be undertaken to address any future shortfall

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Requests inclusion of land west of Banbury, north of Broughton road as a proposed housing allocation. Requests greater co-operation with other local authorities on cross boundary planning matters and greater support for SME developers including compliance with the NPPF position on identification of small and medium sized units. Requests consideration of LDS timetable as to whether time-saving can be identified to bring forward reg 19. There is a need for improved digital planning, and the plan is lengthy and could be reduced. Needs a plan period beyond 2040 and requests consideration to replaces all existing policies in the new local plan.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-308

What is your name? - Name

John Woodcock & Jayne Miller

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Land identified for potential development in Shenington with Alkerton Parish the village - strongly object to this being included in plan (land subject to a pre planning application in 2021/22 subsequently withdrawn due to objections) land not suitable/ i

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-309

What is your name? - Name

Dennis Price

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

It appears to be almost entirely focused on Housing and Employment - gives impression climate crisis is a small side issue, should be at forefront of current thinking. Provision of food production should be a top priority with use of countryside to allev

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Re energy production via solar panels - maximise use of roofs in new housing/large warehouses/industrial sites before open space/farm land, questions if brownfield sites are being pushed for this? Green space should not be used as it is too valuable.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing numbers calculated incorrect. Where are windfall houses in the calculation? Assumes employment requirements connected to number of houses to reduce travelling among other causes - does it take into account growth in home working/fact that many p

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Questions if Bicester is a Garden Town where is it's Green Belt (much needed space to help with biodiversity/health) and the extra access routes to open land?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Questions why green belt can be used for housing. Sad/disagreeable that The Moors at Kidlington is to be sacrificed - delightful area of open space/much appreciated by local citizens.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-310

What is your name? - Name

Alan Peck

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Regarding CP11 - concerned by declining population of swifts - historical nesting sites lost, installing bricks in new builds/extensions helps redress balance. Welcomes reference to "nest boxes" but important that these are long term provision for endangered species. Swift bricks universal nest brick for small bird species - important to build in properly designed bricks. Wooden boxes better than nothing but often badly sited/get removed and not long lasting. Request that swift bricks installed in all new developments/extensions in accordance with best-practice guidance.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-311
What is your name? - Name
Maggie Jarrott
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Regarding CP 11 - concerned by declining population of swifts, welcomes reference to "nest boxes" but important that these are a long term provision for endangered species. Swift bricks a universal nest brick for small bird species, should be installed in all new developments/extensions in accordance with best-practice guidance.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-312
What is your name? - Name
Vicky Robinson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Regarding CP11 - concerned about declining population of swifts (indicator of a healthy ecosystem). Welcomes reference to "nest boxes" but important these are a long term provision for endangered species. Swift bricks a universal nest brick for small bird species, request that swift bricks installed in all new developments/extensions in accordance with best-practice guidance.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-313
What is your name? - Name
Charlotte Thompson-Grant
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Regarding CP11 - concerned about declining population of swifts. Have a responsibility to provide habitat space/essential to provide swift bricks in all new builds. Welcomes reference to "nest boxes" but important these are a long term provision for endangered species. Swift bricks a universal nest brick for small bird species and requests that swift bricks are installed in all new developments/extensions in accordance with best-practice guidance.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-314
What is your name? - Name
David Young
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
GreenWay
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
GreenWay
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Believes there is no need for further housing allocations in North Oxford/Kidlington area. High figures derived from HENA understand other District Councils taking a different approach/seeking to assess real need. Re Green Belt impacts, request to set ho

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

In period since Partial Review drawn up, there has been an increase in demand for outdoor recreation (golf in particular), and a greater understanding of challenge of combating climate change. Covid/risk of other epidemics highlighted public health challenges (obesity/diabetes). As per Royal Town Planning Institute planning actions good for climate also good for public health. Consider deleting the PR6b allocation of the Golf Course.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Opposes loss of Green Belt north of Oxford in Partial Review (particularly development of North Oxford Golf Club) as it occupies an important part of strategic 'Kidlington Gap'. The remaining Green Belt is even more under threat, and contributes to biodiversity, woodland, hedgerow cover and as a carbon/pollution sink. Critical importance to physical/mental health. Requests serious consideration to these views, but if not it's important to secure reprovion on allocated PR6c site at Frieze Farm (reference to potential need for reprovion and the reserved site at Frieze Farm was omitted in the text - see Rep for further details).

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-315
What is your name? - Name
Nigel and Jill Barlow
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to development at The Moors due to loss of green space, flooding, loss of farm land and lack of infrastructure.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-316
What is your name? - Name
Jennifer Speake
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP11 Concern at decline in population of swifts. Request that swift bricks are installed in all new developments including extensions in accordance with best-practice and guidance.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-317

What is your name? - Name

David Williams

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP11 Concern at decline in population of swifts. Humbly request that Swift Bricks are installed in All New Developments including extensions in accordance with best practice guidance.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-318

What is your name? - Name

Henry Brougham

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The calculation is based on methodology which produces far higher numbers than governments own.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land North of The Moors should be a designated Local Green Space as proposed by Kidlington Development Watch.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to it being in the green belt and will result in increased traffic with poor access. It floods and is high in wildlife with a recreational value.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-319
What is your name? - Name
Jayne Presswell
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Concerned over the time frame for consultation and that there was not wide enough communication to give interested parties time to consider, form opinions and respond.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors as it is green belt land which should be maintained and brownfield sites should be used. CDC should protect the environment, landscape, heritage and recognise the health, wellbeing and economic benefits of retaining this land.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-320

What is your name? - Name

Grahame Handley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Oxfordshire villages have taken too much development and they should be protected by using brownfield land e.g. Heyford. Notes villages should take minor infill only.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-321

What is your name? - Name

Mike Gorick

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Objects to greenbelt development and the housing need is over-estimated. Brownfield should be reconsidered.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-322
What is your name? - Name
Anne Prince
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

CDC should use the standard method and are currently providing too many houses on behalf of Oxford City. Development will place strain on infrastructure. More green belt will be lost if the overstated housing need is used.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land behind the Moors to be considered as a Local Green Space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors and notes in 2021 consultation CDC stated no more development to be proposed in green belt around Kidlington. Development would take away unspoilt countryside and land is susceptible to flooding. Brownfield should be prioritised.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-323

What is your name? - Name

Jane Nelson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The assumptions underlying the housing targets are questionable.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Plans for 4,400 houses between Kidlington and Oxford will lead to loss of green belt land and objects to The Moors. Consideration should be given to use of brownfield sites.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-324

What is your name? - Name

Lynn Pilgrim

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The Moors, Kidlington

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No justification for taking green belt land and objects to The Moors as it is green space used for recreational purposes which floods. Notes the biodiversity present and proximity to St Mary's church. Promotes use of brownfield land e.g. at Heyford park instead.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-325
What is your name? - Name
Stephanie O'Keeffe
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The Moors, Kidlington

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concerned over plan to build on land behind The Moors. Infrastructure already overloaded. Area unspoilt with wildlife, tragic if built on.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-326
What is your name? - Name
Theresa Goss
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Adderbury PC
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Adderbury PC
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Adderbury PC supports the development of the Local Plan as described in the vision and objectives.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Adderbury PC has concerns regarding emerging policies to achieve vision/strategy for rural areas places too much focus upon 'large' villages. Pleased to note intend to protect/preserve gaps between southern edge of Banbury and Adderbury - critical for co

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-327

What is your name? - Name

Penny Wells

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land between Hawkwell Development and Bucknell.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Land between NW Bicester and Bucknell has been classified as 'managed space' and that it must remain unchanged. Notes affordable housing % should not be negotiable.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-328
What is your name? - Name
Simon Porter
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Shenington PC
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Shenington PC
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Comments support
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The Spatial strategy with development concentrated in the towns and larger villages is supported.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes, with the larger conurbations and larger villages and brownfield sites.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Yes

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Agree with focus

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Agree

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

No comment

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Agree

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Comments in support

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Disagree with expansion of motor cross adjacent to Hornton grounds and expanded go kart use in Shennington. This is contrary to environmental protection of both noise and use of fossil fuels.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

There should be a reduction of out of town retail park development. Reduction in paid parking in town centre which is losing significant business to out of town where parking is free.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The focus should be housing on conurbations within easy access to employment and transport links with suitable infrastructure.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Welcomes Shenington being classified as a smaller village with any expected development within existing built-up areas, conversion of existing buildings and brown field land. Do support expansion of housing development multiple homes that builds on green

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Supports development of affordable housing with the overall Cherwell area. Concerned at the sustainability of the business model, the resale and the protection from misuse ie conversion to alternative rental use ie AirBnB and what protections are in place to prevent this thereby reducing the housing stock.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Social rented housing.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

No comment until further report is available.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Welcomes the vision and proposals for Banbury to be a thriving market town and an economic and social focus. There should be an additional access point to the M40 constructed on the Aynho road to relieve traffic congestion on the existing M40 junction.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supports the 500 houses being in rural areas and concentrated on the larger villages utilising brownfield sites. Does not support building on greenfield or in small villages without infrastructure to support, or the construction of solar panels on arable land.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Welcomes Shenington being classified as a Smaller Village with any expected development restricted to within the existing built up areas supported.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

The protections for villages & rural areas and of their heritage buildings, conservations areas is supported. It is important for the sustainability of the village to support existing pubs, shops and other services.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Urges Cherwell to adopt the Plan as soon as possible to ensure protection from speculative development.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

LPR-A-229 proposed submission by Elan homes of housing on Stocking Lane Shenington not supported.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-329

What is your name? - Name

Anthony Hartwell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Questions why the plan is only being produced now when it is supposed to cover the period 2020 -2040? Since you cannot plan for the past it would make sense to produce these plans for future periods.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

The Plan consultation should be given more publicity and a longer period for people to respond. It is a long very document, with annexes, and it would be hard for people in full-time employment to find time to review the whole document in detail. This for

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

The Climate Action targets should certainly be retained or accelerated. Unless the Council takes a more proactive role in building affordable homes the second goal will not be attained. There is much to do to achieve the goals for employment and sustainab

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

States that traffic in Banbury is already difficult and further development in this area would only add to congestion. No significant developments should be approved in the area without improvements in the access to the M40 and to Banbury station. Adds th

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

SO1 No approvals should be granted unless they meet net zero targets. SO3 Wildlife corridors are essential for the protection of biodiversity so no developments that produce significant restrictions to the movement of wildlife between green spaces/woodla

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Not only open countryside – agricultural land on the outskirts of villages should be protected. Details of energy conservation, green energy and carbon zero in rep. CP7,8 & 9 There should be greater collection and use of grey water for all buildings – and support for retro-fitting grey water systems on existing houses. CP12 The whole concept of Biodiversity Net Gain stands or falls on the way the assessment is conducted. CP21 None of the housing developments in what are referred to as the larger “sustainable villages” have good access to the higher modes of the transport hierarchy. CP30 The sale of agricultural land for housing should be discouraged. CP32 Rather than simply resisting the loss of local facilities the Council should actively encourage an increase in local shops and businesses.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Ideally yes because it is important maintain our ecosystem services. The crucial thing is to ensure that any assessments of biodiversity are conducted by independent organisations that meet an authorised standard when planning applications are made.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Preference should be given to brownfield sites and redevelopment of spaces in town centres.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

From a sustainable transport view this makes sense.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Each town centre needs to consider how it can attract people – support for arts and craft businesses and provision of easy access by sustainable transport schemes (park and ride, expanded/subsidised parking at rail stations...

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Shopping and living in these locations must be made more attractive.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

There are nearly 50 smaller villages so it would make sense for small additions to be made in these areas just 5 – 10 houses per village could meet most the required new supply and would reduce the pressures on the infrastructure in the larger villages. O

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The only places in Cherwell that can truly offer all of the features of the 20 Minute Neighbourhood are the larger towns. If this is truly an objective of the plan then the facilities required to provide the necessary elements should be a priority for all of the existing communities that lack them.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

If sustainability is supposed to be one of the main criteria the goal should be to optimise the development towards more sustainable transport and energy systems.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Council must take a more proactive role in the delivery of affordable housing across the district.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes, if these are located in areas that have local employment and/or sustainable transport links.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Cherwell should provide more support for enhancing the infrastructural facilities in the rural areas.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP53 To move towards Net Zero new developments should not include connections to gas except in exceptional circumstances. CP54 These measures could make a contribution towards the development of the appropriate community facilities but the District Council needs to provide additional support for local communities to establish these facilities. This could be in the form of guidance on funding support and/or the provision of loans to the Parish Council. CP55 This is not happening in practise.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Access to Banbury station must be enhanced – it is currently constrained and causes traffic tailbacks at busy times. This does not encourage sustainable travel. If CDC want people to make use of the rail network they must make access to the station and pa

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Additional comments and summary in rep.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-330

What is your name? - Name

Julia Middleton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

There should be a push for panels on brown fields/rooftops - developers under obligation for panels on all new builds (inconceivable to lose green belt/land currently used for food production for solar panels).

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Questions the need for more growth when the county has excellent employment rates.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing targets over-inflated. Focus on local need/well designed/densely built affordable eco-homes, minimising use of Green Belt.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

On the need to prioritise green spaces for nature/well-being and prioritise building on brownfield sites.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Disapprove of Land north of the Moors proposal, possible to increase density on Green Belt already going to disappear rather than on this green land. This isn't an exceptional circumstance.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-331
What is your name? - Name
Andrew McHugh
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Banbury Quays Consortium
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Banbury Quays Consortium
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

BQC recommends local plan should specifically reference developing Banbury Cultural Strategy.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

A masterplan is needed rather than overarching vision - detailed analyses by street/area are necessary steps before planning a transformation (ie car free Market Place for piazza dining/encourage more people to use town centre).
A reassessment/ realloca

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Need town centre housing to include high proportion of aspirational properties to attract high earners (ie commuters). Brownfield sites should be prioritised (ie Canalside - prime site/Canalside Quarter - see Rep for detail). New housing should be deliv

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Transport infrastructure should be ambitious/attractive/preparing for 'carless' generations, designed for ease of movement around town centre (access to commercial/cultural/leisure amenities) - include footpaths/cycleways/access to public transport (links

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Green spaces should be planned/well maintained and designed to enhance town centre living, could be linked to increased and improved food and beverage offers. Re education – improved educational provision, along with good cultural offer and housing is ess

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-332

What is your name? - Name

Jenny Betts

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

No rationale for Cherwell to use higher figure for housing allocation - council should revise this figure. The 4400 allocated in Oxfords Unmet need appears to be exceeded by 10% - for this reason there's no reason to release further greenbelt land in Kid

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Land behind the Moors is used for recreation - proposal will require last remaining part of greenbelt around Kidlington to be lost. When Council consulted in 2021 they said no need to release further green belt for housing. The Moors road quiet/narrow incapable of taking further traffic/increase will damage local environment. Traffic calming is already in place. Objects to The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-333
What is your name? - Name
Sally Ross
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing numbers should be amended according to ONS calculations.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Local Plan does not take into consideration developments already occurred/granted planning permission since 2013 Cherwell Plan - these should be taken into consideration when allocating housing in areas.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Plan needs to ensure any development includes 30% affordable housing (not 10% as granted to Firethorn).

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Regarding new developments look to South East of Bicester - large amount of brown field land rather than greenfield site in the North West (loss of agricultural land). Why has MOD land around Graven Hill not been considered?
With SE option houses would b

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

As a resident of Bucknell, concerned over proposed green buffer between development and village. Local plan marks the line created by developers, bringing development so close to Bucknell that it will be no longer be a rural community (case of enforced u

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-334
What is your name? - Name
Janice Bamsey
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
West Oxfordshire District Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
West Oxon District Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Given the concerns expressed in the representations to questions 5, 42 and 43, West Oxfordshire District Council requests the deletion of the proposed allocation LPR002 SE Woodstock/ Upper Campsfield Road from the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-335
What is your name? - Name
Rob Huntley
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
RHPC
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Hargreaves Residential Developments on behalf of Pandora Trading
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The Plan should be more concise and focussed.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
The Plan should be a self-contained replacement for previous Plans, to enable it to be as user-friendly and comprehensible as possible. Any previous policies that it is proposed should continue in effect should be stated as policies in the new Plan. Needi
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Notes the references in the Vision to housing to meet need is welcomed. However, that aspiration is not reflected in the later parts of the Plan which envisage that such need is to be only partly met, in particular by failing to plan for a scale of housing development reflecting need arising from economic development in the District.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
The objectives, particularly SO6, are appropriate. They are not sufficiently reflected in later parts of the plan, which fail to plan for a scale of housing development reflecting need arising from economic development.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

As the largest town in the District, the Draft Plan's strategy should foster a more ambitious role for Banbury, rather than merely referring to "... some limited additional growth ..." there. Reword the first bullet point referring to Banbury as follows: Deliver committed development and provide for additional growth reflecting its role as the largest town in the District whilst taking account of topographical and landscape setting.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Although it may be appropriate and deliverable for BNG of more than 10% to be achieved in conjunction with specific developments, and this should be encouraged, the policy requirement of the Draft Plan should reflect that set out in NPPF.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Focussing employment development at the main towns is appropriate. This reinforces the need to make provision for increased housing development at Banbury beyond that envisaged in the draft Plan.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The HENA underThe overall level of housing need that the LP should aim to address. On this basis the overall provision to be planned for in Cherwell over the Plan period should be of the order of 6000 more than the 25860 dwellings set out in Table 2 (ie 3

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Significant additional housing development should be planned for at Banbury compared to what the Draft Plan currently envisages.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Land on the northern edge of Banbury, at Hardwick Farm, west of Southam Road should be identified as a site for additional housing development in the emerging Plan. The location and extent of the site is identified in the attached plan.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-336
What is your name? - Name
Karen Howe
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Woodstock Town Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Woodstock Town Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Concerned re inclusion of site LPR2: South East of Woodstock/Upper Campsfield Road (indicative capacity 450 dwellings) goes against several aspirations within area strategy (ie promote enhanced role for Kidlington as a local service centre in/near the village's centre. Protect/enhance townscape/landscape and maintain local distinctiveness). Site not close to Kidlington village centre/or in parish of Kidlington (over 3 miles from village centre/physically separated by London Oxford Airport/employment area of Langford Lane). Difficult to see how dwellings in location will meet housing needs of Kidlington/achieve Key Opportunities identified in Appendix 2 of Plan (ie be "well-integrated with the Woodstock and Kidlington communities"). Development in area will create an isolated village/no sense of belonging/supportive infrastructure. Goes against protecting local distinctiveness of setting of Shipton-on-Cherwell and Thrupp as will create a coalescence with Woodstock by removing one of remaining areas of greenfield between Bladon and Woodstock.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

If including site LPR2 is to meet Kidlington needs then WTC cannot see how this will be achieved and requests site to be removed from plan. Need to recognise constraints on development (including scheduled monument (Roman villa), impact on setting of World Heritage Site(WHS), and merging of Woodstock and Bladon). Will not integrate with Kidlington as children from development will more than likely have to attend a new school in Begbroke/Yarnton. Infrastructural problems of Woodstock already overwhelmed. Unlikely to be noticeable economic gain/commercial benefit if LPR2 is developed. Concerned none of the affordable housing provision will be available to local West Oxfordshire residents with connections to Woodstock and/or Bladon as Cherwell's affordable housing policy only allows residents to apply if they are living or have connections to Cherwell. Development does not sit in Kidlington or Woodstock Parishes but is part of Shipton-on-Cherwell (3 miles away/limited facilities). See Rep for previous Planning Inspectors On site and those of UNESCO, through ICOMOS (International Council on Monuments and Sites).

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No comment to make

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

No comment to make

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comment to make

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No comment to make

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No comment to make

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Concerned that traffic impacts of proposed developments within Kidlington Area Strategy (ie Transport Hub/Park & Ride) are not being acknowledged on neighbouring towns/villages outside Cherwell District's boundary - will increase traffic on A44 into/through Woodstock - concerned strategy only refers to improvements along the A44/A4144 and A4260/A4165 corridors/delivery of Kidlington LCWIP, not clear if A44 improvements include Woodstock

and this may mean Woodstock does not receive any mitigation for increase in traffic. To protect Woodstock/to enable S106 to be requested mitigation measures in towns/villages in vicinity of the strategy area but in a different District should be identified in the IDP.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

No comment to make

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No comment to make

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No comment to make

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No comment to make

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?
66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?
Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?
Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?
Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-337

What is your name? - Name

Alan Chapman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Concerns re Site Allocation South-East of Wretchwick Green Site A (LPR21a). The proximity of proposed houses to the structural retaining walls of the Windmill, a conservational perspective, Access from A41 and Light pollution.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-338
What is your name? - Name
Robert Synge
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Apollo Business Parks LLP
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Apollo Business Parks
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Regarding CP27 - does not believe wording will allow for future expansion of existing rural developments/business parks and this will be detrimental to local economy. Should welcome growth of rural sites/should be a presumption in favour of further devel

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C 339

What is your name? - Name

Susanna House

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Requests please make it clearer in consultation where in St Mary's fields the new residential site will be. Many people walk in St Mary's every day it will be a great loss if houses are built on that land.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-340
What is your name? - Name
Matt Whitney
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Oxfordshire Local Nature Partnership
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Oxfordshire Local Nature Partnership
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO9 – suggests a specific reference to biodiversity within objective (see Rep for suggested wording).
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP1 – prioritise nature based solutions to climate mitigation/adaptation - ensures achievement of multiple benefits/best value for money option. CP5 - strongly supports policy/keen to understand Council's carbon offsetting fund/work closely with/support OLN partners (see Rep for suggested ways forward). CP7 – strongly approve. CP11 – policy not ambitious enough/some concerning aspects. Re Irreplaceable Habitat - less strong policy than required by the NPPF (see Rep for wording) - concerned CDC puts at same level as LWSs. Re Nature Recovery Network comments additional policy is needed, this alongside CTAs, represent main ecological networks. Re Green Infrastructure Nature - important biodiversity is encouraged including via provision of high quality semi-natural habitat within built environment - suggests integrating Natural England's Green Infrastructure Framework into Local Plan. CP12 - not ambitious enough - requests to expand the policy of at least 20% BNG to cover all developments (see Rep for recommendations). OLN have a set of guiding principles and look forward to working with CDC to implement these. CP13 and CP14– strongly support. CP15 – supports but emphasises importance of ensuring GBI is high value for nature, suggests policy wording to be included in plan to encourage creation of high quality habitat to deliver benefits related to climate change etc.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Recommends reference biodiversity in strategic objective 9. Prioritise nature based solutions in approaches to climate mitigation and adaptation. Work with OLNP to design and develop the carbon offsetting fund, ensuring there are sufficient offsite nature

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-341

What is your name? - Name

Philip Blackman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors and that CDC are providing more houses than necessary which will strain infrastructure and cause a loss of green belt damaging an asset to the village. Notes the fields are in the recovery zone of the Oxfordshire Nature Recovery Network.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-342

What is your name? - Name

Jerry Kille

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Concerned about large scale and visual impact, impact on roads and local services. Will be urbanisation of town and result in lower quality of life for residents, cause rat-runs and low traffic neighbourhoods. Developments tightly together, no visitor pa

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-343

What is your name? - Name

Sandra Knibbs

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Regarding Hawkwell development. Bicester losing green spaces at alarming pace, wildlife already suffering, little more than houses and traffic ever building. Villages disappearing - just an extension of Bicester. People attached to countryside/green ar

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-344

What is your name? - Name

Christine & Elyot Tett

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Strongly object to amount of houses planned on NW side of Bicester (Hawkwell) (roads cannot take increased traffic) and urge to reduce number drastically - particularly nearer Bucknell, small village/cannot accommodate this sort of development.

Bicester t

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Chesterton does not have infrastructure to take many more houses/traffic increase/is not, does not want to become part of Bicester. No way for schools to grow (access dire/space limited) and no buses. Some houses, near village (third side of football/cricket/community centre ground) best way forward, but no more than 50.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-345
What is your name? - Name
John and Joyce Morris
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Fields behind The Moors, Kidlington - the area should be designated as a Local Green Space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concerned re plan to build on green belt behind The Moors. Revision to green belt boundaries made 3 years ago - should be retained/further revision not justified. Council should stick to statement that it was not proposing further residential development in Green Belt around Kidlington. Area used for recreational walking/has wildlife population (crested newts)/no obvious place for road construction without impacting the space/already has traffic calming/development increase traffic density. St Mary's church stands in unique position in relation to 'the delicate water meadows of the Cherwell' - should not be destroyed.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-346

What is your name? - Name

Valerie Price

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Regarding Banbury Lane Nethercote. This green space should not be lost - wildlife needs areas like this to continue to increase.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-347
What is your name? - Name
Graham Robb
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Would like to add support for Banbury Lane, Nethercote (little bit of remaining calm/historic landscape on east side of M40 within Cherwell). Historic site - meeting point of Klaus Fuchs and "Ursula" to pass atomic secrets to the Soviet Union.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-348

What is your name? - Name

Cathy Steiner

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects re proposal to build 7,600 homes North West of Bicester/to boundary of Bucknell Village. Affordable homes are needed - what percentage of development given to affordable homes? (should be 30% but unlikely as developers cannot make enough money so

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-349

What is your name? - Name

Edward Smith

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to plan to develop North West Bicester, bringing development 150 metres to boundary of Bucknell. Will remove village status, destroy green spaces, wildlife and increase light/air pollution. Continue to be campaigns against planning application f

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-350

What is your name? - Name

Patricia Redpath

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects re development South-East of Woodstock (see Rep for details of land being dismissed by the Inspector examining the partial review/objections by West Oxon District Council/details on Cherwell boundary/site position within Shipton on Cherwell Parish).
All former objections still apply and site should be removed from Plan. Re proposal for Land north of the Moors - the fields behind moors (unspoilt/tranquil) are registered as green belt land. Fields are green lung on perimeter of an urban area, Kidlington targeted because of proximity to Oxford City (see rep for reference to Levelling Up bill and suggested use of brownfield sites). Green belt should be strongly protected in accord with Government stated policies, CDC must not allow this encroachment onto green belt land to satisfy demand for housing numbers that include an overflow on behalf of Oxford - which should itself be adhering to brownfield development policies.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-351

What is your name? - Name

Anna Morton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Cycle lanes network to be developed. In rural areas consider quiet lane status too - clearly signposted as used by riders, walkers, runners - vehicles need to be very aware of other road users (eg one proposed for Foxden Way between Great and Little Bourton).

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Regarding Renewable Energy – solar energy needs could be met on rooftops/brownfield sites. Could developers have to commit to building carbon neutral dwellings?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

According to CPRE proposed policy on employment land is significantly weaker than existing Local Plan policy and should be revisited. Transparency needed.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

According to CPRE the housing targets are exaggerated – well above Government’s agreed housing methodology. Clarity on this is recommended.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Request to prioritise housing development on brown field sites (green field development creating strain on roads, exacerbating flooding/pollution and decreasing biodiversity).

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Where rural land has been allowed to be developed by landlords for traveller caravan parks the planning department need to be robust in holding the developer/owner to account for breaches to planning permission.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-352

What is your name? - Name

Roger Davies

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

"Build on area's excellent links to Oxford, Bicester" statement is complacent, links to Oxford not excellent - bus service is unreliable, frequency has been reduced. Plan should include statements about much improved public transport – e.g. an aspiration to have an electric bus service running every 10 minutes in both directions at peaks times. Objects to more sites for housing before improving public transport links.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposed to building on land north of the Moors - land is in the Green belt and should remain so. Public transport provision already inadequate/unreliable, also makes limited contribution to the Green Belt purposes. Walkers use fields frequently, pond at the south end of site is particularly environmentally sensitive for plants/wildlife. Fields can get waterlogged, building on this site will increase risk of serious flooding in other residential areas of Kidlington.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

There are many brown field sites that could be explored as alternatives/prioritised over green belt land (ie land proposed by Dorchester Living at Upper Heyford).

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

The number of aircraft and associated noise has grown considerably. Helicopters (grown more rapidly than planes) are particularly noisy. These have already significantly impacted the quality of life in Church Street, Kidlington (a conservation area). Su

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-353
What is your name? - Name
Hugh Smith
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Expresses approval of the designation of Langford Community Orchard, Gavray Drive and Derwent Green as Local Green Spaces, as referred to in Core Policy 56 of the proposed local plan.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-354
What is your name? - Name
Simon Marsh
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Battlefields Trust
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

The Trust ask if can consider including restrictions on permitted development rights under an Article 4 direction for National Important Registered Historic Battlefield of Cropredy Bridge (1644)/. Do not expect all permitted development rights to be restricted but concerned re specific areas within General Permitted Development Order (GPDO). Restrictions on Schedule 2 Part 6 activities within GPDO are main priority (other activities in Parts 4,13,15 and 17 cause for concern). Happy to discuss impact/potential impact and what restriction/mitigation is appropriate. Re Parts 13 and 15 would not be seeking to prevent/even restrict these activities but their potential to disturb battle evidence suggests additional clause added to conditions so appropriate archaeology be undertaken as part of any permitted development work. Similar approach suggested for Part 17 Classes J,JA,K and KA.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-355
What is your name? - Name
Jennifer de Beyer
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land North of The Moors, and around St Mary's Kidlington

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to development north of the Moors and proposed building on green belt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-356
What is your name? - Name
Hilary C & Hilary M Williams
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Plan period too long, by end of proposed period likely to be out-of-date/no longer relevant to communities it is serving.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Summary sheets for each area at beginning would make Plan documents less clunky. Like the links. Huge document/not everyone able to access online, needs to be accessible for everyone.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Yes, for the future. Saving in Archive copies of relevant plans, even if they no longer inform current processes. Paper trails are important.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
More detail required on how to tackle exclusion/social deprivation. Questions what is new compared with the current plan. More drugs counsellors/health centres/places of worship with trained staff who can help/shelters for homeless/food banks.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Important housing developments are not on Flood plains. Insist on greater flood defences not just plan for new developments alongside existing requirements.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Theme One a broad brush approach to Environmental commitments, no detail how farming/preserving farmland for food security will be managed. Plan for next 20 years needs to incorporate how will enhance farming/food security.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Doesn't go far enough for environmental & rural protection.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes, but questions why just the minimum?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, already plenty of land identified/in current use for employment. Working from home should be encouraged.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

As long as all other sites within towns used (ie redevelopment of empty shops, encouragement of home working, redeployment of existing properties) would be acceptable/sustainable for long-term growth of towns.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

N/A

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

N/A

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

As long as sites fit for purpose and infrastructure facilities in place (see Rep for examples).

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Questions how will "most important landscapes", to whom will they be "most important" and in what sense "important" be defined? Will definition of "more restrictive policy context" include commitment to enhance biodiversity in farmland? Suggests Council put Solar Farms on better sites and keep farmland for food security/farming. Question whether Council will commit to enhanced public transport links for villages/hamlets and make fully accessible. Developing housing in rural areas should be infilling (not losing countryside - people's mental health/wellbeing). Establish picnic areas. Keep fields & greenbelt land for farming/buffer zone between towns and rural areas. Food security/being able to feed population should be part of Plan. Affordable housing best served within well established/existing developments not rural areas with little infrastructure.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

N/A

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Sounds reasonable (empty units need to be rezoned/turned into affordable housing)

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

N/A

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Not everyone who uses E use classes will be there to shop, recommends to place a few strategically in other areas away from the shops but in the towns so to be regularly used.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

More affordable housing required but a matter of where it is placed for best possible outcome for residents/existing communities. Affordable housing in rural areas wont achieve Council's objectives as already limited facilities/infrastructure.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

N/A

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No comment as do not have access to information.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

No specific views.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

In principle, sounds reasonable if housing in developments with good access to transport links/facilities (families at less risk of having to spend more than reasonable to reach facilities/transport links).

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No (reasons stated elsewhere)

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

As long as communities protected, including travelling communities, and farmland/greenbelt land not affected.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The fields around Hanwell on the northern edge of Banbury along the Warwick Road in particular and fields behind allotments in Hanwell.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

In principle, the idea of 20-minute neighbourhoods is interesting, but plan appears to exclude places of worship, homeless shelters, facilities for disabled access etc. No separate question on this idea, how will 20-minute communities work/co exist alongside existing housing? How will you bridge this gap/are you looking to create new mini-towns?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

No new ideas on how to reduce deprivation in Banbury (see rep for examples). Re nighttime economy - question if CDC will allocate additional resources to fund Street Pastors/Street Wardens/Police officers to make it a safe place. Hopes that by 2040 Hanw

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

As long as good transport links/additional infrastructure established as part of these new communities.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

None

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

4,400 new homes seems a vast amount, types/sustainability mean houses will be cramped/without gardens. Concerns re flooding/food security/ability for people to feel safe. Will require input by other organisations ie Thames Valley Police to ensure estates do not become no-go areas/need efforts made to design crime out.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

If there are no better sites, then yes.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Questions whether Council could buy inexpensive properties in area and turn them into Council houses.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Yes, makes sense to offer such housing to people who work on those employment sites and for communities to have a chance to flourish around those sites.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

If large private dwellings come up for sale would Council be able to buy/convert them into council housing? Perhaps Council needs to consider more investment along these lines?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Greenbelt should be protected at all cost.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes, as long as appropriate additional security measures in place to prevent terrorist activity at the Airport.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

No

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Questions how "most important landscapes", to whom will they be "most important" and in what sense "important" are defined? Will definition of "more restrictive policy context" include commitment to enhance biodiversity in farmland? Suggests Council put Solar Farms on better sites and keep farmland for food security/farming. Question if Council commit to enhanced public transport links for villages/hamlets and making these fully accessible. Developing housing in rural areas should be infilling (not losing countryside - people's mental health/wellbeing). Establish picnic areas. Keep fields & greenbelt land for farming/buffer zone between towns and rural areas. Food security/being able to feed population should be part of Plan. Affordable housing best served within well established/existing developments not rural areas with little infrastructure.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No for reasons in question 61

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Comments are in question 61.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

No

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-357

What is your name? - Name

Daniel Rhodes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land North of The Moors, and around St Mary's Kidlington

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to development north of the Moors and building on the green belt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-358

What is your name? - Name

Chris Mason

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Cherwell Swifts Conservation Project

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

On CP11 that the LP provides an opportunity for CDC to cement commitment to making swift bricks mandatory in all new development.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-359
What is your name? - Name
Andrew Willis
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Banburyshire Advice Centre
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Having more houses is fine but support services need to be adequately supported financially to support the large population.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-360

What is your name? - Name

Debbie Alford

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to Hawkwell development due to; lack of infrastructure, increased traffic, lack of services and loss of green space.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-361

What is your name? - Name

Julian Keeble

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to Hawkwell development. Some key areas for concern include; where is healthcare provision, school and road infrastructure, environmental impact, affordable housing (with recent Firethorn development it is noted, with concern, that 10% and not 30

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-362
What is your name? - Name
Gordon Kenyon
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Kenyon Planning
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Apollo Business Parks LLP
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Wroxton Ironstone Works for employment (details in rep)

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-363
What is your name? - Name
Gill Peeling
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Too much building resulting in the expansion beyond town limits, which impacts on nearby villages

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-364

What is your name? - Name

Mark Cooper

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to the Moors due to access, biodiversity, visual impact, drainage and amenity. Details in rep.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-365

What is your name? - Name

Paul M Tate

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Area between Hanwell and 'Hanwell Fields' development

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Since 1996 plan very little has been included for infrastructure which is required. Notes there should be more solar on warehouse roofs. There is a need for water recovery systems.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-366
What is your name? - Name
Martin Clist
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Number of new houses suggested more than required by Government targets and questions to what result if not located on brownfield sites.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Disappointed with building on greenfield over brownfield sites. Notes solar energy should be concentrated in urban areas and less obtrusive solar panels should be insisted on, not in conservation zones or listed areas.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-367
What is your name? - Name
Kirstie Vreede
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Wish to designate as a Local Green Space the land behind The Moors, Kidlington, as was put forward by Kidlington Development Watch and supported by the parish council at the time of the 2021 consultation.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects strongly to proposals to build more housing on Green Belt around Kidlington, particularly behind The Moors. Already traffic problems, will only be made worse by more housing. Council should stick to statement made in 2021 consultation that not proposing further residential development in Green Belt around Kidlington.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-368

What is your name? - Name

David Bainbridge

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Savills

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Bellway Homes Limited

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Supports consideration of a period to at least 2042, would welcome assessment by CDC as to how this could be delivered. Para 22 of NPPF states where larger scale developments form part of strategy policies should be set within a vision that looks further

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

Local plan is very lengthy, could benefit from consideration of a reduction in its size.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Policy Villages 2 current Local Plan includes a mechanism to enable new sustainable housing growth at the villages by also specifying that sites will be identified through the determination of applications for planning permission. Therefore where no neigh

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

Requests explanation as to how CDC intends to publish responses to consultation/publish responses to the responses. Re use of digital tools (NPPF Paragraph 16 e) consultation on draft local plan via cherwell.citizenspace.com/ is well presented but would

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Slippage in the timetable for progression of local plan needs to be addressed to prevent further delay. Requests consideration of the LDS timetable for the emerging new local plan by CDC to see whether timesaving can be identified. The Government intend

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Do not consider summary of draft spatial strategy appropriate - states that focus for new development is at Bicester/lesser extent Banbury. Doesn't account for unmet needs of Oxford City/need to identify housing sites at sustainable settlements in District. Supportive of approach of setting out area strategies for towns/Kidlington/Heyford Park/rural area but should be seen in context of our overarching objection to omission of housing sites at villages in draft plan. Main objection to local plan - consider absence of housing sites fails to deliver on stated objectives for rural area in plan. Support the strategy in provision for limited development to meet local community needs but needs to be identified through allocation of sites for housing.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Supports CP1. CP2, 3, 4 & 5 are not clearly written/ambiguous. CP 6 to 22 - no comment. Development Policy 1 - why a development policy whilst policies before/after core policies?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

CP24 - not convinced this policy is justified under national planning policy, sets out minimum densities on all new housing developments, does not comply with NPPF.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Summary should be explicit that plan includes a contribution towards the unmet needs of Oxford City. Should reach agreement on local housing need for all of the authorities and seek apportionment of unmet needs of the City across the adjoining authorities

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Content of Table 5 needs evidence to underpin it. Rural Area future supply is insufficient - stated as indicative in table 7 but appears to be definitive in CP34. Request re-consideration of CP34 - CDC to prepare/consult upon further engagement with cross-boundary authorities/stakeholders, wider options for housing distribution (beyond 2040), include sufficient provision for housing at villages in the rural area.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

CP35 - object re the type of development at the upper levels of settlement hierarchy (restricted such that development beyond existing built limits only permitted on allocated sites). See Rep for details.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

CP36 parts of policy not clearly written/ambiguous: "The majority of first homes should be 2 bedrooms" what constitutes 'exceptional circumstances' - request further consideration of the wording of this policy. CP37 policy is not clearly written/ambiguous - needs further consideration/re-working. CP38 policy not clearly written/ambiguous - should not set blanket requirement for all housing sites to provide extra care dwellings (C3 use class) as part of the overall mix (see rep for more detail).

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP43 - final part states development proposals within National Landscape will "only permitted if small scale/sustainably located .." not clear/requires explanation. Para 3.244 states that further landscape character assessments will also be prepared to inform the final plan - request explanation on process/timing for additional assessment work/understand impact on this draft policy? CP46 - very lengthy policy, in parts unclear/ambiguous, no explanation as to how to make decisions based on policy (see Rep for examples) - request reconsideration of policy.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Objects to this chapter and policies for the reasons already explained in this response.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

CP87 - welcomes recognition of need to monitor/measure/review but considers policy insufficient as does not define measurable triggers or deliverable actions.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

This response has been prepared in the context of Bellway Homes Ltd's land interest at Land off Ploughley Road, Ambrosden, this Site is the subject of a planning appeal (reference: APP/C3105/W/23/3327213) following refusal of an outline planning applicati

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-369

What is your name? - Name

Eleanor Williamson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The Moors represents speculative building for commercial motives and notes CDC has committed to more new homes than government targets which the number is inflated more by The Moors.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The Moors has intrinsic amenity value as unspoilt open countryside and has the support of Kidlington Parish Council.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to their contribution to the rural setting for St Mary's church and that it supports wildlife, is part of a floodplain and access is unrealistic.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-370

What is your name? - Name

Mr R Goodacre

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to Hawkwell development and That the plan looks excessive for this part of Bicester with lack of community infrastructure and intrusion of the green belt.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-371

What is your name? - Name

Trevor Campbell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land north of The Moors as supported by Kidlington Parish Council.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concern about the road and traffic congestion. Objects to The Moors as it is in the greenbelt and important for wildlife and local residents.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

The Fire Station and sorting office could potentially be relocated to sites such as Langford Lane and used instead for housing. Glebe House on Mill street which in no longer used as a residential car home is also suitable for housing.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

Site 1 – Any development here must ensure that increased traffic/parking does not exacerbate hazardous pedestrian area. It borders hedgerows which are in the Crown Road Conservation Area. Any development must protect the hedgerows. Site 3 – includes the

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-372
What is your name? - Name
Jane Olds
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Caversfield Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Caversfield Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Comments presentation is clear and colour coding works well.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes, but it depends what the other requirements are. 10% biodiversity net gain should be an absolute minimum.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Employment land should be linked to the number of houses actually built (not proposed).

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Allocated sites should take priority.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Ancillary sites should be able to include, not only food stores etc, but also childcare facilities.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

No views. Proposal acceptable.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

In support of the proposal, provided it benefits the local community.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Bicester town centre needs support and anything to improve the facilities would benefit the town.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

No, the shops at the front of the Claremont carpark should be included in the proposals (ie former Wilko store), even if the car park is to be converted to residential.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The PC is not qualified to respond to this question.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

It seems reasonable.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Caversfield PC is not proposing to produce a Neighbourhood Plan.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

It would be helpful to have clarification of the villages included within the Open Countryside categorisation and more detail for how the Plan intends to protect those villages.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

The allocation should be more than the statutory minimum required.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Affordable housing should not be to the detriment of village hierarchy requirements.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Propose two areas of Local Green Space for the benefit of the village. 1. The vacant area to the south of Springfield Road (which used to be a play area) and 2. The land to the north of Rau Court (which also used to be a play area).

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

They are good aspirations, but whether they are achievable, is debatable.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

There are no alternatives.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Buses for north west Bicester to connect with the stations and town centre.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

The proposal for the bus priority route on page 168 is not clear. The A41 is not on the Banbury Road.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

If the Claremont car park and the market square car park are redeveloped, there will be no disabled parking provision at that end of the town which may exclude many visitors. Sainsbury's car park is not fully accessible and level access for many is impera

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

The Council has supported the development (with the obvious protection caveats) of the site over the last ten years.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

They have no additional views, but welcome the strategy requiring areas to be specifically included in the local or neighbourhood plan before development is allowed.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Yes, but the Smaller Villages and Open Countryside categorisation must be strongly protected.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-373
What is your name? - Name
David Best
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Cropredy Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Cropredy Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Content with the 20 year period.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The document could be complemented with a video presentation available online.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
They like the presentation of the three themes.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Welcomes the draft vision which is clearly expressed.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Welcome the objectives.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Suggests a specific strategic objective on rural areas to complement SO 8 and SO 12, for example: This would link to the Rural Areas strategy on page 13.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Welcomes the clear hierarchical, spatial strategy, and also the new classification of villages.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Difficult to judge, but nothing less than 10% should be accepted.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, as the Housing and Economic Assessment was completed recently in 2022.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Welcomes this concentration.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Welcomes the approach to encourage development on existing sites, with allocation to other uses only if non-viability has been proven.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Welcomes the additional criteria for any employment development in small villages.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Agrees with ancillary uses being restricted as set out.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Agrees with the proposed approach to rural diversification, with the stated provisos to ensure based on existing viable businesses and there is no harm to existing buildings and landscape.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Agrees with the proposed approach and, in particular, support the provisos for development in rural areas. Additionally, would add that any development should benefit village shops and other facilities.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Agrees with the 'town centre first' strategy.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

They are only able to comment on Banbury, and the boundaries appear sensible.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes, because that is a need to concentrate the retails/service uses and consider other uses in the wider town centres.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Agrees with the selection of Scenario 3 the most appropriate.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Agrees with development being focussed on existing urban areas. Distribution of 500 dwellings in rural areas appears sensible, but it is essential that the existing supply (538) is delivered in a timely way to prevent pressure for additional supply. In communication, it is important to be clear that the expected housing delivery over the plan period is the 'existing supply' plus the 'new supply'. For rural areas this is 1,038 (538 plus 500)

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Not seeking a specific housing requirement in a Neighbourhood Plan.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Welcomes the new hierarchy compared with the latest plan. For Cropredy, the classification as a 'small village' is sensible as it reflects the size and the poor public transport availability. More generally, the hierarchy and the respective 'type of development' appears sound, and that for Cropredy would mean 'limited infill development limited within existing built up area or on allocated sites, and that proposals will be supported that are: i. 'in keeping with local character; ii. proportionate in scale, and iii meet local housing needs, and /or provided local employment, service and employment, services and facilities'.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No, as affordable home homeownership is also important.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Yes, Cropredy PC will consider and, as appropriate, provide a separate submission.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

CP: 57: Historic Environment and Archaeology, 58: Conservation Areas, and 59: Listed Buildings - welcomes these policies and would wish them to protect the distinctive historic heritage of Cropredy village and its surrounds. CP 60 & 61 - welcomes these po

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Further consideration for housing development beyond the existing urban boundary should not result in adjacent villages coalescing with the urban area.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Consider the aspirations for Rural Areas are sound and align with CPC's vision for Cropredy.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Support the housing development being directed to larger, more sustainable villages with good public transport and site specific allocations.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

The most important priority now is to deliver the LP through the next stages asap so that it is adopted and has full impact on future development.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-374

What is your name? - Name

Michael Teper

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Plan increases amount of housing beyond Government guidelines, questions why this is. Choices Oxford is making about what it constructs on new developments north of Oxford - too many businesses will require new housing for employees?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Need more truly affordable housing rather than so-called 'affordable' housing - not expensive houses but flats in apartment buildings or, to a more limited extent, conversions to flats of existing houses. The meaningful criterion of 'affordable' should be what can young families on median incomes afford to buy.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to Plan to build houses on fields North of The Moors due to the recreational benefits which would be lost. The site protects Kidlington from flooding which the risk would be increased by building. Notes development would increase traffic levels.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-375
What is your name? - Name
Bill Lilly
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
BLBB Consulting Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
County Water
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

County Water Ltd is a licenced Sewerage Undertaker responsible for the treatment of foul flows originating from Heyford Park and is responsible for maintaining and operating the Heyford Park Sewage Treatment Works (HPSTW). There are over 1200 dwellings in Heyford Park, and it is known to County Water Ltd that further development is proposed in the emerging Plan to take place to the south of Heyford Park (LPR42 refers). The HPSTW should be considered as critical infrastructure. The Council's plan relating to LPR42 includes the HPSTW within the proposed site. County Water Ltd advises that the HPSTW should be removed from the proposed site boundary and should also be clearly delineated on the amended plan. Requests that the Council consider the requirement for a Cordon Sanitaire around the HPSTW. A Cordon Sanitaire acts as a barrier to minimize the likelihood of nuisance being caused by the HPSTW and will avoid the potential for complaints of odour nuisance from future residents.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-376

What is your name? - Name

Samantha Patten

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors as it is open countryside which is in the greenbelt used for recreational purposes. Notes the traffic issues, impact on wildlife and flood risk.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-377
What is your name? - Name
Mark Zimmer
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
North Newington Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
North Newington Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Concerned re proposed development of area Withycombe Farm (LPR 49). Adjacent to/forms an extension of development at West of Bretch Hill - since this development, has been an increase in anti-social behaviour/litter/criminal damage in Parish (intervention)

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-378

What is your name? - Name

Samuel Cheadle

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supportive of Kidlington Development Watch to designate Land North of the Moors as a Local Green Space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors as it is green space enjoyed by locals and is in the greenbelt. References commitment in 2021 consultation that no more residential development on greenbelt was to be proposed.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-379

What is your name? - Name

John Maddicott

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Kidlington is threatened by development and there is no need for any more, especially on the green belt. Notes the figures for houses required is overestimated.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors as it is greenbelt land used for recreation and forms part of the historic setting of Old Kidlington. Notes there is excess traffic and inadequate infrastructure to accomodate.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-380

What is your name? - Name

Robert McKenzie

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Amount of planned housing in Bicester area is a third higher than shown to be required by Government methodology and cannot be supported through existing/proposed road infrastructure.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Regarding proposed development at Hawkwell, Northwest Bicester. Proposed number of housing exceeds capacity of existing roads/facilities - needs to be substantially reduced. Junction 10 M40 is already congested, will be made worse - redesigning roads at J

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-381
What is your name? - Name
Dr Lisa Smith
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Simple things such as not clearly advertising one email address where to send objections has complicated community's ability to respond.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Proposed development plans are for a higher number of houses than required by government mandates. Raises concerns re necessity/justification for proposed development on Green Belt land.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

No brownfield sites being considered, only green, entire plan needs to be reviewed to see where Green Belt can be saved and brownfield sites better utilised for residential housing proposals.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors as it is used for recreation and the housing number for the area exceeds the allocation. Notes the impact it would have on local character and biodiversity.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-382

What is your name? - Name

Julie Findley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land behind the Moors, Kidlington.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Greenbelt boundaries were only revised 3 years ago and there does not appear to be justification for further revision. Objects to the Moors due to access, traffic volume, recreational use, setting of St Mary's Church and flood risk.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-383
What is your name? - Name
Andrew McCallum
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Railfuture Thames Valley
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Railfuture Thames Valley
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Strong support for CP65 Banbury station vital for local economy and for public transport, the towns only link with the rest of the country especially now Banbury is no longer served by any long-distance coach services. This area needs redevelopment to su

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-384

What is your name? - Name

Louis Borucki

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to proposed development north of the Moors due to traffic congestion/access (see rep for details), use of Green Belt with wide range of protected mature trees and hedgerows supporting a wide range of species, use by locals as their only access to a truly green space, currently farmed arable land, risk of flooding, no local services and lack of infrastructure. Capacity of brownfield sites should be undertaken before any consideration to develop on green belt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Land opposite Jolly Boatman public house which has direct access onto A4260 and Langford Lane.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-385

What is your name? - Name

Margaret Borucki

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Regarding proposed development of land North of The Moors - concerns re climate change, some flooding caused by development on flood plain, areas such as land North of The Moors - partly flood plain - should remain undeveloped/stay as a vital break between the river Cherwell and Kidlington village.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-386
What is your name? - Name
Lyana Powlesland
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Bluestone Planning
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Mr & Mrs Hockaday
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Objects to proposed development north of Wykham Lane (LPR52). Although development would preferably not be allocated within this site and the 'Preferred mixed use site allocation' of Canalside (LPR55) have its housing numbers increased, should site LPR52

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-387
What is your name? - Name
Mike Priaulx
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Swifts & Planning Group
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Swifts Local Network
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP11 supported in principle but requests it refers to swift bricks being installed in accordance with best practise guidance. Highlights the importance of protecting existing colonies of species which are overlooked by BNG metric.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-388
What is your name? - Name
Sharron Chalcraft
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Finmere Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Finmere Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects to proposed site for development at Finmere. This site has had a planning application for only 5 houses refused and an appeal dismissed, so to build 10 houses would surely not be permissible, and the PC would like to object in the strongest terms.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-389
What is your name? - Name
Mark Utting
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Pye Homes Blenheim
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Pye Homes
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Their proposed site fulfills all three objectives.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Agrees with the strategic employment growth areas being centered around the main service centres in the district.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

There should be a green belt review of potential employment sites, such as Land South of Station Field Industrial Park, which would form a logical extension to existing employment use on under-utilised land. The proposed use classes included within CP 27

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Comments in support

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Sites for employment are appropriate but notes further land is required to meet the economic objectives.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Notes Pye Homes has an interest in bringing forward sustainable, flexible, incubator accommodation on Land South of Station Field Industrial Park, Kidlington. Details of site in rep. The site is available, appropriate and achievable for employment develo

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes, it would represent a logical extension to employment development.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-390

What is your name? - Name

Derek and Kate Hedges

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Bucknell not mentioned in plan - must be included in protected countryside. Lack of consultation in creating plan. Need for housing from Government has been greatly reduced - Cherwell's gross numbers are 40% over the need. Traffic through Bucknell could

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-391

What is your name? - Name

Paul and Patricia Eldridge

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to development behind the Moors due to the fields having an archaeological interest, small fields bounded by hedges and trees which support a variety of wildlife, lack of vehicular access, and lack of basic facilities.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-392

What is your name? - Name

Pat Clayton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to planned new homes at Hawkwell. Bicester congested with traffic currently - will get worse. No new roads included with development, Bicester barely copes with traffic now/recent lowering of speed restrictions adds to frustration. Lack of GP se

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-393
What is your name? - Name
Mark Utting
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Pye Homes Blenheim
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Pye Homes
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Comments in support.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The plan should strengthen Kidlington's role as a local service centre and seek to release additional sites from the Green Belt to provide additional residential and employment growth, to include Land north of Webb's way from Green Belt for residential development.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Additional land on the edge of Kidlington should be released from the Green Belt as Kidlington is ideally placed to accommodate Oxford City's unmet need.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Welcomes proposed settlement hierarchy and agree that Kidlington should remain a local service centre.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

In support. The aspirations for Kidlington could be strengthened.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Yes, Land north of Webbs's Way which represents a logical extension to the village.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Land North of Webbs Way. The site is relatively unconstrained, subject to release from Green Belt and could come forward early within the plan period. There are no legal or landownership issues that would prevent the implementation of a planning consent.

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-394

What is your name? - Name

Philippa Gallie

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to proposed building on greenfield site of St Marys fields behind The Moors. One of last open green spaces within walking distance of Kidlington/enjoyed every day of the year, for mental/physical health. Understand permissive paths will no longer be available. Area below site regularly floods, wildlife takes refuge in higher ground, if lose top fields wildlife only have small area of woodland and centre fields which are underwater for much of autumn to spring. Taking away nesting areas of skylarks. St Marys church spire view will disappear. Safe place for exercise/de-stress. Dog walkers will go where children play - dog mess being left/dog attacks on children. Increase need to drive to other locations to exercise, walk dogs, improve mental health. Roads already congested/more cars could result in increase in asthma/breathing related illnesses/traffic accidents. Supposed to be reducing carbon footprint but will increase it. Public transport inadequate, questions how will it cope.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C 395
What is your name? - Name
Philip Lewis
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objects to proposed development at Shenington with Alkerton - recently subject to planning application but had strong objections/withdrawn. Category C village in plan, land not suitable for development - not infill/not proportionate in scale to existing

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-396
What is your name? - Name
Mervyn Dobson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Pembury Webb Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
No explanation of how 'Green Economy' features in growth of business in Cherwell. SO12 no indication as to how sustainability is to be assessed. It is not necessarily the correct strategy to base definitions of sustainability on the current situation and
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The draft spatial strategy is fairly general in nature. District - There is a need for considerable flexibility with regard to the definitions of sustainability and in particular to levels of concentration incorporated in the current strategy. Banbury - Has limited scope for additional expansion which is at least partly recognised in the spatial strategy section relating to the town. Bicester - the town already has significant housing and employment allocations allotted to it in the existing local plan. The proposal to add yet further to the amount of development at this location focuses demand in such a way as does not necessarily correspond to demand for new housing and employment uses. Kidlington - the proximity of this settlement to Oxford makes it out as a good location for meeting Oxford unmet housing needs, but the Council correctly acknowledges that this can only be done at the expense of the Green Belt. Heyford Park - The rate of delivery of houses suggests that there is a limit to the number of people who wish to purchase housing in this location. Location is no more than a few kilometres from the very large NW expansion of Bicester. This concentrates the housing market still further in a way which is likely to have a significant impact on completion rates in this area. Rural Areas - believe that the proposal to only allow limited development to meet local community and business needs is unsatisfactory and will limit development in the rural areas without providing any proper justification. Any increase in housing numbers should be focused on the rural areas and in particular on the sustainable category A villages.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No, the introduction of BNG holds up much needed housing development. Increasing the requirement to 20% or more will make it harder bringing forward smaller sites.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The HENA is scrupulously fair and draws attention to the shortcomings posed by the 2014 Census based standardised methodology for calculating housing needs. The HENA assessment based on the UK going into recession in 2023 was pessimistic. It is relevant

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

No explanation is given to why this conclusion has been reached and it is not correct to say that the selected level of housing is equivalent to that needed to support the level of workforce as estimated by the updated projection of economic growth for the county. Significant numbers of inward migrants to the UK. Whilst the government projections suggest that net inward migration will slow down and start to decrease post 2027, the circumstances already exist whereby this projection will inevitably be exceeded. Economic growth prospects are demonstrably better now than they were when the HENA was produced. Assuming economic growth is better than forecast by HENA in 2022, its comments about the E C Baseline Trend scenario are highly relevant. Final reason for opposing the selected strategy is that it effectively results in a reduction in house building across the district area contrary to the advice in the NPPF. The strategy must be amended so that the minimum requirement is for the strategy to make provision for the 2021 Census Adjusted Scenario. It is clear from our representations that the level of urban concentration achieved in the proposed strategy is excessive. The additional housing should be spread across the Cat A Villages. A more even spread of development will provide a range of dwellings in sustainable locations to meet demand as it arises.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The settlement hierarchy proposals are broadly acceptable in the sense that they are correctly identified. Believe that category A villages should not be restricted to local needs only and should make provision for a proportion of the overall dwelling number

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Broadly agree with approach. Banbury is heavily constrained by topographical factors, additional development will have to take account of these.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Notes that Bicester is identified as a new "Garden City". The council have sought to focus a substantial amount of new development in this locality. Despite claims to the contrary, the town centre facilities in Bicester are still substantially below the l

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, as will involve Green Belt releases.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Notes the rate of housing construction has not proceeded at a very rapid pace. The total number of dwellings constructed in the 11-year period 2011/22 was 734. In 2021/22 60 dwellings were completed. Although the settlement has a primary and secondary school, it has relatively few other facilities and is also relatively close to Bicester in terms of competition in the housing market. Upper Heyford is intended to deliver 150 dwellings per annum over the 20-year life of the plan, which is roughly equivalent to the 3000 dwellings identified in the draft LP. The past build rate does not indicate that this location is capable of delivering this level of completions. There is a clear need to monitor this situation and to reallocate some of the proposed southern extension to other settlements in the rural areas. History suggests that planning authorities do not address this problem, quickly enough and limited delivery rates on major strategic sites accumulate very rapidly. We do not support the full 1235 additional dwellings for Heyford Park, and would suggest that as a minimum that 235 dwellings be redistributed to the rural areas.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?**57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?****58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?****59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?**

Dubious about the extent to which house-building rates can be increased on this site, especially given the delivery of transportation infrastructure. Whilst no objection to expansion in this location, despite its proximity to the northwest extension of Bi

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?**Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?****61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?**

The council aspirations for the Rural Areas are restrictive. There is no justification to restricting development to meeting local needs. The suggestion that "tight management of speculative development" is needed is an unnecessarily pejorative as virtually all development is provided by the private sector and to that extent is speculative.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Unsupportive of the 500 newly allocated dwellings to the Rural areas for the next 18 year, when combined with the existing commitments of 538, this represents a total of only 1038 dwellings over next 18 years which is substantially below past build rates

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Have already promoted a suitable housing site for up to 60 dwellings at Deddington (Land north of Wimborn Close). This was considered by CDC planning committee in July of this year, but rejected on the grounds that there was a five-year supply of land ava

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

It is important that the planning system harnesses the full potential for growth of areas such as Oxfordshire, rather than planning for decline - as appears to be the case with the current strategy. The HENA avoids that description, but the selection of the lower of the two economic growth options by the council suggest that there has been a deliberate decision to minimise the amount of development.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Allocating smaller sites in Category A villages will ensure the delivery of housing in a timely fashion as these smaller sites are more agile and not as constrained on infrastructure requirements meaning they can be delivered in a timely fashion.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-397

What is your name? - Name

Sarah Stevens

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concern over proposed developments on NE side of Bicester - welfare of local people top priority. Re planned housing adjacent to Avonbury Business Park - concerns re road infrastructure/at Howes Lane dangerous for pedestrians/cyclists - promised road not

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-398
What is your name? - Name
Helen Oldfield
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Deddington Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Deddington Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Agrees with overall target of 500 homes for the rural area - should not be appreciably raised. More development - more cars to get to work, more pollution, more fuel consumption – against aim to be carbon neutral by 2030

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Objects - this policy stipulates 30% affordable housing, current percentage for rural areas is 35%, oppose the change. Of affordable houses it is proposed 70% should be affordable rental and 25% First Homes sold at a 25% discount - propose that at least 30% of the affordable rental properties should have a social rent. Further propose that in Deddington/similar villages where few people qualify to go on Housing Register, the number of purchasable First Homes/shared ownership homes be raised to 50% of the affordable homes. Would urge Local Plan to explicitly state that people with strong local connection to a locality of village will be prioritised for affordable accommodation in said locality or village (as well as key workers).

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Difficult to answer question without knowing what sacrifices would be. Think more social rented housing is very important.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-399
What is your name? - Name
John Spratt
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Personal response to consultation on Local Plan - as Chairman of Hanwell Parish Council, familiar with response made on behalf of Parish Council - confirm personally repeat and emphasise on own behalf contents of that response.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-400
What is your name? - Name
Kevin Prince
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Adkin
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Bucknell Farms Ltd
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Re proximity of northern boundary of Area LPR33 (NW Bicester) to southern boundary of Bucknell - concerned over impact upon traffic through Bucknell/adjoining road network. Existing road infrastructure not adequate to facilitate additional traffic - revie

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

There is an area to the north east of LPR33 adjoining the B4100 which is not quite so close to the southern boundary of Bucknell.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-401
What is your name? - Name
Juliet Ralph
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Consultation time too short/form too complex.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Consultation form too long/not well publicised.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO 1 & 2 encourage solar panel installations for new housing developments/fitting on council/other public buildings - preferable to large solar farms on land that could be used to provide food.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Car parks in small towns (Bicester) with failing high streets should be free of charge to encourage visitors/residents. Encourage retail in own centre - not just cafes/activities. Cultural offers in town centres also important.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Should place emphasis on cultural aspirations. Market Square development not fit for purpose. Disagree with banning through traffic (to detriment of nearby shops/push traffic into residential roads). 'Café culture' promised with development of Pioneer

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Agrees area near Junc 9 M40 good place for development. Objects that any warehouse development should take place nearer to residential areas/town itself. Unhappy with continued expansion of Bicester village unless to advantage of Bicester residents (not

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Claremont car park very popular and Deans Court could be attractive shopping area - does not agree these are good locations for residential development. Cattle Market car park, Victoria Road could be changed to residential if necessary.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Supports traffic control (eg traffic lights at Park and Ride to make entrance/exit easier, in particular for buses)

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Would support better/paved/lit if relevant footpaths to nearby villages (ie Stratton Audley) and to retail park at Kingsmere and possibly to nature reserve behind Bicester Avenue. Better footpaths/cycle ways to nearby villages welcome as would improved f

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Bicester needs more decent sized shops to compliment increasing number of cafes/restaurants. Properties linked together to provide larger floor space for larger shops (clothing, homeware, shoes shops, 'up market' food shops). Banking hub a priority. Br

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Would like improved footpath to/within the site for Bicester residents.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-402
What is your name? - Name
Jay Panesar
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Sanctuary
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Sanctuary
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Having a policy of 25% of First Homes on every development could deter new housing developments/potential Developers from Cherwell area. Important to acknowledge ongoing rise in construction costs, with additional pressure on profit margins a reduction in profits (30% off on-Market Value on houses) schemes maybe seen as financially unviable/reduce developments/investment in area. A flexible policy that adjusts discount/percentage of first time homes per development based on development size could maintain developer interest, allowing delivery of first time homes/encouraging developers to build in area/Cherwell achieve targets.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Agree - would be in favour of providing social rented housing, should it be accommodated by way of allowing more sales products on site to counteract the financial impact of social vs affordable.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Vision/objective of ensuring growth/delivery of housing welcomed - main enabler to achieve targets is streamlined planning/permitting process. Improved processes/procedures will reduce delay/costs for developers/assist Cherwell's commitments. Re curren

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-403
What is your name? - Name
Martyn Twigg
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Carden Group Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Carden Group Ltd
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Plan period should be extended to 2045 (see Rep for details).
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Key Diagram is misprinted in online PDF version. Employment/residential needs important strategic requirements yet policies do not appear until late in plan (gives impression of reduced importance) should come earlier in policy sequencing. Strategic empl
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
It is appropriate to save policies where they remain relevant, but old allocations not yet delivered should be reviewed (may no longer be fit for purpose/appropriate).
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Theme Two (page 6) is concerned with Maintaining/Developing a Sustainable Local Economy but should be expanded to reflect/recognise role that Cherwell plays in wider region as it is meeting needs of Oxfordshire.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
No.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO6 should be expanded to reflect wider economic importance of district generally (Bicester in particular). Land identified for employment should not be limited to Bicester's needs. This would be consistent with Plan Vision/Objectives for Bicester.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Comments see Question 5.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Comments see Question 5.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No justification for a higher requirement (required to mitigate impacts arising from a proposal, to do more would fall foul of CIL/S106 requirements) to place additional burdens on development beyond statute unreasonable (see Rep for more detail/example).

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes, allocating additional land would provide flexibility/wider choice/more employment opportunities greatest flexibility for delivery. Reliance on few large allocations insufficient choice for occupiers/developers. CDC's Employment Land Review identified suitable employment land at Bicester (off Skimmingdish Lane) - can be brought forward through plan/provide significant benefits/no significant material planning harm. No planning reasons for not allocating additional development opportunities where no material harm arises.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

No comment

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Focussing employment at Bicester/Banbury appropriate strategy. Allocating land at Kidlington is a less sustainable (link between employment/residential development is less strong) - should be directed toward Bicester and Banbury.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Consequences of the policy must be reflected in the need to allocate additional employment land. Loss of old employment land should be reflected in the supply requirement (same way residential losses recorded. If employment land lost on regular annualise

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Policy approach supported in general terms however policy starts from premise that 'exceptional circumstances' need to be demonstrated is a high bar/associated with Green Belt development - test unreasonable. Policy should start from premise that sustai

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Ancillary uses can assist in overall market attractiveness of employment sites which will add to their sustainability.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

No comments

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

No comments

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

No comments.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

No comments

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

No comments

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The Economic Needs Assessment does not appear to refer to the Residential Needs Assessment and there is a risk of disconnect between these two inextricably linked strategies.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

No comments

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No comments.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

No comments.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

No comments.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No comments

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

No comments

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No comments

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

No comments

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comments

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No comments

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No comments

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Bicester Vision 2040 generally appropriate but should be amended to "The town will have a diverse economic base with "at least 50" hectares of land developed for new employment. Limiting employment to 50 hectares creates an impression that more employmen

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comments

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No comments

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

No comments

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Yes. Employment Land Review identifies 26.4 hectares of land at Skimmingdish Lane as suitable for employment development (LPR29) but there is no clear evidence base as to why it has not been identified for allocation. The land is available/suitable/well

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comments

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No comments

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comments

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comments

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

No comments

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

No comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

No comments

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

no comments

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

no comments

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

no comments

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

no comments

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

no comments

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

no comments

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

no comments

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

no comments

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

no comments

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

no comments

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

no comments

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

no comments

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

no comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

no comments

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

no comments

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

no comments

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

no comments

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

no comments

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

no comments

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

no comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

no comments

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

no comments

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

no comments

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

no comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

no comments

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

no comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

no comments

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

no comments

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

no comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

no comments

Summary comments of all questions

no comments

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-404

What is your name? - Name

Janet Rowley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Lichfields uk

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

GC No 40 Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

In general supports updated vision, particularly focus on maintaining/growing economy of District/building upon economic success of urban areas in a sustainable way to provide more diverse employment opportunities and reduce out commuting. Important that vision acknowledges importance of enhanced transport links/providing sound infrastructure/excellent education facilities to help achieve this part of Vision.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Generally supportive of Strategic Objectives/align with economic/social/environmental goals/ambitions of NPPF (2023).

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

On elements of Spatial Strategy relating to Bicester - welcomes strategy for Bicester to continue as focus for additional development reflecting ongoing growth/transformation. Notes strategy seeks to maximise benefits from having key international/national destinations and economic activity to support further business investment.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP12 supports movement towards achieving environmental sustainability/requiring biodiversity net gain re new development but does not consider requirement above mandatory 10% BNG justified/requires testing through viability assessment before taken forward in next stage of Local Plan Review. It may not be possible for all sites to provide BNG onsite, a policy approach to BNG should include provision for off-site contributions to mitigation, where appropriate/build flexibility into policy (see rep for ref to statutory credits, etc).

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

CP25 Require additional land allocations for employment within Plan (to accord with NPPF requirements/meet need for employment land/strategic needs of Functional Employment Market Area [FEMA]). Lichfields undertaken Employment Land Needs Assessment [ELNA] for industrial/warehousing development in District (see Appendix 2 of document in Rep) shows errors in Council's HENA (notably OAN calculation) would welcome Council's acknowledgement of error/amendment to employment land need figure. Overall employment land need figure increased by between 49 - 58 hectares, to 274-283 ha, does not appear to be any further allocations included in CP25 to meet additional need (only additional 3.3 hectares Bicester Business Park) so shortfall of employment land needed, based on Council's own evidence, is between 184.3 ha and 193.4 ha. Re para 3.119 need to take account of other permissions in place/developments completed on unallocated sites but information not in Draft therefore current employment land supply in District unknown/residual employment land requirement unclear. Does not consider Council's current approach addresses requirements of NPPF para 11b in being able to adequately deliver Local Plan Review Strategic Objectives/providing identified employment land needs of District/wider FEMA up to 2040. Will reserve judgement on this point until Council provides clearer evidence on actual forward supply of employment land. Other matters need to be considered to inform amount employment land need - see Rep for detailed On 'strategic role of Cherwell and Bicester', 'Socio Economic Profile of Cherwell', 'Current Levels of Supply and Demand for B8', 'Failure to consider wider strategic B8 needs', 'Further errors in the HENA'.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Welcomes opportunities for positive engagement with Cherwell in relation to land interests at Land South of the A41, Bicester (see Rep for details of site/Vision Document at Appendix 1).

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Supports continued focus of employment development on key centres, particularly Bicester.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?**12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?**

Broadly supportive of inclusion of CP27 and flexibility it provides but concerned about inclusion of an "exceptional circumstances" test beyond requirement for demonstrable need not clear what other "exceptional circumstances" would need to be present. S

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?**14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?**

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Re CP70, supports overall spatial strategy/specific references to “ongoing growth and transformation as a sustainable Garden town” and seeking to “maximise... economic activity to support further business investment” but does not consider it focused enough

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Re-emphasize requirement to identify more strategic allocations to ensure employment needs of District/wider FEMA are met over Plan Period and contribution sites in Bicester can make. Consultation highlights strategic importance of Bicester - does not co

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Considers further employment land allocations required within Bicester and promotes land south of A41, Bicester for employment development - site ‘suitable, available and achievable’. Bicester must increasingly attract major employment development from co

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Representations prepared by Lichfields on behalf of GC No. 40 Ltd, promoting land interests at Land South of A41, Bicester. Vision Document, including an illustrative masterplan for the site, is appended to representations (Appendix 1). GC No. 40 is seek

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-405

What is your name? - Name

Claire Willoughby

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to proposed development on the fields behind the Moors because of access to open countryside enjoyed by Kidlington residents, and wildlife present as well as the impact on traffic levels.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-406
What is your name? - Name
Neville Surtees
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Savills
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Christ Church
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Paragraph 22 of NPPF states that where larger scale developments such as significant extensions to existing towns form part of the strategy for the area, policies should be set within a vision that looks further ahead (at least 30 years), to consider the
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Supports the retention and reference in the Plan text to Policy PR6a of the adopted Cherwell Local Plan 2011 - 2031 (Part1) Partial Review. This includes text at Paragraph 6.3, CP 76, Paragraph 6.85 and at Appendix 1. Together with Bellway Homes is the jo
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Comments in support
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Comments in support
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Acknowledgement should be given in the wording of the Vision, and to policies and text elsewhere in the LP, to that part of the District which lies on the northern edge of Oxford to the contribution which will be made by the delivery of development there.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

CP 1 – 6 Supports the aims of those draft policies which seek to meet the challenge of climate change and ensuring sustainable development. Supports the aim in CP1 point (i) of distributing growth to the most sustainable locations, which includes land on the northern edge of Oxford. Confirmation is required from the Council that the specific requirements of CP 1,2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 are deliverable and that appropriate and affordable technology is available. Further clarification of the wording of these policies is required to ensure consistency with current Government policy.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The requirement of CP12 should be consistent with the national guidance on BNG and associated timescales for its implementation. Further explanation is required of the requirement for 20% BNG in Nature Recovery Network Core and Recovery zones, and new urban extensions. The requirement set in this Policy should take into consideration other relevant considerations such as delivery of other on-site requirements. When adopted, this Policy should also include reference made to the most-recent Biodiversity Metric. Reference should be made to Metric 4.0 or successor. CP14 Further evidence is required to accompany this Policy. The Plan should include the Natural Capital Map of Oxfordshire in order to understand the requirements of this Policy. CP24 Supports making effective and efficient use of land and the acknowledgement in the Policy that specific local circumstances is a determining factor in confirming appropriate densities of development. The inclusion of the word ‘minimum’ in relation to development densities does not comply with the NPPF and the policy wording should be amended.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Supports identifying further land for employment, which includes its location close to Oxford and being within the Oxfordshire ‘Knowledge Spine’ and the Oxford-Cambridge Arc. In addition to the commercial centres at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington the PI

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Further consideration should be given to promoting a higher housing requirement. The portion of Oxford's unmet need is not yet confirmed. The overall housing requirement should be stated as minimum requirement and be flexible to respond to any change to the Oxford figure and the uncertainty over collaboration with the wider Oxfordshire Councils. If the Council were to plan for a higher-level economic growth than is currently identified, then consideration should be given to allocate a new mixed-use development site on land within Christ Church's ownership in close proximity to the Water Eaton Park & Ride and Oxford Parkway station. This would result in both the district-wide and Kidlington area figures being increased in size in CP 34. Subject to any further development requirements being identified by the Council in this Plan, Christ Church's proposals could include - 750 – 1,000 new homes, 15 hectares for employment uses, a linear park and strategic leisure route, area for flood mitigation and environmental enhancement (including BNG), and expansion of the proposed existing primary school if required. Previously submitted a vision document to the Oxfordshire 2050 Plan Call for Ideas consultation in relation to land at Water Eaton, to the east of the allocated PR6a site, being outside of the flood zone, but adjacent to the Water Eaton Park & Ride site and Parkway Station. Should the Plan period need to be extended beyond 2040 to ensure it covers a minimum period of 15 years post adoption, the overall housing requirement should also be increased to accommodate the annual housing requirements for those additional years.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

In support. CP38 Notes the inclusion of this draft Policy in the Plan and confirms that adopted Policy does not require the delivery of Extra Care Housing.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

If the Council were to plan for a higher level economic growth, then consideration should be given to the opportunity to allocate a new mixed-use development site on land within ChCh's ownership in close proximity to the Oxford Park & Ride and Oxford Parkway station. This would result in the housing and employment delivery targets being increased and an additional allocation being made in CP 76. The key components of ChCh's proposals are listed in the response to CP 34.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-407
What is your name? - Name
Ben Ward
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Marrons OBO Rosconn Strategic Land
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Ben Ward
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Requests that the baseline housing requirement figure is reviewed to be more in line with the Economic Led Growth Scenario of the HENA (see Rep for indepth On HENA/Economic Development Led Scenarios comparisons).

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Requests that a greater indicative housing apportionment to the rural area is tested and adopted as the preferred approach and the approach to the rural area more generally re-examined to achieve a more equitable and deliverable growth strategy.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Requests that clarification is provided as to the role and function of the more sustainable rural settlements such as Adderbury and Hook Norton in accommodating future growth. Recommend that rural growth is prioritised in these areas in line with the app

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

CP52 Supported. Confirmation is required from the Council that the requirement for new schools to be built to net zero standards is deliverable and that appropriate and affordable technology is available.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Rosconn Strategic Land is promoting Land West of Sibford Road, Hook Norton (REF CHER1036) and Land South of Milton Road, Adderbury (REF CHER1037) for residential development. Each assessed as suitable, available and achievable for residential development (

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-408

What is your name? - Name

Ioana Davies

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Area behind the Moors is a natural green space - used by many walkers every day/to the Canal. Often waterlogged/floods regularly. Frequented by wildlife. Should not be considered as a building area.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Local green belt being built on is reducing people's access to nature/local carbon capture. Proposed stadium will encompass a large amount of green belt. Re transport may appear well connected but bus service unreliable/deteriorated significantly over the past three years.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Further housing could be planned on Bicester Road.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

The Skoda garage site would be a good place for retail development (ie supermarket - would reduce need to travel into Oxford). A new/modern health centre with better facilities/more staff could be built on Exeter Close - enhance aim for a healthier vill

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Theme 1 SO1: areas where inappropriate (see below). Sustainable/energy efficient homes need to be a demand rather than suggestion. Buildings that meet criteria promised but not delivered because of costs. SO.2: Re resilience against flooding - likely flooding will increase. Re fields behind the Moors waterlogged every year/flood regularly - more development will increase run off/flooding of existing homes along the Moors/Church Street more likely. Conservation area/needs special consideration. SO.3 think air quality in village deteriorated (often smell of aviation fuel). Traffic increased due to poor public transport. New bus contract unreliable/car use seems a better option. Theme 2 village centre would benefit from more/varied shops (children's clothes/fresh food rather than take aways - encourage a healthier lifestyle). Theme 3 Healthy living - urgent expansion/new provision of Primary care required.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-409
What is your name? - Name
Alan Jones
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Should be at least to 2040 to provide certainty for future decision making.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
No comments
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
No comments
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
No comments
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Would be nice (given current issues about serious pollution) to include simple statement about clean water/air in addition to more sophisticated environmental goals.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Mentions improving air quality at SO 3 but no specific mention of objective for water quality.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No comments

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Strongly agrees with focus on new development at Bicester and lesser extent Banbury. Strongly agrees with strengthening the vitality/viability of Banbury Town Centre as far as possible - has much potential but suffered in recent years with changes in retail behaviour/loss of major stores.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No comments

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No comments

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

No comments

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Seems to be the most sustainable approach.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Agrees that sites need to be protected from short term economic pressures.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Agrees sensible to allow for some developments on suitable sites - difficult to predict demands for employment land over plan period.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Agrees sensible to allow for some ancillary uses eg fast food, etc. to support larger employment areas.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Supports the principle but need to avoid unsuitable sporadic developments in open countryside.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Supports the principle but need to avoid unsuitable sporadic developments in open countryside.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Generally supports this approach.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

No comments

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

No comments

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Agrees that Scenario 3 seems to be most realistic given uncertainties about national/local economy.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Generally supports proposed future housing distribution (has to shift focus away from Banbury - reaching environmental limits).

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Assume Hanwell village is now in Open Countryside category, given its lack of facilities?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Strongly agrees affordable housing is high priority/need robust planning policies.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes, but providing other social infrastructure is important too (need Government to invest in building affordable housing rather than relying on developers/invest in building specialised housing designed for older/disabled residents).

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

No comments

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Hanwell PC has already done this as part of previous consultation.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Strongly supports policies to protect heritage assets (vital part of character of Cherwell).

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Generally supports this approach.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Supports exploring the two sites mentioned and maximising housing development in/around town/local centres for elderly etc who need accessible locations (lots of standard estate houses but poor in provision of housing designed for elderly). Would assist d

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No comments

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No comments

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Generally supports the approach.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comments

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No comments

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

No comments

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comments

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comments

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No comments

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comments

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No comments

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

No comments

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

No comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

No comments

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Generally supports the approach - Kidlington never seems to achieve its potential as desirable place to live/work - opportunity to promote comprehensive enhancements/local housing.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No comments

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No comments

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

No comments

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No comments

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No comments

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No comments

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

No comments

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No comments

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

No comments

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

No comments

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

No comments

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

No comments

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

No comments

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

No comments

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

No comments

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

No comments

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No comments

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

No comments

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Strongly supports this approach.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Comments supports these proposals

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No comments

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Strongly agree with intelligent contingency measures to allow the Plan to have some flexibility/move forward, rather than keep going back to square one. It is vital that the Plan can be adopted ASAP.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No comments

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No comments

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

No comments

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

In view of time period already involved in preparing/adopting Local Plan, risk that Plan might be overtaken by events (ie change of Government) - important that Plan can be adopted as soon as possible to provide robust planning framework for future of Cherwell. Re District wide policies - note under CP18: Light pollution - also mention impact on sensitive locations/amenities (ie Hanwell Community Observatory which needs dark skies to function). Lastly, all those involved in preparation of this excellent, extremely detailed consultation draft should be thanked for their hard work.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-410

What is your name? - Name

Sally Grover

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The fields behind the Moors, Kidlington, should under no circumstances be built on, proposed development should be withdrawn and the site designated a green space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Fields behind the Moors - proposed development should be withdrawn as it is open countryside used for recreation by residents, is a unique setting of St Mary's Church, supports a variety of wildlife and that development would increase flood risk and traffic levels. Questions why council isn't considering potential brownfield sites (one proposed by Dorchester Living at Upper Heyford with local Parish Council support). Brownfield sites should be prioritised over green belt/ green belt sites.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-411
What is your name? - Name
Rosie Blenkinsop
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Savills
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Bloor Homes (Western)
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Supports the allocation of site reference LPR49: Withycombe Farm in the draft local plan for residential development. Agrees Site provides the opportunity for a high quality and sustainable urban extension to the west of Banbury. Regarding site referenc

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

This response specifically relates to Bloor Homes' interest at land South of Banbury Rise, Banbury, identified as a proposed allocation under the title 'Withycombe Farm' and site reference LPR49. Supports principle of proposed allocation (see Rep for appr

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-412

What is your name? - Name

Emma Rawson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Planning Prospects

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Richborough Estates

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

NPPF requires strategic policies which should look ahead over a minimum period of 15 years/where larger scale developments form part of strategy should be within a vision looking at least 30 years forward - assumed adoption in December 2025 a plan period

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The Vision is expressed in terms of outcomes "by 2040" - it is considered that an extended period to at least 2042 should be adopted/reflected throughout the Plan including the Vision.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

District wide strategy is supported however in terms of area strategies need to ensure not too rigidly framed/translated into policy. Rural Areas strategy approach too rigid - third bullet under this strategy is inappropriate. Treats villages – larger, smaller and others – as isolated entities/does not recognise relationships amongst/between villages/larger settlements (see rep for examples of small settlements being well related to well-served larger villages). Third bullet should have text added to read; “and to other locations where well related to the services offered in larger settlements.” This further emphasis should then be translated into the provisions of the relevant Plan policies.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Requirement for 10% biodiversity net gain supported, achievement of greater than 10% BNG should be encouraged where possible but should not seek more than 10% net gain if it means sacrificing other requirements. Not clear what “other requirements” might include but are likely to be important in reducing the impacts of development/meeting specific needs.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

At this stage of plan-making/in light of current evidence base Cherwell's need should be identified as Scenario 2 and should be expressed as a minimum and calculated over a longer Plan period beyond 2040. Additional sites should be allocated accordingly

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Housing need to be identified/addressed by the Plan should be increased. For a number of reasons (lapses in supply, slippage for larger elements of supply, question marks around elements of new/existing supply, reliance on large sites) it is considered housing supply will fall short of need identified. Distribution favours main towns, Kidlington, Heyford Park is appropriate but further element should be added to promote rural delivery/greater than the 500 units currently identified for rural areas. The Council should engage further with consultees to understand the sites that might contribute to this.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The inclusion within "Open Countryside" of all villages not included in other categories is inappropriate. It includes settlements which are relatively large/cannot be regarded as "Open Countryside". Distinct settlements should not be included within "O

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

A further element to the strategy provision should be made to allow for more flexibility and growth in the lower order settlements, particularly on sites that are sustainably located/benefit from access to local services/facilities. Should include the prospect of new housing at small settlements in rural areas that might be well related to well-served larger villages/main towns. Rural Areas strategy should be amended to support housing directed to other locations where well related to services offered in larger settlements. Overall housing need should increase and some increase be directed to rural areas. CP86 needs to be more flexible in this regard to allow for sustainably located development proposals to come forward in rural areas and should be expanded to include a criteria-based approach to allow housing development to come forward to contribute towards meeting need.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land at South Lodge, Caversfield, has previously been promoted in this regard (referred to both as LPR-A-003 and LPR-A-144). It is well related to the edge of Caversfield, and accessible to the extensive services and facilities in the northern part of Bi

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Representation prepared by Planning Prospects Ltd on behalf of Richborough Estates to promote Land at South Lodge, Caversfield for development. Previously been promoted in this regard (referred to both as LPR-A-003 and LPR-A-144). It is well related to

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-413

What is your name? - Name

Dr Hilary Maddicott

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to proposed building on fields north of the Moors as it is productive agricultural land, will be detrimental to considerations of food security as well the environment as a whole. Footpaths used/loved, if developments (ie Stadium) go ahead green belt dividing Kidlington/Oxford will disappear/be more need for areas of unspoilt, open countryside, for people/wildlife.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-414

What is your name? - Name

Philip Smith

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Pegasus Group

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Pegasus Group

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Notes the NPPF at paragraph 22 states is acknowledged at paragraph 1.8 of the Consultation Plan although only in the context of housing supply. The need to plan for at least 15 years also applies to securing long-term economic growth in the area. The Loca

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Notes all retained polices should be contained within the Local Plan Review for clarity.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The Vision should refer to having met the identified need for housing and employment land by the end of the Plan period.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

General support.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

No.
6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?
To be in accord with the NPPF requirement to give significant weight on the need to support economic growth and productivity considering both local business needs and wider opportunities for development, the first bullet point of the Spatial Strategy for Banbury should be amended to: 'Deliver committed development and provide for additional growth that meets the needs of the community and businesses in sustainable locations'. The NPPF requires that planning policies and decisions should recognise and address the specific locational requirements of different sectors. This includes making provision for clusters or networks of knowledge and data-driven, creative or high technology industries; and for storage and distribution operations at a variety of scales and in suitably accessible locations. The specific requirements of the logistic sector should be recognised and met in the spatial strategy.
7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?
Only where it is viable and feasible, including off-site contributions.
8: Should we identify further land for employment?
Notes the consultation Plan identifies an additional 74.8 hectares of land for employment use. In addition to the 60 hectares already completed or committed. This leaves a considerable shortfall of at least 139.2 hectares against the Council's identified need. Of the additional 74.8 hectares identified only 10.5 hectares is at Banbury split over two sites. There is clearly inadequate to meet the needs of the logistic sector in the Banbury area, and therefore requires the allocation of additional sites.
9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.
Greystoke CB are promoting land east of junction 11 of the M40, Banbury. This site has been submitted to the Call for Sites and was a recent planning application. This area land was considered in the Employment Land Review (ELR) ref: LPR57. The site is on
10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?
The approach is supported, however, there is a considerable shortfall of employment land identified to meet the assessed needs.
11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?
12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?
Generally in support.
13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?
14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?
15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Significant weight should be placed on the role of housing to support economic growth. The Council's preferred approach is 'Housing Scenario of 3' of 1,293 homes per annum which includes a proportion of unmet need from Oxford City. However, this represent

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

To be in accord with the NPPF requirement to give significant weight on the need to support economic growth and productivity taking into account both local business needs and wider opportunities for development, the first bullet point of the Spatial Strat

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

States land east of junction 11 of M0 should be allocated for employment logistics uses.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Notes appendix 2 should include the site at land east of junction 11 of the M40, Banbury.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-415
What is your name? - Name
Allan & Lisa Phipps
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Needs a process for updating sections that become outdated rather than leaving ambiguity - leads to uncertainty/exploitation/lack of trust in Plan. AMR could add more value to Plan - keeping it current/addressing major changes in district/used to seek pu
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Presentation very technical/perception amongst residents is views aren't important - good if council did some work that shows an attempt to engage public. Would be useful if links to supplementary information was available throughout the Plan and a sear
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Would be clearer if policies in main document could have an indication whether new or retained and where replacements, a link to previous policy (adopted Local Plan has list of all policies at start of plan, easier to find what you're looking for).
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Increase public engagement with plan - take into account community/voices. Change this so community can be involved in factors that affect them/have interest in/input into shaping communities. Residents could become volunteers helping to achieve things for district.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO3 should be more inspirational - a leading district in biodiversity enhancement. Concerned don't have an understanding of what we have - two years ago no biodiversity records, citizen science has changed that and have seen biodiversity enhancing from be

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Should aspire to take holistic approach when assessing development proposals/impact on landscape components. Need some focus on geodiversity, without good geodiversity cannot achieve good biodiversity. SO9 important/more effort needed to document this.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Regarding spatial strategy - concerned re lack of public consultation for applications, particularly on non-allocated sites (see rep for example). Strategy in conflict with the revised policies, these seem weaker than before, should aim to strengthen policies. Weakening policies contradictory to what stated aims are. Disconcerting/confusing council suggesting theres a shortfall of employment land with no policy to address this. Seems relevant that council have adopted HENA method for housing targets, this has knock-on effect to employment land requirements - if used Government recommended methodology around 11000 less houses required, would have sufficient employment land. Needs to be stronger definition /protections of "settlement gaps".

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Should be seeking more than 10% biodiversity net gain without sacrificing other requirements. 10% is bare minimum, should be aiming much higher. The area East of M40 is often referred to as a natural green buffer zone along the border with Northamptonshire, we would like to see this reflected in policy.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

If employment land need is 50 hectares short and need required equally across years of plan, then available employment land would meet needs for a considerable time (objects to going ahead at this stage and commit further land). Suggests put in place a policy that commits to a review of employment land within 5-10 years of adoption - clear policy needed to deal with any perceived shortfall in employment land. Identifying further employment land at this late stage of plan review mean considerations will have reduced scrutiny/skip processes of consultation/anxiety for communities/opportunities to exploit plan for developers. New policies weakened/will not allow planning team/committee to protect against inappropriate development. A lot of ambition focused on enhancing biodiversity, increasing woodland and green space but where will this go?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Current adopted Local plan supposed to have focus on providing diverse employment/high skilled job opportunities to reduce numbers travelling out of district. Question focus on this - majority of employment land developed is for warehousing. Employers in

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?**12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?**

Policies revised from SLE1 in currently adopted approach are weakened (little difference between approach to development on allocated or non-allocated sites). 3 specific concerns - policy no longer emphasises employment will be focused on allocated sites

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?**14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?**

More needs to be done to address imbalance that it is currently more profitable for landowners to sell for development and the volume of land being held until such time that this profit can be achieved with no productive value to the district of the use in the meantime. Concerned that so much land is being held onto speculatively and not used for anything in the meantime.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Parking in Banbury needs to be addressed - provision of fair/free parking charges and enforcement of illegal parking.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

If this method pursued it should be written into policy for review within first two to five years of adoption of new plan, to ensure not building too many homes in relation to need. Better to have double tier approach - Government suggested methodology

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

No policies re empty homes - explore options to put empty homes back into use. Cherwell should not be taking on allocation of Oxford's unmet housing need - if residents still work/study in Oxford will increase commuter mileage, contrary to what trying to achieve. Oxford gets significantly more investment in infrastructure so if unmet housing need is allocated, Oxford should share their funding in same proportions. Should be clearer brownfield first policy that is also reflected in allocation to rural areas (disproportionately high if aiming to protect countryside).

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Would like to see this policy within Open Countryside worded to specifically include hamlets alongside the small villages not listed (see rep for examples).

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports the amended proposal to designate Banbury Lane, Nethercote as Local Green Space for reasons as laid out in the separate proposal submission. Also the green space East of M40 J11, Huscote Farm and Nethercote designated as such.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Much of language vague/subjective/open to interpretation and needs to be tightened up. Discordance between key themes - should be provided for within Policies. Re CP1 3.5 Re OcLep strategy- needs to be more specific, how will changes be measured/against what? A hierarchy of placement to avoid loss of green belt. Re C Grade EPC - needs definition/how will it be measured. Without context meaningless. Re electric/active travel to become new norm - do not have infrastructure in place.

3.11 Important - engage public more in processes they will be more committed. CP4 re requirements for development of 1000m" or more - need sliding requirements for less than 1000m". CP11 3.58 if biodiversity encouraging features have been endorsed by the council since 2018, why are they not happening still? Need to improve records of what biodiversity have already/more about what to protect/enhance - engage more with citizen science project/ TVERC. iii a typo "...irreplaceable habits..." instead of "habitats".

CP12 - re increased focus on biodiversity will be necessary to increase officers resources in ecology and planning team. CDC has low tree cover, should be acknowledged/policy to address. Irreplaceable habitat cannot be replaced and therefore extensive efforts should be made to protect this. Re Nature Recovery Network core/recovery zones less in Banbury, needs to be addressed for connectivity. See Rep for Natural England Research Report information - good to see these principles reflected in the policies. CP14

3.72 typo, end of line 4 "and will ensure that the is taken into account" the ... is missing, what is going to be taken into account? Needs to be more engagement of public to identify areas to focus on. CP16 re quality (see Rep for references) should be able to provide real time figures for air quality. CP17: What monitoring of noise/pollution is currently carried out in district? How will we know sensitive receptor(s) without data? CP18: re light pollution, how changed over recent years/impacted by warehouses. Define what constitutes unacceptable impact. Mapping of light pollution should be made public. CP29: CEP should be representing views of residents too. CP43 Most up to date evidence on Landscape must be cited/kept up to date. CP47 Need to define walking distance /acknowledge safety/other factors relevant to definition of walking distance. CP57 Acknowledges not all heritage assets recorded but nothing in policy to redress this. CP59 Listed Building proposals should see consultations to a wider audience.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Not confident that unchecked volume of warehouse developments will not continue. Some core policies appear contrary to ambitions for Banbury area - unclear that new plan with its weakened policies would give planning officers sufficient weight to act. No

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Green Belt use proposed is not exceptional (vague language is subjective/open to interpretation). Required housing could be accommodated without interfering with green belt by increasing density/improving design.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Regarding green buffer zones/natural areas of green space. Landscape mapping should be better documented - Stratford Upon Avon Local Plan an example of a policy that maps these green buffer areas - would like similar policy included in CDC Local Plan and see the green space East of M40 J11, Huscote Farm and Nethercote designated as such.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No policies covering enforcement - important aspect of planning system.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Supportive of CDC, think officers should be better supported with stronger/robust policies - important policies are sufficient to ensure developers are building our ambitions and visions for area.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-416
What is your name? - Name
Keep Nethercote Rural
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Keep Nethercote Rural
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Keep Nethercote Rural
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Great to see enhanced biodiversity policies but concerned focus is on enhancing/protecting with little work in area to establish what we have present to enhance/protect. The area East of M40 is often referred to as a natural green buffer zone along the border with Northamptonshire, we would like to see this reflected in policy.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Positive that Employment Land sites LPR57, LPR58 and LPR59 at Nethercote and Huscote Farm not being taken forward for allocation in plan. Policies that should prevent development in unsuitable locations significantly weaker than in currently adopted plan. Concern that shortfall of Employment Land is suggested, with no proposed policies for dealing with this/not clear how need has been calculated.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Would like to see specific reference to hamlets within Open Countryside.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports Local Green Space proposal for Banbury Lane, Nethercote.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-417

What is your name? - Name

Ora Sapir

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Providing more houses than necessary - should stick with Government standard figures. Providing too many houses for Oxford (Oxford has exaggerated its needs).

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Development already planned will place strain on transport/infrastructure/local services/damage environment - not sustainable. Too much Green Belt taken for 4,400 homes to meet Oxford needs - these sold on open market not necessarily Kidlington.
Proposed football stadium will require roads closure two days a week/add to transport problems. Green Belt boundaries should endure beyond lifetime of local plan - 3 years ago a revision, no need for more. Objects to The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-418

What is your name? - Name

Nick Arthur

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Renewable energy should prioritise brownfield sites over greenfield.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Would be beneficial to enhance proposed policy on employment land, it's much weaker than the existing Local Plan policy.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Suggested targets for housing excessive - Government's methodology creates number lower, proposed rationale for beyond this target not compelling.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Beneficial if CDC allocated more resources to help communities identify Local Green Spaces - valuable to residents/not enough being done to create/maintain them.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Given previous statements about environment seems less than sensible to propose building on greenbelt in Kidlington.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-419

What is your name? - Name

Rachel Rahman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors. Concerned re flood risk, and puddles in gardens at The Moors do not drain in heavy rain and electric was lost in heavy rain as water levels rose under floor boards. There are insufficient amenities to support new homes and road infrastructure unable to support new cars. The Moors fields are used for recreation and if built on, wildlife will be displaced.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-420
What is your name? - Name
Ginny Hope
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Fringford Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Fringford Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Understand looking to re-categorise Fringford (and other villages) as a smaller village. Questions what protection do smaller villages/communities have against having to oppose inappropriate windfall developments for a smaller village category. Local Plan

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Regarding 500 homes allocation in rural areas - smaller villages should be looked at on a case-by-case basis to ensure future development is about right properties in right places. Dorchester Living propose some homes on brownfield land at Heyford Park
Fringford Parish Council supports/CDC to assess capacity. Supports strategy statement to “protect the character, appearance, heritage and identity of our villages” but does not support an allocation of 500 houses outside built-up limit of village/negatively impact on rural character/nature of the village street scene/surrounding open countryside. See rep for On in-fill planning. Notes in Fringford Parish profile in draft Plan states two sites LPR-A-078 Land at Hall Farm and LPR-A-171 Land to the west of Fringford are “promoted” for use for housing - Fringford Parish Council would not support planning applications on either these sites and request they are removed from subsequent versions plan.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-421

What is your name? - Name

James Grote

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Public transport is a crucial issue for villages - especially for those with limited mobility.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Protecting identity/character of villages is vital (ie Chesterton a small village already taken development/no capacity for more).

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Concerns re flood risk of developing land east of M40 junction 9.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Avoid use of small villages/open countryside for employment development.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Makes sense.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Agrees with approach to use existing buildings and is not detrimental.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Cannot see how Great Wolf development adheres to this approach.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Good.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Area south of Chesterton not suitable for 500 homes (impact to infrastructure/roads/primary schooling). Chesterton classified as small village/separate from/not part of Bicester.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Shows Chesterton as smaller village (correct - not included as part of Bicester).

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Council must insist exceptional circumstances robustly justified.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Depends what the sacrifices are.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Improving town centre/resolving transport connectivity to surrounding villages vital.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, not 5.7 south of Chesterton (small village/few amenities/already taken new development).

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

In general, yes.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Requests please do not put 500 more homes on edge of Chesterton - would change nature of village. Maybe consider a smaller number? 25? Roads too busy with no footpaths/becoming dangerous for pedestrians.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-422

What is your name? - Name

Lu Rahman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to proposed development on fields behind the Moors. Environmental impact - home to variety of wildlife/important green space for ecosystem/habitat destruction/soil erosion/loss of biodiversity. Increased traffic - construction/additional residents/safety hazards to pedestrians/children. Increase in noise/light/air and pollution. Loss of green space - recreational/leisure area - detrimental to health. Flood risk - puddles in gardens in The Moors do not drain in heavy rain/risk of flooding without fields to drain water first. Lost electric in heavy rain as water levels rose under floor boards covered wires/within an inch of coming up through floor boards. Requests thorough environmental impact assessment/engage with residents in comprehensive dialogue re development.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-423
What is your name? - Name
Zaza Cooper
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Turnberry Consulting
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Exeter College
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Representations prepared on behalf of Exeter College who are seeking to deliver the UK's first 'Digital Innovation District' on their land at Frieze Farm - unique proposition: accommodating cutting edge integrated HPC infrastructure, sustainable technolog

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-424

What is your name? - Name

Eva Williams

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Housing number used is above Government standard housing assessment - think Government Standard should be used.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The field in Hanwell village used for Hanfest and the paddock next to the castle should be new Green Spaces and protected.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP45 Settlement Gaps - criteria too narrow/may not prevent developers arguing that screening vegetation is sufficient to protect a settlement gap. Physical separation only part of equation/should also consider 'sense' of coalescence. Policy should be strengthened/extended.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Agree that brownfield sites should be developed around Banbury station and canal.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-425
What is your name? - Name
Neville Surtees
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Savills
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Bellway Homes
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Supports reference made in Plan to the Policy PR6a (Land East of Oxford Road) allocation.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Percentage requirement for BNG in Policy should follow Government guidance/implemented in accordance with Government timescales (currently 10%) but that does not prevent applicants going above this level. Adopted version of Policy should also refer to most up-to-date BNG Metric or successor - currently Metric 4.0. Policy should include only a 10% requirement - if it includes an 'at least 20%' BNG requirement clarification should be given (see Rep for suggested conditions).

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

CP29 supports Policy - development to be delivered under Policy PR6a of Partial Review Local Plan, an employment, skills and training plan will be agreed as part of the planning application.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

CP36 supports policy aim however policy requires clarification regarding specific elements (see rep for examples) to ensure precise mix/tenures of affordable housing provision can be determined at application stage/subject to viability/need.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

CP76 - supports inclusion in Policy of reference to Policy PR6a (Land East of Oxford Road) allocation from the adopted Local Plan Partial Review (see Rep for more details of application/ability to deliver up to 800 new homes). CP78 - questions blanket requirement in final paragraph of Policy ie "all developments in the Kidlington area will be required to contribute" should be clarified to explain requirement is "subject to meeting the tests set out in regulation 122(2) of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010". Point (iv) should be amended to include "/A4165". Point (v) clarification is needed as to whether this point should also refer to point (d). Regarding CP79 in the first bullet point reference should also be made to "/A4165".

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

CP1, CP2, CP3 CP4, CP5 CP6 - supports draft policies objectives of tackling climate change/ensuring sustainable development. Wording of policies needs further consideration to confirm that their specific requirements are capable of being deliverable (available/affordable technology)/viable/appropriate standards of construction followed and is consistency with current Government policy. CP14 - notes Natural Capital Assessments (NCA) will be required as part of Environmental Impact Assessments to include/demonstrate wider environmental net gain - Policy wording unclear, clarification is sought as to whether NCAs will also be required for non-EIA developments. Requests clear guidance on what expectations/requirements are with regard to submitted NCAs. Confirmation required that NCAs will not be required for EIA projects at Reserved Matters stage (where granted outline planning permission prior to adoption of plan). Requests Natural Capital Map of Oxfordshire included in Local Plan to explain requirements of this Policy. CP15 supports aim of Policy - clarification required in wording to ensure delivery of GBI is capable of being delivered (ie might not be deliverable if third party land is required) policy should make clear that in certain instances requirement not be sought. Point (v) to be clarified to state that improvement of existing/proposed built and natural landscape/built environment is only required within an applicant's site. Point (vi) Policy requirement for GBI provision along movement corridors should only apply to land capable of being delivered by developers. CP21 - require explanation as to what is meant by 'direct bus access'. Reference to rapid electricity charging points not needed in this paragraph as referred to in final paragraph of this Policy. Paragraph should be reworded to include requirements for strategic developments (see rep for suggested wording). CP24 - in support, however reference to minimum density standards does not comply with wording of NPPF - reference to 'minimum' should be removed from Policy wording/acknowledgement given to densities instead taking into consideration local circumstances. CP38 - Policy wording and confirms that Policy PR6a (Land East of Oxford Road) of adopted Partial Review Local Plan does not require delivery of Extra Care Housing (ECH) - this point should be confirmation in this Local Plan. CP52 - where new schools are planned Council needs to give further consideration as to whether building them to net zero standards is deliverable/ appropriate/affordable technology available to ensure delivery. Also consider viability of new development/appropriate standards of construction are followed.

Summary comments of all questions

Instructed by Bellway Homes Limited (Bellway) to provide responses to consultation/responses relate to policies/proposals which are of particular relevance to Bellway in delivering the development of land which is allocated under Policy PR6a of the Partia

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-426
What is your name? - Name
Susan Grote
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Public transport is a crucial issue for villages/especially for those with limited mobility.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Protecting identity/character of villages is vital.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Concerned about flood risk of developing the land east of M40 junction 9.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Avoid use of small villages and open countryside for employment development.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Makes sense.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Agree with approach to use existing buildings and is not detrimental.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Cannot see how the Great Wolf development adheres to this approach.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Good.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Area south of Chesterton is not suitable for 500 homes. It would put strain on current infrastructure/roads/primary schooling. Chesterton is classified as a small village and is separate from and not a part of Bicester.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Shows Chesterton as a smaller village which is correct. It is not included as part of Bicester.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Council must insist that exceptional circumstances are robustly justified.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Depends what the sacrifices are.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Improving the town centre and resolving transport connectivity to surrounding villages vital.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No - definitely not 5.7, south of Chesterton. Chesterton is a small village, with few local amenities and cannot take 500 more homes. Chesterton has already taken new development.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

In general yes.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Requests please do not put 500 more homes on edge of Chesterton - would change nature of the village. Maybe consider a smaller number? 25? Roads are already too busy and with no footpaths are becoming dangerous for pedestrians.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-427

What is your name? - Name

David and Hazel Jones

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Questions statement in chap 2 introduction: 'our natural environment is more diverse'- what is this compared to?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

They believe all building should have planning permission condition tied to them about fitting solar voltaic system. Questions how the Bicester town centre can be made more green when existing facilities are limited.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Questions the baseline for the 10% biodiversity net gain and Concern that the DEFRA metric ignores ecosystem analysis and species recognition.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Notes this shouldn't occur until housing pressure has been removed.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Notes Bicester town is too small to sustain more employment.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Highlights Upper Heyford to be expanded instead.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Notes greenfield sites should be avoided.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Need to protect identity of rural villages and avoid unplanned development in the open countryside.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Notes objection to South of Chesterton/ North of A41 as Chesterton is a small village which should not be expanded further.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Highlights the need for road improvements on the A4095.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Notes the isolation of the proposed site for housing at Chesterton.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Highlights the benefit of building and owning houses for rent by local farmworkers.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Opposes to further building upstream of Wendlebury until effects of developments have ben observed and monitored for 10 years post completion.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-428

What is your name? - Name

Neil Forbes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supports aspirations in the rural areas vision and notes these are achievable through restricting building on greenfield land.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-429

What is your name? - Name

Rosie Lodwick

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes The Moors due to its value as a tranquil area of countryside, proximity to the conservation area.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-430

What is your name? - Name

Anna Willmore

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Opposes the level and rate of development at Bicester and the lack of services to meet the growing population.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-431

What is your name? - Name

Julia Trowles

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes The Moors for reasons including traffic pressures, its biodiversity and recreational uses and impact on the neighbouring conservation area.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Opposes to further development on the Green Belt.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Notes they believe the housing calculations should've utilised standard method, opposes to The Moors.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-432
What is your name? - Name
Fiona Mason
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Bletchingdon Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Bletchingdon Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Suggests stipulating that diversification should not cause a detriment to the environment.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supports aspirations, particularly maintaining Green Belt.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Opposes development within Bletchingdon due to its location within the conservation area and notes some housing development could be allocated to smaller villages to take the pressure off of the larger villages.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Notes they are considering a site for 20 dwellings- to be included in the emerging neighbourhood plan.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Not in support of any rural employment sites within Bletchingdon itself.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-433
What is your name? - Name
Suzanne McIvor
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports proposal of The Moors as a local green space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Notes the severity of traffic congestion in the area.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to it being in the Green Belt, the impact on local wildlife, flood risk.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

They believe the council should follow the Standard method.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-434

What is your name? - Name

Mary Walker

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to traffic constraints and it being within the Green Belt with an abundance of wildlife.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-435
What is your name? - Name
Simon van Zwanenberg
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Notes the housing numbers are too high and evidence supporting those is flawed. States Cherwell should not be taking on Oxford City's overspill.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

States 'affordable housing' needs to be clearly defined and quantified in the plan.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to it being in the Green Belt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Opposes adjustments to the Green Belt.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Supports a brownfield first approach to renewable energy e.g. solar energy. Supports Need Not Greed Oxon's and CPREs responses to the local plan.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-436

What is your name? - Name

Heather Lawson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Notes opposition to Hawkwell development and the impact it would have on Bucknell. Supports using the standard method which would result in less development. Promotes using brownfield site at Graven Hill for new housing.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-437

What is your name? - Name

Martin Harris

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Oxford Green Belt Network

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Questions the housing need assessment as being inflated compared to the standard method and promotes using the standard method instead.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Not in support of the housing distribution proposed due to it not being based on the standard method calculation and opposes to allocation of 900 homes in/ adjacent to Oxford Green Belt in CP34.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors and Land South East of Woodstock.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Notes the amount of development at Begbroke science park which is on Green Belt land is unclear.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Requests that new plan is strengthened to prevent a large scale development on Green Belt land which has occurred at Oxford Technology park.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Supports Kidlington Parish Council's aim to form a ring of green spaces around Kidlington.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Supports Core policy 44 retaining Green Belt boundaries and states that Green Belt amendments are not justified.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-438

What is your name? - Name

Jayne Nash

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Notes opposition for site at Chesterton due to lack of infrastructure to support a development of that size.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-439
What is your name? - Name
Janet Makepeace
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Notes opposition for allocation at The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Questions why Cherwell is accomodating Oxford City's unmet need. Highlights existing transport issues in the district which would be worsened with new development. States revision of Green Belt boundaries is not justified.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-440

What is your name? - Name

James Kirkham

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

The John Phillips Planning Consultancy

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Promotes land west of Springwell Hill and north of Sand Furlong, Bletchingdon for residential development.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-441
What is your name? - Name
Elizabeth Axford
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes promotion of The Moors and Green Belt land generally.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Overall opposition to development in the Kidlington area due to traffic issues and lack of facilities.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-442

What is your name? - Name

Flo van Dieman van Thor

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

States that more warehouse development will not provide more meaningful employment opportunities. Notes there is a lack of focus on culture in the local plan and Bicester in particular.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Supports the proposed approach but is sceptical about this being carried out in practise.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

States that new developments should have substantial retail facilities to achieve a 20 minute neighbourhood.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

States that Bicester should not have more development than housing. Suggests a Green Belt around Bicester and utilising Brownfield land more.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Questions lack of small villages on the maps e.g. Wendlebury, Little Chesterton, Caversfield, Launton.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Supportive of the policy as long as it is delivered.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

NorthWest Bicester (allocated for 7000) houses needs to be reduced to ensure a green space for residents.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Opposes NorthWest Bicester allocation due to the intrusion into Bucknell.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, promotes brownfield first approach.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Suggests brownfield sites

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Strongly opposes the potential development on green belt land due to problems already with volume of traffic including lorries and parking. The moors already flood without further run off water from further housing.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Suggests rural areas should be protected from development and prioritise green space.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Notes the consultation was poorly communicated and publicised and believes the consultation period of 6 weeks is not long enough. Suggests the document is too large and should be made in an easy read format.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-443

What is your name? - Name

Lyndon Tipping

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

On core policy 11 and Concern about the declining population of swifts. Promotes installing swift bricks in all new developments.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-444

What is your name? - Name

Katherine Makepeace

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to the wildlife present, flood risk and the impact the development would have on local services and road pressure.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-445
What is your name? - Name
Jackie Hoyle
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Yarnton Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Yarnton Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Ensuring access to local facilities and road networks for residents has been missed in the strategic objectives.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Accepts the proposed aspirations for Kidlington but promotes the area for investment.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concern over the 450 houses proposed at SE Woodstock due to the increased pressure on the A44.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Promotes the need for Oxford City to identify alternative housing sites to meet their need.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Believes there are enough employment sites across Kidlington already.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Objects to the adjustment of Green Belt boundaries due to the impact on wildlife.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

No

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Highlights the importance of Sandy Lane and opposes its closure. Active travel options are not as feasible for elderly population.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

Sandy Lane should be safeguarded for vehicular traffic and the closure does not support the Kidlington area strategy.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Notes the local plan misrepresents the Yarnton parish boundaries. Welcomes the extension of health care services and sports facilities at the planned secondary school.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Notes support of aspiration to support renewable energy developments sympathetically with the local environment, promoting utilising brownfield land for solar panels as opposed to greenfield. Suggests that disused sites should be explored for redevelopment

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-446

What is your name? - Name

John Lenton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors as it is an important green space for walking.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Questions why there is no train station on the line between Kidlington and Begbroke which would support travel and reduce car useage.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-447

What is your name? - Name

Antoinette Finnegan

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Notes the council has overcalculated the housing need compared to the standard method, as is Oxford City's need.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Proposes The Moors and the sites promoted by Kidlington Development Watch.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to this being open countryside, rich in biodiversity, and due to issues of access and flooding.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Opposes revising the Green Belt boundaries.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Notes there is an excessive allocation of land for employment and not enough to address traffic congestion. Highlights there should be specific policies to prevent unsuitable conversion of buildings and opposes proposed release at Woodstock.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-448

What is your name? - Name

Julia Hamper

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors and promotes brownfield sites over greenfield.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-449

What is your name? - Name

Ian Straughair

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Concern over the lack of services and transport issues in Bicester and that this will worsen with the proposed Hawkwell development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-450

What is your name? - Name

Henry Horton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to its location within the Green Belt and its environmental and recreational benefits to the community.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-451
What is your name? - Name
Alison Smith
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Somerton Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Somerton Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The plan is correct to look into the future however does not acknowledge how society is likely to change during this time. Questions how robust the data is which underpins the plan e.g. not using the standard method.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Notes the plan is long, text heavy, and not inviting to read. Suggests utilising pictures and summary bulet point lists. Notes the population levels and projections are not included and this would be useful.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Notes the Q/a format is helpful.
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
It covers all key issues.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Notes a need for commitment to protect villages from urban sprawl.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Cherwell should not take Oxford City's overspill until all vacant land and opportunities have been utilised there. Highlights the need for more affordable housing and that new builds should be future proofed for climate change e.g. fitting solar panels as standard. Notes that new development could create flood risk in new areas which needs to be considered.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

This should be a 20% minimum with implications stated explicitly. Promotes a brownfield/ rooftop approach to solar panels.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Draft policy on employment land is weaker than the existing policy- should be revisited.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Employment opportunities should be created close to where people live compared to in open countryside with poor links.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

It appears reasonable.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

There should be a compelling need for development on any unallocated sites.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Should make best use of potential space and prevent building on unoccupied land.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Appears reasonable but the consequences of additional traffic should be considered.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Whilst tourism brings opportunities it is not all welcome e.g. Great Wolf Resort due to impact on local area and surrounding villages (traffic).

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

It is reasonable, retail development should be close to where people live to prevent car useage.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Agreement and that unnecessary light pollution should be avoided.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The HENA overinflates housing needs and Oxford City should meet their own need. Notes the village of Bucknell will be lost to Bicester.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Notes this is overexagerrated and the figure of housing should be lower as it is not compatible with the other aims of the local plan. Development should be on brownfield sites.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Welcomes limiting development in rural areas and recategorisation of some villages. Questions if Kirtlington should fall under larger village.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Concern this does not go far enough and promotes more affordable houses in rural areas.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes, but the sacrifices would need to be explicit and ratioanlised.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supports the three sites at Bicester which have been proposed for allocation but there should be more.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Concern of greenfield sites being promoted over brownfield.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Needs to be support infrastructure for the aspirations e.g. traffic flow.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Promotes housing development in the town centre as most beneficial.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Supportive of the aspirations as long as they accommodate local peoples needs.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opportunities should be explored in the existing town centre first. Opposes to greenfield site proposed at the NW and There should be a green space buffer for Bucknell.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Questions the extent to which brownfield sites have been considered.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Transport schemes need to be affordable, reliable and available at times required as well as to support connections to rural villages.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Proposal for Bicester Green Belt should be reviewed and assessed. Areas of non-coalescence should be created to prevent Bicester sprawl into surrounding villages.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Notes promotion of disabled friendly areas.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Support views on development but is concerned about the impact of traffic on 'open days'.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Development in the Green Belt should be genuinely exceptional- The Moors does not fall into this category.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

The proposal to remove Green Belt land at Kidlington is unjustified and should be dropped.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes, only if there is a convincing need and cost/benefit study undertaken.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

It is unclear why the change to the village boundary is needed.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Allocation for 1235 dwellings should be changed/removed until traffic issues have been addressed. Supports brownfield first as long as the infrastructure can support and the impact on nearby villages should be considered.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Yes but there is a need for more facilities at Heyford Park e.g. health centre, but only after transport issues are addressed.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Not without further evidence for the need first. Notes the plan should be focused on employment not more homes at Heyford Park.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Notes brownfield first and the 1235 proposed dwellings should be removed or reduced. Comments need for improvements to pedestrian access around Somerton, The Heyfords, Ardley and the Astons.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

Not in support of greenfield land for development and not in support of the proposed allocation until infrastructure issues are resolved. Requests a greater focus on the plan to protect pedestrians from traffic in the villages. Questions how the S106 mone

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Rural sites should be protected as much as possible.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

This would be better received if there was not the expectation of taking Oxford City's overspill.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Impacts on surrounding villages, rural infrastructure, way of life and safety needs to be considered for developments.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Need to include local input.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Notes implementation and effectiveness should be communicated to the public in tandem with how this is being measured.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

The plan is bulky, but a summary of key points would be welcomed to encourage wider engagement. Notes a 6 week consultation is not long enough.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-452
What is your name? - Name
John Blackburn
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Notes objection to local plan in NW Bicester due to it being greenfield land which development of will exacerbate flood risk and remove the open countryside between Bicester and Bucknell leading to urban sprawl- which should be prevented. The LP overlooks

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-453
What is your name? - Name
Fiona Teddy
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to it being in the Green Belt, its recreational value and issues for biodiversity and traffic. Opposes the proposed stadium due to the impact on traffic, and the green space.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-454

What is your name? - Name

Nik Roberts

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to the recreational value of the fields and the traffic this would result in.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-455
What is your name? - Name
Kirsten Hall
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to it being Green Belt land with a recreational and biodiversity value.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-456

What is your name? - Name

Rosie May

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to the loss of recreational and biodiversity rich land. Comments about potential traffic issues this would cause.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-457
What is your name? - Name
Anthony Currell
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-458

What is your name? - Name

Barbara Seymour

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to impact on the natural environment and because of the lands recreational value.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-459
What is your name? - Name
Alison Riggs
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Notes the length of the plan, and the need for flexibility due to changes in the world e.g. climate change.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
The maps should be easier to find.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Notes support of the draft vision.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
The aim should be for carbon positive not net zero.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

New developments should be environmentally sustainable, makes suggestions about how to achieve this.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, but public transport to existing employment areas should be improved.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

In agreement as long as public transport is reliable for commuting.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Need for more conviction in the statements within CP4 and that buildings should be carbon positive not just net zero.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Opposes to this.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Supports the views suggests a bridge over the railway at Sandy Lane to improve links into Yarnton.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to the natural impact.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Yes

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Areas already removed from the Green Belt should be built on but retain those not yet built on.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes, and opposes any further expansion of the airport itself.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Need for a cycle path along the A4260 to link the Yarnton area better.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Support for maintaining blue and green corridors through developments and providing access to greenspaces for people.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-460

What is your name? - Name

Mary Lunn

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

The aims are good but more work needs to be done concerning traffic, flood plains and green belt.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Kidlington is not well connected to Oxford causing congestion and there is a lack of buses.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-461

What is your name? - Name

Lyn Gosney

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Opposes to proposed development in Shenington with Alkerton due to it previously being refused permission and its proximity to the conservation area, AONB and lack of suitable services.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-462
What is your name? - Name
John Hill
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to the negative impact its allocation would have on peoples quality of life.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-463

What is your name? - Name

Alan Divall

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Walsingham Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Richborough

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Supports a consideration of a plan period to 2042 or further. NPPF states where there is larger scale developments policies should be set with a vision to look further ahead- 30yrs, this has not occurred.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

There should be a list of proposed policies in the plan and it would have been helpful if the maps in the plan used the same mapping as the 205 and 2020 partial review to compare sites and boundaries between.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Do not support the draft spatial strategy as it does not recognise Heyford Park as a sustainable growing settlement within the district. Supports Heyford Park strategy and requests further detail as to how the carbon offsetting fund will operate. There should be a viability assessment of the proposals.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Notes this should not occur. There should be a balance between infrastructure requirements and this should be reflected in an infrastructure delivery plan. The proposed carbon fund is unnecessary and should be deleted.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Supports the proposed new employment sites and that the plan should provide housing provision alongside employment sites to reduce travel need.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Welcome the joint working with Oxford City. Notes importance of demonstrating a 5years supply, and it is unclear if this is being delivered.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Notes they largely agree with the explanation and proposal to deliver minimum of 25,860 homes by 2040 but believes this should be specified as a minimum. Notes objection to CP34 as the 25860 homes should be a minimum, there should be acknowledgement the unmet needs of Oxford City is unconfirmed, the plan period is likely to be extended after 2040 and there is an insufficient contingency to the projected provision.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supportive of the approach, in particular Heyford Park being identified as a local service centre.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

It is unclear if a viability assessment of the anticipated impact of new policy has been undertaken. Notes more housing is needed to enable more affordable housing.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Concern about CP87 as it does not define measurable triggers to identifying alternative deliverable sites. Notes there is a lack of explanation of the process and timings proposed for review.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Overall support for the vision.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Agrees that Heyford Park should be identified as a local service centre.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Promotes land South of Camp Road, Heyford Park for up to 625 homes. Supports LPR42a for 1235 dwellings.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-464
What is your name? - Name
Lynda Moore
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
CPRE Oxfordshire The Countryside Charity
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
CPRE Oxfordshire The Countryside Charity
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Concerns that the housing numbers are not fit for purpose (too high); suggests a land strategy should be developed to underpin the plan and if this is not achieved a brownfield first priority should be stated for housing, employment and renewables. Opposes

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-465
What is your name? - Name
Neil Rowley
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Savills
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Newcore Capital Management
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Promotes Islip Fuel Depot Site for mixed-use development.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Promotes Islip Fuel Depot Site for mixed-use development.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-466
What is your name? - Name
Nick Billington
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
SLR Consulting Limited
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Obsidian Strategic Asset Management
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Notes the plan period is currently present but will need consideration as the timetable evolves to meet NPPF requirements e.g. extending.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Support prominence given to delivery of market and affordable housing.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

States Biodiversity Net Gain above national requirements would have implications which would need to be tested and could affect delivery of other plan objectives.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Largely supportive of focus on Bicester but notes the growth of surrounding villages should be considered.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Notes a distinction in the hierarchy should be made for larger villages which are satellites for Bicester which represent a key opportunity for sustainable growth.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

The need for affordable housing supports a housing figure above the standard method.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Notes this would be subject to viability testing.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Notes the best way to deliver sustainable green space is part of market led sites where green space can be delivered alongside local communities.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Suggests sub-areas of Bicester e.g. Ambrosden should be explored.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supports inclusion of a proportion of housing growth in rural areas but suggests there should be a focus on growth in villages connected well to Bicester.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land north of Merton Road and off of Arccott Road, Ambrosden.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-467
What is your name? - Name
Helen Head
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the impact on wildlife and its amenity value.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Brownfield sites at old St John's Nursing Home, Mill Street.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-468
What is your name? - Name
Sarah Kearney
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Shipton-On-Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Shipton-On-Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Recognises the plan adheres to the BNG framework but does not set out how this will be assessed, monitored for compliance with proposals.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Questions how plans for ECO village in Shipton Quarry would coexist with the proposed 300 homes at The Moors. Questions if the proposed housing at SE woodstock would integrate into Woodstick or Kidlington.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

States Thrupp requires investment to ensure its character is protected. Promotes green area in Canal Yard as a local green space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Notes the local transport infrastructure is poor and without investment into this, housing development would not bring the intended benefits.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Supports transitioning to renewable energy but does not support large scale solar farms on green belt land.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Notes that even though Kidlington has been designated as a service centre it has lost many services and this should be encouraged.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Notes often S106 proposals are delayed or does not occur so suggests the S106 schemes are started before or with development to ensure they occur.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In core policy 3 there should be more emphasis to improve carbon efficiency in the local community including support to retrofit.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-469
What is your name? - Name
Sarah Kearney
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Middleton Stoney Parish Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Middleton Stoney Parish Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supports Middleton Stoney to be classified as a smaller village.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Notes they welcome reference to improving active travel routes for Bicester but notes the local plan does not outline how this will be achieved.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concern for NW Bicester allocation and promotes idea of adopting a Green Belt around Bicester to prevent urban sprawl.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Notes objection to further development at Heyford Park until traffic issues are resolved.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Notes objection to further development at Heyford Park until traffic issues are resolved.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Notes aspirations for rural areas should be underpinned with strategy to reduce road traffic through villages.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Core policy 47 - supports policy to promote walking and cycling but notes there are no proposals to link developments at Heyford Park and Bicester. Core policy 51 - It is essential for new development to be accompanied with necessary infrastructure. Core

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-470

What is your name? - Name

Pamela Roberts

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land east of Charbridge Lane A421

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-471
What is your name? - Name
Abi Peacock
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Walsingham Planning
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
L&Q Estates Ltd
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Should be a section listing the proposed policies in the plan and that it would have been helpful for the mapping to use the same format as the adopted 2015 plan and 2020 partial review to compare sites and boundaries.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No, as there needs to be a balance. The carbon fund is unnecessary and should be deleted.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Notes they welcome the joint working with Oxford city to form the HEENA. It is unclear if a 5yr land supply can be delivered.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The 25,860 homes by 2040 should be a minimum figure and in particular if the plan period is extended past 2040 this should be increased. It should be stated that the unmet needs figure for Oxford City is unconfirmed and that there is not sufficient contingency in the plan.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supports the settlement hierarchy proposed, in particular Ambrosden as a 'larger village'. Instead of revising the Green Belt, the focus should be on enabling development on the edges of larger villages to spread growth more evenly across the district.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

More housing is needed to enable a higher volume of affordable housing.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

A full viability assessment is needed of the policy requirements.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Notes that rural areas should be promoted more for development, particularly the larger villages, to support these areas. Opposition for the release of Green Belt land at Kidlington.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land west of Church Ley field, adjacent to Blackthorn road, Ambrosden for 55 dwellings.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-472

What is your name? - Name

Mr R Stroud

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The housing delivery is in danger of not meeting the 5 year supply.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Notes overall support for the spatial strategy but believes Banbury is largely being overlooked and has more potential than 'only limited additional growth'.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

There should be more growth directed at Banbury.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Land at Broughton Road, Banbury.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors stating that there are no demonstrable exceptional circumstances for Green Belt release.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-473
What is your name? - Name
Heyford Parish Parish Council
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Notes strong objection to plans to develop greenfield land at Heyford Park for additional housing.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

There is not sufficient infrastructure to support the proposed development at Heyford Park.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-474
What is your name? - Name
Colin Griffiths
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Satnam Investments Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Satnam Investments Ltd
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The plan period should be extended to 2045.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
The draft vision sets out housing is required in rural areas which they support, but this is contradicted later in the plan with policies to reduce rural housing numbers.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

All suitable sites should be allocated in the plan for development.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

This should not occur as there is no legal basis for a requirement higher than 10%.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

There is clear justification for the application of an alternative method to calculate local housing need but believes the CE baseline is not a credible representation of need so the ED scenario is best to pursue resulting in a 1526dpa housing need.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Notes support of Bletchingdon being classified as a larger village but the supportive text in core policy 35 is restrictive.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

The aspirations for development in the rural areas is negatively phrased.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land at Station Road, Bletchingdon.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

A full green belt review should be carried out to support the plan.

Summary comments of all questions

On core policy 38, specialist housing is a critical issue to be addressed through the plan and that the HENA estimation for this is underestimated- there should be land allocated for C2 uses. Notes the housing trajectory should take into account realistic

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-475
What is your name? - Name
David Bainbridge
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Savills
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Oxford Universities & Colleges Group
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Supports extending plan period to at least 2042.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
There should be more innovation of digital tools to assist involvement. Requests planning policies are listed after the contents in the draft plan with hyperlinks to each policy. Notes the plan is lengthy and could benefit in reduction of size.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Request a proposal to replace all existing policies with the new local plan.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Supportive of the overarching three themes of the local plan and notes support of the plan vision and objectives.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Supports the joint commissioning of the HENA but requests the 25,860 homes should be stated as a minimum figure and that the unmet needs of Oxford City should be acknowledged as unconfirmed.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supports this strategy.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Core policy 1 - Supports this policy. Core policies 2-5, The policy for net-zero developments is untested and the implications are unknown. The policy surpasses government standards and is not yet justified. Questions why development policy 1 is not list

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-476
What is your name? - Name
Jim Rawlings
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Roebuck Land & Planning Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
King & Warr
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The plan should be extended to 2045 to allow 15yrs post adoption and flexibility in the plan preparation period.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports improving opportunities and providing access to housing to meet all needs and supports promoting growth at Banbury but this should not be limited.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

There should be a greater role for Banbury in the housing distribution due to the enhanced profile the regeneration will cause.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Land south of Broughton Road, Banbury for up to 80 dwellings.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-477
What is your name? - Name
Robert Duxbury
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Banbury Town Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Banbury Town Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Support the plan period but notes there is likely to be confusion to the public by the 2050 vision document being considered almost simultaneously.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Clear to follow.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Appendix 1 is clear about what is to be saved. Requests explanation of the intention behind retained policy Banbury 10.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Notes support.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Supports themes and objectives.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Wishes for Banbury strategy to include a comment recognising the need for renewal of many Banbury employment areas.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Difficult to answer generally, and should be site specific.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Concern over the lack of allocations at Banbury.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Supports this.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Agrees with allocations at Canalside and Higham way. Core policy 26 should promote redevelopment of existing commercial sites.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Notes desire to see core policy 27 omitted as the wording gives too much encouragement to such development to prevent speculative development.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

The importance of tourism growth in Banbury needs to be recognised but the overall policy is supported.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Supports the overall policy.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Argue for inclusion of western side of North Bar Street, Horsefair and South bar street and that the primary shopping area should include High Street from junction with Calthorpe Street.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Notes agreement, but class E use should be defined in the glossary.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Supports the policy.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Nethercote is not listed so the open countryside policy would apply, which they are supportive of.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-478
What is your name? - Name
Sam Biles
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Edgars Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Bicester Motion
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Support vision and supportive of the focus for development at Bicester.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Support delivery of committed development at Bicester and continual support for maximising benefits of key destinations both nationally and internationally.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Supports spatial strategy outlined for Bicester and strategy to deliver improved and enhanced green infrastructure. The omission of reference to Bicester motion in the Bicester area strategy should be revisited.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Core policy 73 is limiting and some uses proposed in the coming planning application for restoration and use of Stratton Audley Quarry would not fall under remit of informal outdoor recreation.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Supports core policy 75 but Stratton Audley Quarry should be incorporated as part of this policy.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-479
What is your name? - Name
James Daulton
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objection to the proposed SE perimeter relief road and development of industrial park adjoining the M40.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-480
What is your name? - Name
Jai Sidhu
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Gerald Eve LLP
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Merton College Oxford
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The 4400 homes to help Oxford's housing needs should be supported with commitments to guarantee efficient resourcing resourcing through local plan partial review sites.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Notes there is further land available e.g. landholding at Pear Tree Services which has been removed from the Green Belt.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Notes support in considering additional sites for employment use and welcomes Kidlington being considered as a local service centre, however, not enough sites are being considered and identified for employment.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

There is scope to increase density on PR6b and that this should be considered a preferable location than The Moors due to its location within the Green Belt.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-481

What is your name? - Name

Robin Furneaux

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Objection to inclusion of land at Shenington in the local plan as it is a small village with a poor road network and is adjacent to conservation area.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-482

What is your name? - Name

David Radwell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Notes objection to NW Bicester allocation due to the lack of road infrastructure to support such a development.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-483

What is your name? - Name

Ian James

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Notes objection to proposed 500 houses north of A41 next to Chesterton due to the damage this would result in for the village.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-484

What is your name? - Name

Lorna James

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Notes objection to proposed 500 houses north of A41 next to Chesterton due to the damage this would result in for the village.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-485
What is your name? - Name
Rob Kinchin-Smith
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Banbury Civic Society
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Banbury Civic Society
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
The start date of the plan should not pre-date completion or reg19 consultations and that the start date should be the date of formal adoption. Does not support extension of plan period past 2040.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Structure and presentation are good.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Policy Banbury 6 and Banbury 15 have not delivered high end high employment uses.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
In support of the draft vision.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Support the draft objectives but CDC is not empowered to deliver some objectives cited.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

The green agenda would be enhanced by provision of a Civic Amenities site in or close to Banbury to prevent residents having to travel approximately 15 miles to Alkerton.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Largely in support of the draft spatial strategy but there is no mention of provision for performance space in Banbury which is proportionate to the size of the new community. Notes active travel is not appropriate for those not able e.g. elderly. Support the objective of reducing cross-town traffic through the town centre but this can only be achieved if alternative routes are provided. The SE link road should be committed to.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes in principle.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

In the South of the district but any further allocated land should be protected for high-employment or high-tech uses not B8 warehouses.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Comments in support of this.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Any further allocated land should be protected for high-employment or high-tech uses. Land at Higham Way is suited for residential development.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Not supportive, as the policy encourages employment-related development on un-allocated sites in contrary to the plan led principle.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Notes support in principle.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Notes support in principle.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Notes support in principle.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Notes support in principle.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

The primary shopping frontage area in Banbury should include all of High street to Banbury Cross.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Class 1 uses should be protected.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The methodology is flawed and statistics should consider homelessness and overcrowding. Would welcome self-build provision being encouraged.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Concerns about Banbury North of Wykham Lane.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supportive in principle.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

The phrase should be 'social housing' to be clearer.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Market percentages are too high.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Nethercote and Huscote historic landscape.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Overall supportive but comments active travel will not significantly reduce the towns through traffic. Supportive of brownfield development in principle but questions the sites promoted for their suitability.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concerns about Banbury North of Wykham Lane and an alternative road should be provided. Supports brownfield development but questions suitability of chosen sites.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Surface car parks on Southam Road.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Supports reducing cross town traffic through the town centre but this is only possible if alternative routes are provided, and the southeast link road should be committed to.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Banbury's built heritage should be promoted and that there is a need for a performance space with a greater capacity than The Mill.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Notes strong support.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Supports re-opening Kidlington railway station on Oxford-Banbury route.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

Notes a site for a new Kidlington railway station.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

A bullet point about ensuring preservation and interpretation of the sites heritage assets.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Yes.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Yes but not very large sheds.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

1,235 appears enough new housing and does not support the landowners brownfield first campaign to allow allocation of land for 500 further houses.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

Notes support.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Notes support.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Notes support but only if over 50% of the 500 additional homes are first homes and social housing.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Core policy 57 is sound but not comprehensive and the numbered list should be expanded. It would be useful for the policy to state that proposals that do not deliver a buildings optimum use will not normally be allowed. Core policy 58 should be strengthened to comply with NPPF and core policy 59 is too weak.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-486
What is your name? - Name
Matt Chadwick
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
JPPC Chartered Town Planners
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
JPPC Chartered Town Planners
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Core policy 1 is not consistent with later policies which do not encourage reuse of buildings in the countryside for residential purposes. Core policy 1 is good and the other policies need editing in line with it. Questions if core policies 2 and 3 measures are planned to be conditioned, and core policy 2 is gives no benchmark to judge compliance against. Core policy 18 is unclear as if lighting is necessary it cannot be pollution. Core policy 26 does not include provision for expanding existing employment sites which it should be in support of. Core policy 30 should be reworded so the terminology 'holding' 'farms' 'business' is consistent. Core policy 31 is not clearly worded and questions if all bullet points should be addressed or just one for compliance. Core policy 35 is not clear on conversions within the built limits of villages. No justification as to why core policy 36 is a gross policy not net. Core policy 37 should not apply to self build schemes where the developer is meeting their own needs. Questions why the proposed housing numbers in policies 62 and 68 for Canalside have decreased as the housing should be maximised here. Core policy 71 references core policy 5 which does not make sense, that the Bicester area should be defined to make this easily understood. Supportive of southeast link road to the north of Wendlebury in core policy 72 to improve highway links around Bicester and alleviate pressure on the existing road network. Development policy 9 is poorly worded as it does not make clear what a rural building is.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-487
What is your name? - Name
Emma Baker
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Vale of White Horse District Council
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Vale of White Horse District Council
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

On the choice to not follow the standard method for housing need and that this attempts to make the same judgements for all Oxfordshire authorities which there is no need to do.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The climate and natural environment objectives could be more ambitious in line with the Oxfordshire strategic vision. Will be difficult to balance strategy of providing housing for all sectors of Cherwell's communities with other parts of the strategy e.g.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-488
What is your name? - Name
Paul Slater
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Edgars Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Mr & Mrs Tomes
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Support the recognition of Kidlington/ Yarnton area to deliver sustainable development and help address Oxford's unmet need. Does not consider the land adjoining Woodstock to be justified.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Supports the conclusion that the proposed housing requirement is above that in the standard method minimum level. Notes the proposed development in the Kidlington areas is below the identified requirement for 1139 homes.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Supports the spatial strategy as it recognises the potential for the area to address Oxford's unmet need but notes there should be additional allocations in the area.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes land north of The Moors, Kidlington and land SE of Woodstock

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

14-16 Woodstock Road, Yarnton as this is already removed from the Green Belt.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-489
What is your name? - Name
Ann Smith
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Disagree with the plan for additional housing at Bicester due to the additonal pressure this will have on the stressed road network and other services.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-490
What is your name? - Name
Martin Lipson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Mid-Cherwell Neighbourhood Plan Forum
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Mid-Cherwell Neighbourhood Plan Forum
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Supports the retention of policy villages 5.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Local infrastructure should be sensitively scaled up to meet the demand of expanding communities.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Support applying 20% in areas but questions what would be sacrificed for this to occur.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Notes support of this policy.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The number of houses needed has been exaggerated and should be lower as it is not compatible with the other aims of the local plan. Questions the proposed allocation of 1235 homes south of Heyford Park and the 500 homes for rural areas, and asks for justifi

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Welcome the re-categorisation of Fritwell as a smaller village and that Kirtlington is on the margin of being classed as a larger village due to its loss of a village shop.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Doubt about whether the market can deliver truly affordable housing. Requests a community land trust is included in core policy 40.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Strong support for the policy but dissapointed about the low number. Additional text to be added encouraging neighbourhood plan groups to nominate LGS when able to do so.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

In disagreement with the proposal for future development to be located on greenfield land which is against the councils brownfield first policy.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Support it being allocated as a local service centre.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Opposes further logistics and employment uses at Heyford Park which generate HGV use until new road infrastructure can be delivered but recognises there may be opportunities for small scale employment here.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supports the strategy but questions the justification for the 500 additional housing in rural areas.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Full support for core policy 23. Core policy 24 does not mention that Heyford Prk is a brownfield site itself. Supports core policy 38 proposed provision of 60 close care villages at Heyford Park. Questions why in core policy 39 there is no mention on acc

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-491

What is your name? - Name

Bhavash Vashi

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

BVA Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Welbeck Strategic Land V Limited

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

They welcome the key vision in the draft and theme three.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Support focusing development at the most sustainable locations, but distribution across the district is important for all areas to thrive. Supports core policy 34 but suggests that the policy should make clear that 500 homes is not a maximum.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Notes support of a separate chapter covering rural areas due to how significant of a part they make to the ditrict

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Supports DEDD2.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Any draft proposal map should take into account any allocated sites through the neighbourhood plan process so it is consistent with provisions of all policies.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-492
What is your name? - Name
David Wilson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Thames Water
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Thames Water
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Promotes land at Bretch Hill Reservoir as an omission site for residential use.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Promotes Land at Grimsbury Reservoir, Banbury, Former Lagoon at Banbury Sewage Works, Banbury for employment redevelopment as omission sites.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Promotes Land at Buckingham Road, Bicester as an omission site for residential use.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

In support of reviewing the Green Belt boundary here as this is necessary to meet the identified employment needs at Kidlington.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Core policies 1 and 9 are supported in principle but need to be strengthened to ensure water efficiency standard of 110 litres per person per day is met in practice. Core policy 53 is supported in principle but suggests that water infrastructure is such a

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-493

What is your name? - Name

Keith Nowell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Notes objection to The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-494
What is your name? - Name
Simon Handy
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Strutt and Parker
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Worton Farms Ltd
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

No objections to the positive aspirations in the draft Kidlington area strategy however the two outcomes must only be brought forward with involvement of landowners affected by such measures.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No objections to the two draft allocations but hold concern regarding the potential impact development at The Moors would have on their land holding adjacent.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Opposition to any future changes brought about core policy 80 which would increase footfall over their land holding.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

On appendix 2 about the right of way on The Moors site location plan not being accurate.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

On core policy 15, they have no objections on the overarching objective or aspirations of the policy but note concern about how this will be put into practice. Notes Thrupp community Woodland is not a community woodland and the title should be omitted fr

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-495
What is your name? - Name
Laura Elphick
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Natural England
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Natural England
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

LPR37a and LPR38 could have hydrological connectivity with 2x SSSIs and any potential impacts should be identified and mitigated against. Additionally, this may cause direct and indirect impacts to an area of ancient woodland which is an irreplaceable habi

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

LPR002 sits close to the AONB and should be subject to a landscape and visual assessment as it is close to multiple SSSIs.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Concern over the cumulative impact of development on the SSSI and the potential increased recreational pressure on it development may cause.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Concerns about Ardley Train station proposal due to it being in the centre of a SSSI which would result in a significant loss of habitat and potential indirect impacts.

Summary comments of all questions

Welcomes the commitment to continue with protecting European and nationally important sites as set out within policy 11 but notes that some allocations could potentially cause direct or indirect harm to these sites, which should not occur unless the benef

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-496
What is your name? - Name
Gayle and Stuart Adams
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Notes objection to development affecting Wendlebury, Little Chesterton and Chesterton due to the lack of facilities and poor road networks.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Notes objection to the SE perimeter relief road.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-497
What is your name? - Name
Gregory Blaxland
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Quod Ltd
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Oxford University Development Ltd
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
In support of the key aims for the vision and many of the key objectives.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

On core policy 25, in support of PR8 but recommends that provision is included to allow for uses reasonably related to ongoing use and expansion of Science Park to be positively considered.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Supports the findings from the HENA as it clearly shows why the standard method is not correct for calculating housing need in the area, believes exceptional circumstances required by NPPF are justified. There is potential to deliver a greater amount of h

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Core Policy 36 risks disconnecting the evidence from the objective and not being justified as despite the HENA recommendations it proposes a rigid tenure split in affordable housing provision; instead, this should be based on identified need and up to date evidence.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Core policy 37 is soundly prepared with a clear positive objective, consistent with national policy- recommends a similar approach for CP36.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Welcome the 4,400 homes at Kidlington.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Supportive of PR6b.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Welcomes allocation of PR8 Bebroke Science Park and that CP76 The area can expand by 14.7ha not stating the specific land area to do so.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

On core policy 21, in support of the overall aim and welcomes support for the Oxfordshire Local Transport and Connectivity Plan.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-498

What is your name? - Name

James Rees

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Notes objection to The Moors due to the pressure on the road network, its location within the Green Belt and the flood risk.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

This page is intentionally left blank